
A DETAILED SUBSOIL INVESTIGATION AND CALCULATION OF BEARING CAPACITY OF FOUNDATION

Sahil Nur Rahman^{*1}, Suhrit Kashyap², Uday Bhaskar Buragohain³, Bhargov Hazarika⁴, Abhash Moran⁵, Mustakur Rahman⁶, Rituparna Goswami⁷

^{1,2,3,4,5,6}(B.Tech Student, Department of Civil Engineering, Jorhat Engineering College, Garmur, Jorhat.

⁷(Assistant Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, Jorhat Engineering College, Garmur, Jorhat.

Article Received: 5 May 2026

Article Revised: 25 May 2026

Published on: 15 June 2026

***Corresponding Author: Sahil Nur Rahman**

B.Tech Student, Department of Civil Engineering, Jorhat Engineering College, Garmur, Jorhat.

DOI: <https://doi-org/101555/ijrpa.5848>

ABSTRACT

This study presents an evaluation of subsoil conditions and bearing capacity to support safe and economical foundation design. Field investigations, including borehole drilling, soil sampling, and in-situ testing, were conducted to characterize the subsurface strata and assess their engineering behavior. Laboratory analyses, comprising soil classification, moisture content determination, and shear strength testing, were performed to obtain the geotechnical properties required for foundation assessment. The results indicated a heterogeneous subsoil profile consisting of clay, silt, and sand layers with varying moisture conditions at different depths. Based on standard geotechnical design procedures, the bearing capacities for both shallow and deep foundations were estimated under different loading conditions. The findings emphasize the importance of detailed subsoil investigations in selecting appropriate foundation systems and ensuring the stability, safety, and long-term performance of civil engineering structures.

KEYWORDS: Subsoil Investigation, Bearing Capacity, Foundation Design, Soil Classification, Shear Strength, Geotechnical Engineering, Borehole Drilling, In-situ Testing, Shallow Foundation, Deep Foundation.

1. INTRODUCTION

Substructures form the foundation system of a structure and transfer loads from the

superstructure to the underlying soil. Based on the depth-to-width ratio, foundations are classified as shallow foundations ($D \leq B$), intermediate foundations ($B \leq D < 20B$), and deep foundations ($D > 20B$). A suitable foundation must safely support structural loads, resist excessive settlement, and remain durable throughout its service life. Soil bearing capacity, which governs the load-carrying ability of the ground, is expressed in terms of ultimate bearing capacity (q_u), allowable bearing capacity (q_a), and net safe bearing pressure (q_{ns}).

Reliable evaluation of these parameters requires detailed subsoil investigations using field tests such as SPT, CPT, and ERT, along with laboratory tests on soil samples. The present study aims to determine the safe bearing capacity and suitable foundation system for the proposed site.

2. LABORATORY TESTS AND RESULTS

Laboratory tests involved determining Water Content (W.C.), Liquid Limit (WL), Plastic Limit (WP), Plasticity Index (IP) and Shear Parameters as follows:

A. Water Content Determination

Table-1: Depth wise water content.

Depth	Wet(gm)	Dry(gm)	W.C%
1m	19	15.5	18.42
2m	38	31	18.42
3m	51	41	19.61
4m	22	17.5	20.45
5m	30	23.2	22.67
6m	20	15	25
7m	25	17.5	30
8m	20	13.6	32
9m	17	10.8	36.47
10m	19	11.7	38.42

B. Liquid Limit, Plastic Limit and Plasticity Index

For each soil sample at 1m interval up to 10 m depth, using No. of blows vs Moisture content curve; depth wise Liquid Limit, Plastic Limit and Plasticity Index. Knowing the liquid limit and plasticity index, soil can be classified with the help of plasticity chart (IS 1498-1970).

Table-2: Depth wise soil classification.

Depth	LL	PL	PI	Soil type
1m	25.24	5.55	19.69	CL
2m	25.26	9.09	16.17	CL
3m	25.39	8.33	17.06	CL
4m	25.44	9.09	16.35	CL
5m	25.46	11.11	14.35	CL
6m	25.52	11.36	14.16	CL

7m	25.65	9.09	16.56	CL
8m	25.89	10.52	15.37	CL
9m	26.34	13.63	12.71	CL
10m	26.44	13.63	12.81	CL

C. Shear Parameteres

Unconfined Compression Test is performed (Proving ring constant is 0.0030211 KN) and Stress-Strain Curves are obtained for each 1 m depth interval.

