
A REVIEW ON PROSOPIS JULIFLORA FIBER: EXTRACTION, MODIFICATION TECHNIQUES, AND ITS ROLE IN SUSTAINABLE COMPOSITE MATERIALS

***Dr. Chirag Kumar. P. Patel and Dr. Krunal P. Shah**

Department of Mechanical and Auto mobile engineering R. H. Patel institute of Technology,
Goblaj-387540, Kheda, Gujarat, India.

Article Received: 1 May 2026

Article Revised: 21 May 2026

Published on: 11 June 2026

***Corresponding Author: Dr. Chirag Kumar. P. Patel**

Department of Mechanical and Auto mobile engineering R. H. Patel institute of
Technology, Goblaj-387540, Kheda, Gujarat, India.

DOI: <https://doi-doi.org/101555/ijrpa.8530>

ABSTRACT

Growing environmental awareness and the need to replace petroleum-based materials have intensified research into renewable reinforcement fibers for composite applications. In this context, *Prosopis juliflora*, a fast-growing and widely distributed invasive plant species, has emerged as a potential source of lignocellulosic fiber. This review consolidates recent advancements in fiber extraction methods, chemical modification strategies, structural characterization, and engineering applications of *Prosopis juliflora* fiber-based composites. Special attention is given to alkali treatment, hybridization approaches, and their influence on interfacial adhesion, mechanical strength, thermal stability, dielectric performance, and moisture behavior. The effect of geographical variability on fiber properties is also analyzed. Additionally, comparisons with commonly used natural fibers highlight its relative advantages and limitations. Finally, current challenges and future research directions are discussed to support broader industrial utilization of this renewable material.

KEYWORDS: *Prosopis juliflora*, natural fiber reinforcement, lignocellulosic composites, surface treatment, hybrid composites, bio-based materials, mechanical performance.

1. INTRODUCTION

The growing demand for sustainable engineering materials has accelerated research into bio-based composites as a viable alternative to conventional synthetic fiber-reinforced systems. Natural fiber-reinforced polymers have gained significant attention due to their

biodegradability, low density, renewability, and cost-effectiveness, making them suitable for a wide range of engineering applications. Among various lignocellulosic fibers, *Prosopis juliflora* has recently emerged as a promising candidate owing to its widespread availability in arid and semi-arid regions and its classification as an invasive species. Instead of being viewed solely as an environmental burden, this fast-growing plant is increasingly being explored as a valuable raw material source for composite reinforcement. Fibers extracted from its bark, stem, and root systems are rich in cellulose and exhibit favorable structural characteristics that can be effectively utilized in both polymer matrix and cementitious composites after suitable chemical or physical treatments. Recent investigations have demonstrated that incorporating *Prosopis juliflora* fibers into composite systems can enhance mechanical performance as well as impart improved functional properties, highlighting their potential for sustainable material development in modern engineering applications.

2. Review Strategy

The present review is based on a comprehensive analysis of published literature spanning the period from 1990 to 2025, focusing on peer-reviewed research articles, review papers, and scientific reports in the fields of materials science, polymer engineering, and natural fiber-reinforced composite systems. The selected literature was systematically examined to ensure relevance to natural fiber utilization and composite development, with particular emphasis on *Prosopis juliflora*-based materials. For better organization and critical evaluation, the collected studies were classified into several key thematic areas, including fiber extraction methods and compositional analysis, chemical and physical surface modification techniques, mechanical and thermal performance evaluation of composite systems, hybrid reinforcement strategies, and applications in both cementitious and polymer matrix composites. Only experimentally validated studies and well-documented review articles related to natural fiber composites and *Prosopis juliflora* systems were included to ensure the reliability and scientific rigor of the review findings.