From each Stress-Strain Curve; Unconfined Compressive strength and then Cohesion is obtained.

Table-3: Value of q_u and C_u .

Depth(m)	Unconfined Compressive strength (KN/m ²)	Cohesion C_u (KN/m ²)
1	97.45	48.72
2	129.51	64.75
3	75	37.59
4	81.55	40.77
5	84.02	42.01
6	88.33	44.16
7	64.83	32.41
8	93.64	46.82
9	98.44	49.22
10	104.88	52.44

3. CALCULATION OF SAFE LOAD ON FOUNDATION

A. Shallow Foundation

(i) Bearing Capacity of Pile Foundations

It can be calculated by:

$$Q_{nu} = \frac{2}{3} \times C \times N_c \times S_c \times d_c \times i_c + q \times (N_q - 1) \times S_q \times d_q \times i_q + 0.5 B \times N_\gamma \times S_\gamma \times d_\gamma \times i_\gamma \times w' \quad \text{clause 5.1.2 of IS:6403}$$

Where, Q_{nu} , C , B are net ultimate bearing capacity of footing, Cohesion and width of foundation respectively.

S_c, S_q, S_γ = Shape factor d_c, d_q, d_γ = depth factor i_c, i_q, i_γ = inclination factor

N_c, N_q, N_γ = Bearing capacity factor

Now for a foundation of $D_f = 2\text{m}$, $B = 1.5\text{m}$, $L = 2\text{m}$, Using Formula of the Bearing Capacity of pile foundations and for $\Phi = 0$ by assuming $N_\gamma = 0$, $N_q = 1$, $N_c = 5.14$. Significant depth of foundation is 3m below the base of foundation.

Therefore, $Q_{nu} = \frac{2}{3} \times 40.12 \times 5.14 \times 1.15 \times 1.27 + 0 + 0 = 200.27 \text{ KN/m}^2$ Then Q_{ns} and q_s can be

calculated.

Different foundations sizes can be explored using the same procedure and is listed in Table-4.

Table-4: Ultimate, Safe and Net Safe Bearing Capacities of Different Foundation.

Df	B	L	Qu(KN/m ²)	Qns(KN/m ²)	qs(KN/m ²)
2	1.5	2	200.27	80.11	112.31
2	2	2	219.91	87.96	120.96
2	2	2.5	196.23	78.49	110.69
2	2.5	2.5	225.41	90.16	122.36
2.5	1.5	2	249.99	100.00	144.60
2.5	2	2	229.02	91.61	136.21
2.5	2	2.5	227.86	91.14	135.74
2.5	2.5	2.5	233.17	93.27	137.87

(ii) Settlement Calculation

Total settlement can be calculated by the formula: $S_t = S_c + S_i$; Where S_c and S_i are Instantaneous and Consolidation Settlement respectively.

Instantaneous settlement can be neglected for clayey soil, and the consolidation settlement can be calculated by the formula:

$S_c = C_c \times H / (1 + e_0) \times \log ((p_0' + \Delta p) / p_0')$Cl 9.2.2.2 of IS: 8009 (Part I) –1976 For, $D_f = 2\text{m}$, $B = 1.5\text{m}$, $L = 2\text{m}$; $p_0' = 50.9\text{ KN/m}^2$,

$\Delta p = [112.31 \times 1.5 \times 2] / [(1.5 + 3) \times (2 + 3)] = 14.97\text{ KN/m}^2$

$S_c = [(0.13887 \times 3) / 1.7329] \log_{10} [(50.9 + 14.97) / 50.9] = 0.02691\text{m} = 26.91\text{mm}$.

Different foundations sizes can be explored to find S_c using the same procedure and is listed in Table-5.

Table-5: Settlement of different foundation.

Df	B	L	Sc (mm)
2	1.5	2	26.91
2	2	2	27.63
2	2	2.5	34.25
2	2.5	2.5	33.74
2.5	1.5	2	30.3
2.5	2	2	29.7
2.5	2	2.5	33.71
2.5	2.5	2.5	34.46

(iii) Allowable Bearing Capacity

If S_{c1} is less than the permissible settlement; the safe bearing capacity can be taken as allowable bearing capacity, and if not then allowable bearing capacity is taken as: $q_{al} = q_{safex} S_p / S_c$;

where, S_p, S_c are Permissible and Consolidation settlement.

Using the Permissible Settlement Table-1 of IS: 1904-1986); Allowable Bearing Capacity of different foundation sizes can be calculated.

Table-6: Allow able Bearing Capacity.

Df	B	L	Qa(KN/m ²)
2	1.5	2	112.31
2	2	2	120.96
2	2	2.5	110.69
2	2.5	2.5	122.36
2.5	1.5	2	144.60
2.5	2	2	136.21
2.5	2	2.5	135.74
2.5	2.5	2.5	137.87

B. Pile Foundation**(i) Bearing capacity of pile foundations for cohesive soil**

Bearing capacity of pile foundations for cohesive soil can be calculated by: $Q_u = A_p \cdot N_c \cdot C_p + \alpha \cdot C \cdot A_s$;

Where, A_p , N_c , C_p , α , C , A_s are cross sectional area of pile toe in m², Bearing Capacity factor usually taken as 9, Cohesion at pile tip, Adhesion factor, Average cohesion throughout the length of pile, Surface area of pile in m².

For a pile of dimension, $D=0.3\text{m}$, $L=8\text{m}$, $L_e=7\text{m}$;

$A_p = 0.07\text{m}^2$, $A_s = 6.59\text{m}^2$, $C_p = 46.82\text{KN/m}^2$, $C = 44.07$, $N_c = 9$, $\alpha = 0.7$

Therefore Bearing capacity of pile foundation, $Q_u = 232.8\text{KN}$ $Q_s = 232.8/2.5 = 93.12\text{KN}$

Different foundation sizes can be explored using the same procedure to find Safe bearing capacity and is listed in Table-7.

Table-7: Safe bearing capacity of various pile.

Diameter	Total Length	Eff. Length	q_u	q_s
0.3	8	7	232.8	93.12
	9	8	267	106.80
	10	9	303.53	121.40
0.4	8	7	321.72	128.69

0.5	9	8	360.59	144.23
	10	9	417.33	166.93
	8	7	419	167.63
	9	8	486.64	194.65
	10	9	540.3	216.12

(ii) Analysis of laterally loaded piles

For piles in clay: Stiffness factor $R = 4\sqrt{EI/KB}$ (m)

Where, E , I are Young's modulus of pile material, in MN/m^2 and moment of inertia of the pile cross-section, in m^4 .

$$K = K_1 / 1.5 \times 0.3 / B$$

Where; K_1 , B are Terzaghi's modulus of subgrade reaction and width of pile shaft (m).

$$\text{Allowable lateral load for fixed head pile, } Y = H (L_e + L_f)^2 \times 10^3 / 12EI$$

Where, H , y , I , E , L_f , L_e are lateral load (KN), deflection of pile head (mm), Young's modulus of pile material (KN/m^2), moment of inertia of the pile cross-section (m^4), depth to point of fixity (m) and

cantilever length above ground respectively.

L_f can be found out from the Depth of fixity curve (IS-2911 (part 1/Sec1):2010). Deflection is assumed as 5mm.

Table-8: Lateral load for various pile.

Diameter	Total Length	Eff. Length	Lateral Load
0.3	8	7	0.281
	9	8	0.294
	10	9	0.3
0.4	8	7	0.476
	9	8	0.502
	10	9	0.541
0.5	8	7	0.618
	9	8	0.665
	10	9	0.696

(iii) Lateral Load calculation

For the foundation, $D=0.3\text{m}$, $L=8\text{m}$, $L_e=7\text{m}$

$Y=5\text{mm}$, $L_e=1\text{m}$, L_f can be found from the Depth of fixity curve as $K = K_1 / 1.5 \times 0.3 / B = (16.86 \times 1000) / 1.5 \times 0.3 / B = 11240$

$$R = 4 (EI / KB)^{1/2} = 0.234$$

Now comparing L_f/R and L_e/R from the Depth of fixity curve we can find the $L_f = 0.35m$