3. Structural and Botanical Characteristics of *Prosopis juliflora* Fiber

Prosopis juliflora is a drought-resistant woody shrub widely distributed across tropical and semi-arid regions, where it thrives under harsh environmental conditions. The fibrous tissues of this plant are mainly composed of cellulose, hemicellulose, and lignin, which collectively govern its mechanical strength, thermal stability, and overall performance when used as a reinforcing material. Microscopic analysis of raw

Prosopis juliflora fibers shows a rough, uneven, and irregular surface morphology, which can be beneficial for improving mechanical interlocking with polymer matrices in composite systems. However, the presence of surface impurities such as waxy layers, pectin, and hemicellulosic coatings can hinder effective interfacial bonding,thereby necessitating chemical or physical treatment to enhance adhesion.

In addition, the chemical composition of these fibers is not uniform and tends to vary depending on climatic conditions, soil characteristics, and growth environments, which in turn influences their consistency and efficiency as reinforcement in composite applications.

4. Fiber Extraction Methods

Several extraction techniques have been reported for isolating fibers from *Prosopis juliflora*, each offering distinct advantages and limitations depending on processing conditions and intended applications. Mechanical processing is commonly employed for large-scale fiber extraction due to its simplicity and cost-effectiveness; however, improper control of processing parameters may lead to fiber breakage and structural damage, thereby reducing mechanical performance. Chemical processing methods, particularly alkali treatment, are widely recognized for their effectiveness in removing non-cellulosic constituents such as lignin, hemicellulose, waxes, and pectin, resulting in a cleaner fiber surface with improved roughness and enhanced interfacial adhesion with polymer matrices. Biological retting is another traditional technique in which microbial activity is utilized to degrade plant tissues and facilitate fiber release, but this process is relatively slow and strongly influenced by environmental factors such as temperature and humidity, making it less suitable for industrial-scale production. Overall, among the available methods, chemical treatment approaches are generally considered the most efficient for improving fiber quality, consistency, and overall performance in composite applications.

5. Surface Treatment and Modification Techniques

Improving fiber–matrix interaction is a critical requirement for developing high-performance natural fiber-reinforced composites. Among the various surface modification techniques, alkali treatment is the most widely adopted due to its effectiveness in removing surface impurities such as lignin, hemicellulose, waxes, and oils, thereby increasing surface roughness and promoting stronger mechanical interlocking with polymer matrices. In addition to alkali treatment, several other chemical and physical modification approaches have been reported to further enhance composite performance. Techniques such as silane coupling treatment

improve chemical bonding at the interface, while acetylation reduces the hydrophilic nature of fibers, thereby enhancing dimensional stability and reducing moisture absorption. Hybrid filler integration, involving the combination of natural fibers with nano- or micro-fillers, has also been shown to improve interfacial adhesion and overall mechanical strength. Collectively, these modification strategies play a significant role in enhancing durability, reducing variability, and improving the overall performance consistency of natural fiber-based composite materials.

6. Mechanical Performance of Composites

The incorporation of *Prosopis juliflora* fibers into polymer matrices has a significant effect on the mechanical behavior of composite materials. In general, tensile and flexural properties are observed to improve after appropriate chemical treatments, primarily due to enhanced interfacial adhesion and more efficient stress transfer between the fiber and the surrounding matrix. This improved bonding allows the applied load to be more effectively distributed across the reinforcement phase, resulting in higher strength and stiffness. Furthermore, hybrid composites that combine *Prosopis juliflora* fibers with other natural fibers or reinforcing fillers exhibit additional improvements in mechanical performance, as synergistic interactions between different reinforcements contribute to increased rigidity and load-bearing capacity. Although impact resistance in pure natural fiber composites is typically moderate due to the inherent brittleness of lignocellulosic fibers, it can be substantially enhanced through hybridization with synthetic fibers, which provide improved toughness and energy absorption capability.

7. Thermal and Dynamic Mechanical Behavior

Dynamic mechanical analysis studies indicate that the incorporation of *Prosopis juliflora* fibers into polymer composites enhances stiffness and improves resistance to deformation under varying thermal loading conditions. The presence of natural fibers contributes to higher storage modulus values, reflecting improved rigidity and load-bearing capability across a range of temperatures. Chemical modification of the fibers further enhances thermal stability by removing or reducing moisture-sensitive constituents such as hemicellulose and other amorphous components, which are more susceptible to thermal degradation. As a result, treated fibers exhibit improved resistance to thermal softening and better overall dimensional stability. In addition, hybrid composite systems demonstrate superior damping behavior and enhanced energy dissipation characteristics due to the

combined effects of multiple reinforcement phases. These improved viscoelastic properties make such composites particularly suitable for applications requiring vibration control and dynamic load absorption in engineering structures.

8. Moisture Absorption Characteristics

One of the major limitations of natural fiber-reinforced composites is their strong affinity for water absorption, primarily due to the abundant hydroxyl groups present in the cellulose structure. In *Prosopis juliflora*-based composites, untreated fibers tend to absorb a considerable amount of moisture from the environment, which can lead to swelling, microcrack formation, and deterioration of the fiber–matrix interface over time. This moisture uptake weakens interfacial bonding and ultimately reduces the mechanical strength and long-term durability of the composite materials. However, various surface modification techniques, such as alkali treatment and acetylation, have been shown to significantly reduce water absorption by altering the surface chemistry of the fibers and decreasing their hydrophilic nature. These treatments effectively remove or modify hydroxyl-rich components, thereby enhancing dimensional stability and improving the overall performance and service life of the composites under humid or wet conditions.

9. Hybrid Composite Systems

Hybridization is widely recognized as an effective strategy for optimizing the overall performance of composite materials by combining different types of reinforcing agents to achieve a balanced set of properties. In natural–natural hybrid systems, *Prosopis juliflora* fibers are often combined with other lignocellulosic reinforcements such as rice husk or *Phoenix sylvestris* fibers, resulting in improved mechanical balance, enhanced material compatibility, and greater cost efficiency due to the use of fully bio-based constituents. These hybrid configurations help in mitigating the limitations of individual natural fibers while maintaining sustainability advantages. On the other hand, natural–synthetic hybrid composites, particularly those incorporating glass fibers along with *Prosopis juliflora*, exhibit significantly higher strength, stiffness, and impact resistance. Such combinations are especially beneficial for structural applications where enhanced load-bearing capacity, durability, and resistance to dynamic stresses are required, making hybridization a key approach in expanding the engineering applicability of natural fiber-based composites.

10. Cementitious Composite Applications

The incorporation of *Prosopis juliflora* fibers into concrete matrices has been shown to enhance the overall mechanical performance of cement-based materials, particularly in terms of crack resistance and toughness.

These fibers function as effective crack-bridging agents by arresting the initiation and propagation of microcracks within the cementitious matrix. As a result, stress is more uniformly distributed, and the development of larger cracks is significantly delayed. This mechanism leads to improved post-cracking behavior, where the composite retains its structural integrity even after initial cracking. Consequently, the addition of *Prosopis juliflora* fibers contributes to increased ductility, greater energy absorption capacity, and improved resistance to brittle failure, making fiber-reinforced concrete more suitable for applications requiring enhanced durability and impact resistance.

11. Influence of Environmental and Geographical Factors

The characteristics of *Prosopis juliflora* fibers are significantly influenced by environmental and growth conditions, including soil composition, temperature, rainfall patterns, and regional ecological factors. These variations directly affect the internal structure and chemical composition of the fibers, particularly the cellulose, hemicellulose, and lignin content. As a result, key physical properties such as fiber diameter, aspect ratio, surface morphology, and density may differ depending on the geographical source of the plant material. These inconsistencies ultimately lead to variations in mechanical performance, including tensile strength, stiffness, and bonding behavior with polymer matrices. Therefore, the non-uniform nature of fibers obtained from different growth regions presents a challenge in achieving consistent performance in composite applications, highlighting the need for proper characterization and standardization before industrial utilization.