Now, Fixed end moment for fixed head pile, $M_f = H (L_e + L_f)/2$ Cl-4.3((IS:2911 (part 1/Sec 1)-2010)

The fixed end moment, M_f of the equivalent cantilever is higher than the actual maximum moment M_{in} the pile. The actual maximum moment can be obtained by multiplying the fixed end moment of the equivalent cantilever by a reduction factor (m), from IS:2911 (part 1/Sec 1)-2010. Therefore, fixed end moment for the pile ($D = 0.3m$, $L = 8m$, $L_e = 7m$) is; $M_f = 0.189KN$

Actual Moment, $m = 0.96 \times 0.189 = 0.182KNm$

Different foundation sizes can be explored using the same procedure and is listed in Table-9.

Table-9: Fixed end moment for various pile.

Diameter	Total Length	Eff. Length	Actual Moment
0.3	8	7	0.182
	9	8	0.188
	10	9	0.19
0.4	8	7	0.381
	9	8	0.395
	10	9	0.415
0.5	8	7	0.583
	9	8	0.625
	10	9	0.651

C. Under-Reamed Pile

(i) Bearing Capacity

For clayey soils the ultimate load carrying capacity of an under-reamed pile may be worked out from the following expressions:

$$Q_u = A_p \cdot N_c \cdot C_p + A_s \cdot N_c \cdot C_a' + C_a' \cdot A_s' + \alpha \cdot C_a \cdot A_s$$

Where, A_p = Cross-sectional area of pile stem at toe level N_c = Bearing Capacity factor (0.9)

C_p = Cohesion of the soil around toe

$A_a = \pi/4(D_u^2 - D^2)$ where D_u is diameter of under-reamed and d is the diameter of the pile

$C_a' =$ average cohesion of soil around the under-reamed bulbs $A =$ reduction factor (0.5)

$C_a =$ average cohesion of the soil along the pile stem $A_s =$ surface area of the stem

$A_s' =$ surface area of the cylinder circumscribing the under-reamed bulbs

Table-10: Bearing Capacity of under reamed pile foundation.

Diameter	Du	Length	Eff.Length	Bearing Capacity(KN)
0.3	0.75	8	7	413.42
		9	8	429.26
0.4	1	8	7	671.48
		9	8	698.83

(ii) Safe load for vertical Bored cast in-situ under-reamed pile

Using Safe load for vertical Bored cast in-situ under-reamed pile Table-1 of IS:2911 (part III) – 1980, Table-11 is prepared.

Table-11: Safe load for vertical Bored cast in-situ under-reamed pile.

Diameter	Du	Length	Eff. Length	Load due to		
				Compression	Uplift Pressure	Lateral Thrust
0.3	0.75	8	7	323.3	202.5	20
		9	8	370	237.5	20
0.4	1	8	7	451.7	309.2	34
		9	8	515	37.5	34

For; $L = 8\text{m}$, $L_e = 7\text{m}$, $d = 0.3\text{m}$, $d_u = 0.75\text{m}$; $N_c = 9$, $A_p = 0.071\text{m}^2$, $C_p = 46.82\text{KN/m}^2$, $A_a = 0.37\text{m}^2$, $C_a' = 46.82\text{KN/m}^2$, $A_s = 1.76\text{m}^2$, $C_a = 44.07\text{KN/m}^2$, $A_s = 6.59\text{m}^2$

Therefore; $Q_u = 413.42\text{KN}$

And we can find this by using the tables:

For Compression; $16 + (350/30) \times 1.4 = 32.33 \text{ t} = 323.3 \text{ KN}$ For Uplift resistance; $8 + (350/30) \times 1.05 = 20.25 \text{ t} = 202.5 \text{ KN}$ Lateral thrust = $2t = 20 \text{ KN}$

4. CONCLUSION

The present study provided a detailed evaluation of the subsurface conditions and foundation bearing capacity at the project site. Laboratory investigations showed that the natural water content increased from 18.42% at 1 m depth to 38.42% at 10 m depth, while the soil was classified as low-compressibility clay (CL) throughout the explored depth. The measured cohesion values ranged from 32.41 kN/m² to 64.75 kN/m², indicating moderate shear strength characteristics. Based on the geotechnical properties obtained, bearing capacity analyses were carried out for shallow, pile, and under-reamed pile foundations. The maximum allowable bearing capacity of shallow foundations reached 144.60 kN/m², while pile and under-reamed pile foundations exhibited safe load capacities of 216.12 kN and 515 kN, respectively. The findings confirm that detailed subsoil investigation is essential for selecting safe, economical, and durable foundation systems and for minimizing settlement and structural risks during service life.