12. Comparative Assessment with Other Natural Fibers

Compared to widely used natural fibers such as jute, sisal, flax, and coir, *Prosopis juliflora* offers notable advantages primarily in terms of availability and cost, as it grows abundantly in arid and semi-arid regions and is often considered an invasive species requiring control. This widespread presence makes it a readily accessible and low-cost raw material for composite applications. However, in terms of inherent mechanical properties, *Prosopis juliflora* fibers generally exhibit only moderate tensile strength and stiffness when compared to conventional bast and leaf fibers, and therefore often require chemical or physical

modification to achieve comparable performance levels. Despite these limitations, its major advantage lies in its strong sustainability potential, large-scale availability in dryland ecosystems, and its value-added utilization as a means of converting an ecological problem into a useful engineering resource for composite material development.

13. Engineering Applications

Composites reinforced with *Prosopis juliflora* fibers demonstrate significant potential across a wide range of engineering and industrial applications due to their lightweight nature, sustainability, and acceptable mechanical performance after suitable treatment. In the automotive sector, these composites can be utilized for interior components such as door panels, dashboards, and seat backs, where reduced weight and improved energy efficiency are desirable. In the construction industry, they are suitable for lightweight panels and partition boards that offer ease of handling and improved thermal insulation. Additionally, *Prosopis juliflora* fiber-reinforced systems can be effectively incorporated into fiber-reinforced cementitious materials to enhance crack resistance and toughness. Their insulating properties also make them applicable in electrical insulation systems where moderate dielectric performance is required. Furthermore, owing to their biodegradable and renewable nature, these composites are increasingly being explored for eco-friendly packaging materials, contributing to sustainable development and reduced dependence on synthetic plastics.

14. Limitations and Research Challenges

Despite its significant potential, the utilization of *Prosopis juliflora* fibers in composite applications is still associated with several technical and practical challenges. One of the primary limitations is the high moisture sensitivity of natural fibers, which can lead to swelling, degradation of fiber–matrix adhesion, and reduced mechanical performance over time. In addition, there is considerable variation in fiber quality due to differences in growth conditions, harvesting methods, and extraction techniques, resulting in inconsistent composite behavior. The lack of well-developed industrial-scale processing infrastructure further restricts large-scale commercialization and uniform production. Moreover, there is a strong need for standardized chemical and physical treatment methods to ensure consistent fiber properties and reliable composite performance. Concerns regarding long-term durability, especially under environmental exposure such as humidity, temperature fluctuations, and biological degradation, also remain important. Addressing these challenges is essential to enable broader industrial adoption and to fully realize the potential of *Prosopis*

juliflora-based composite materials in engineering applications.

15. Future Research Directions

Future investigations in the field of *Prosopis juliflora*-based composites should focus on advancing both material performance and industrial applicability. One important direction is the extraction of nano-cellulose derivatives from the fibers, which can significantly enhance mechanical strength and functional properties at the nanoscale. Another promising area is the development of smart multifunctional composites that can exhibit additional capabilities such as self-healing, sensing, or adaptive behavior. The integration of these materials into 3D printing and additive manufacturing technologies also presents new opportunities for designing complex and customized structures. In addition, comprehensive life cycle and environmental impact assessments are necessary to evaluate the true sustainability benefits of these bio-based composites. Efforts should also be directed toward scaling up industrial processing methods to ensure consistent quality and economic feasibility for mass production. Furthermore, the development of advanced hybrid nano-reinforced systems combining natural fibers with nanofillers is expected to further enhance mechanical, thermal, and functional performance, thereby expanding their potential engineering applications.

16. CONCLUSION

Prosopis juliflora fiber represents a promising renewable reinforcement material for next-generation

composites systems. With appropriate chemical modification and hybridization, its mechanical and functional performance can be significantly improved. While challenges related to moisture absorption and variability persist, continued research supports its potential use in structural, automotive, and construction applications. Additionally, its utilization contributes to environmental management by converting an invasive species into a valuable engineering resource.

REFERENCES

1. Characterization of a novel natural cellulosic fiber from *Prosopis juliflora* bark S. Senthamarai Kannan, K. Kathiresan, "Characterization of a novel natural cellulosic fiber from *Prosopis juliflora* bark," Carbohydrate Polymers, vol. 92, no. 2, pp. 1928–1933, 2013.
2. P. Venkateshwar Reddy, R.V. Saikumar Reddy, B. Veerabhadra Reddy, D.M. Krishnudu, P. Rajendra Prasad, P. Srinivasa Rao, "Enhancement of the Dynamic

- Mechanical and Dielectric Properties of Prosopis juliflora Fiber-Reinforced Composites by Fiber Modification,” *Journal of Natural Fibers*, vol. 19, no. 13, pp. 6780–6796, 2022.
3. P. Venkateshwar Reddy, et al., “Influence of fillers on mechanical properties of Prosopis juliflora fiber reinforced hybrid composites,” *Materials Today: Proceedings*, vol. 19, pp. 384–387, 2019.
 4. S.R. Benin, S. Kannan, A. Jacob Moses, R.J. Bright, “Mechanical characterization of Prosopis juliflora reinforced polymer matrix composites with filler material,” *Materials Today: Proceedings*, vol. 33, pp. 1110–1115, 2020.
 5. M.S. Santhosh, et al., “Mechanical and morphological behaviour of rice husk/Prosopis juliflora reinforced bio composites,” *Materials Today: Proceedings*, 2020.
 6. D.M. Krishnudu, et al., “Effect of alkali treatment on mechanical properties of Prosopis juliflora hybrid composites,” *International Journal of Applied Engineering Research*, 2018.
 7. S. Dinesh, N. Mani, S. Selvaraju, E. Thangavelu, “Characterization and Analysis of Mechanical and Morphological Properties of Hybrid Composite Material from Prosopis Juliflora and Phoenix sylvestris for Engineering Applications,” *Materials Science*, 2025.
 8. C.C. Gifta, R. Selvaraj, R.N. Nelson, S.R. Madamuthu, “Mechanical Properties of Prosopis Juliflora Fiber Reinforced Concrete,” *Journal of Natural Fibers*, vol. 19, no. 11, pp. 4156–4169, 2022.
 9. K. Palaniappan, J.R. Hanumanthu, S. Singh, K.A. Prabha, S.B. Murthy, T.F. dos Santos, “Effects of Geographical Conditions on the Physiochemical Properties of Natural Fiber Extracted from the Root of Prosopis juliflora,” *Applied Science and Engineering Progress*, 2024.
 10. M.P. Satyanarayana, et al., “Natural fibre–polymer composites,” *Cement and Concrete Composites*, vol. 12, pp. 117–136, 1990.
 11. A.K. Mohanty, M. Misra, G. Hinrichsen, “Biofibres, biodegradable polymers and biocomposites: An overview,” *Macromolecular Materials and Engineering*, vol. 276–277, pp. 1–24, 2000.
 12. A.K. Bledzki, J. Gassan, “Composites reinforced with cellulose based fibres,” *Progress in Polymer Science*, vol. 24, no. 2, pp. 221–274, 1999.
 13. P. Wambua, J. Ivens, I. Verpoest, “Natural fibres: Can they replace glass in fibre reinforced plastics?” *Composites Science and Technology*, vol. 63, no. 9, pp. 1259–1264, 2003.
 14. H.Y. Cheung, M.P. Ho, K.T. Lau, F. Cardona, D. Hui, “Natural fibre-reinforced