REFERENCES

- A. M. Hanna and G. G. Meyerhof. (1979). "Ultimate bearing capacity of foundations on a three-layer soil, with special reference to layered sand." *Canadian Geotechnical Journal*. 16(2): 412-414.
1. Acharyya, Rana & Dey, Arindam. (2015). "Site Characterization and Bearing Capacity Estimation for a School Building Located on Hill slope." 50th Indian Geotechnical Conference.
2. Burd, Harvey & Frydman, Sam. (1997). "Bearing capacity of plane-strain footings on layered soils." *Canadian Geotechnical Journal - CAN GEOTECH J.* 34. 241-253. 10.1139/cgj-34-2-241.
3. Button, S. S. (1953). "The bearing capacity of footings on two-layer cohesive subsoil." *Proc. 3rd ICO SMFE*, 1, 332–335.
4. Das, B. M. (1988). "Shallow foundations on sand underlain by soft clay with geo-textile interface." *Geosynthetics for soil improvement*, R. D. Holtz, ed., ASCE, 122–126.
5. Dixit, Manish & Patil, Kailas. (2010). "Study of Effect of Different Parameters on Bearing Capacity of Soil." *Indian Geotechnical Conference (IGC-2009)*, Indian Geotechnical Society Guntur Chapter.
6. Karim, Hussein & Al-Soudany, Kawther & Mohsen, Makki. (2020). "Effect of fly ash on bearing capacity of clayey soil." *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*. 737. 10.1088/1757-899X/737/1/012092.
7. Kolay, Prabir & Kumar, Sanjeev & Tiwari, Dipak. (2013). "Improvement of Bearing Capacity

- of Shallow Foundation on Geogrid Reinforced Silty Clay and Sand.*” *Journal of Construction Engineering*. 2013. 10.1155/2013/293809.
8. Kumar, Chetan & Pandey, Trimurti Narayan & Mishra, Ujala. (2020). “Study of soil bearing capacity by soil penetration test” *International Research Journal of Modernization in Engineering Technology and Science* Volume:02/Issue:10/October - 2020.
 9. Magar, Jayesh Shankar & Kudtarkar, Adit & Pachpohe, Jayant & Nagargoje, Pranav. (2020). “Study and Analysis of Types of Foundation and Design Construction.” 7. 3301-3307. 10.5281/zenodo.3995061.
 10. Meyerhof, G.G. (1974). “Ultimate Bearing Capacity of Footings on Sand Layer Overlying Clay.” *Canadian Geotechnical Journal*, 11, 223-229.
 11. Roy, Surendra & Bhalla, Sanjeev. (2017). “Role of Geotechnical Properties of Soil on Civil Engineering Structures.” 7. 103-109. 10.5923/j.re.20170704.03.
 12. Saikiran, Kamani & Krishna, Ramya. (2022). “Evaluation of Bearing Capacity of Shallow Foundation Resting on Cohesionless Soil Using Different Methods: A Case Study of Vizag City.” *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*. 1086.012018. 10.1088/1755-1315/1086/1/012018.
 13. Mr. Umesh N. Waghmare and Dr. K. A. Patil (2012). “Investigation of Soil and Bearing Capacity in Different Site Conditions.” *IOSR Journal of Mechanical and Civil Engineering (IOSR-JMCE)* ISSN: 2278-1684 Volume 3, Issue 4 (Sep-Oct. 2012), PP 37-43
 14. IS 6403:1981 “Determination of bearing capacity of shallow foundation.”
 15. IS 1904:1986 “Design and construction of foundations in soils: General Requirements.”
 16. IS 2911 (Part 1/Sec 1):2010 “Designing and construction of pile foundations.”
 17. IS 2911 (Part III):1980 “Designing and construction of pile foundations.”
 18. IS 8009 (Part 1):1976 “Calculation of settlement of foundations.”
 19. IS 2911 (Part I/Sec 2):1979 “Designing and construction of pile foundations.”