- composites for bioengineering and environmental engineering applications,” *Composites Part B*, vol. 40, pp. 655–663, 2009.
15. K.L. Pickering, M.G.A. Efendy, T.M. Le, “A review of recent developments in natural fibre composites and their mechanical performance,” *Composites Part A*, vol. 83, pp. 98–112, 2016.
16. O.Faruk, A.K. Bledzki, H.P. Fink, M. Sain, “Biocomposites reinforced with natural fibers: 2000–2010,” *Progress in Polymer Science*, vol. 37, no. 11, pp. 1552–1596, 2012.
17. A. Shalwan, B.F. Yousif, “In state of art: Mechanical and tribological behaviour of polymeric composites based on natural fibres,” *Materials & Design*, vol. 48, pp. 14–24, 2013.
18. T.P. Sathishkumar, P. Navaneethakrishnan, S. Shankar, R. Rajasekar, N. Rajini, “Characterization of natural fiber and composites—A review,” *Journal of Reinforced Plastics and Composites*, vol. 32, no. 19, pp. 1457–1476, 2013.
19. N. Venkatachalam, P. Navaneethakrishnan, R. Rajasekar, S. Shankar, “Effect of Pretreatment Method on Properties of Natural Fiber Composites: A Review,” *Journal of Thermoplastic Composite Materials*, vol. 29, no. 1, pp. 140–173, 2016.
20. S. Haghdan, G.D. Smith, “Natural fiber reinforced polyester composites: A literature review,” *Journal of Reinforced Plastics and Composites*, vol. 34, no. 14, pp. 1179–1190, 2015.
21. M. Jawaid, H.P.S. Abdul Khalil, “Cellulosic/synthetic fibre reinforced polymer hybrid composites: A review,” *Carbohydrate Polymers*, vol. 86, pp. 1–18, 2011.
22. M. Jawaid, H.P.S. Abdul Khalil, A.A. Bakar, “Woven hybrid composites: Tensile and flexural properties of oil palm-woven jute fibres based epoxy composites,” *Materials Science and Engineering A*, vol. 528, pp. 5190–5195, 2011.
23. A.K. Bledzki, A.A. Mamun, J. Volk, “Barley husk and coconut shell reinforced polypropylene composites,” *Composites Science and Technology*, vol. 70, pp. 840–846, 2010.
24. M. Fiore, T. Scalici, F. Nicoletti, G. Vitale, M. Prestipino, A. Valenza, “A review on basalt fibre and its composites,” *Composites Part B*, vol. 74, pp. 74–94, 2015.
25. X. Li, L.G. Tabil, S. Panigrahi, “Chemical treatments of natural fiber for use in natural fiber-reinforced composites: A review,” *Journal of Polymers and the Environment*, vol. 15, pp. 25–33, 2007.

26. M. Kabir, H. Wang, K.T. Lau, F. Cardona, "Chemical treatments on plant-based natural fibre reinforced polymer composites: An overview," *Composites Part B*, vol. 43, pp. 2883–2892, 2012.
27. S.V. Joshi, L.T. Drzal, A.K. Mohanty, S. Arora, "Are natural fiber composites environmentally superior to glass fiber reinforced composites?" *Composites Part A*, vol. 35, pp. 371–376, 2004.
28. A. Ashori, "Wood–plastic composites as promising green-composites for automotive industries," *Bioresource Technology*, vol. 99, pp. 4661–4667, 2008.
29. K.L. Pickering, M.A. Efendy, T.M. Le, "A review of recent developments in natural fibre composites and their mechanical performance," *Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing*, vol. 83, pp. 98–112, 2016.
30. O.Faruk,A.K. Bledzki, H.P. Fink, M. Sain, "Biocompositesreinforced with natural fibers: 2000–2010," *Progress in Polymer Science*, vol. 37, no. 11, pp. 1552–1596, 2012.
31. M. Jawaid, H.P.S. Abdul Khalil, "Cellulosic/synthetic fibre reinforced polymer hybrid composites: A review," *Carbohydrate Polymers*, vol. 86, no. 1, pp. 1–18, 2011.
32. X. Li, L.G. Tabil, S. Panigrahi, "Chemical treatments of natural fiber for use in natural fiber-reinforced composites: A review," *Journal of Polymers and the Environment*, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 25–33, 2007.
33. M.M. Kabir, H. Wang, K.T. Lau, F. Cardona, "Chemical treatments on plant-based natural fibre reinforced polymer composites: An overview," *Composites Part B: Engineering*, vol. 43, no. 7, pp. 2883–2892, 2012.
34. M. Fiore, T. Scalici, F. Nicoletti, G. Vitale, M. Prestipino, A. Valenza, "A review on basalt fibre and its composites," *Composites Part B: Engineering*, vol. 74, pp. 74–94, 2015.
35. T.P. Sathishkumar, P. Navaneethakrishnan, S. Shankar, R. Rajasekar, N. Rajini, "Characterization of natural fiber and composites—A review," *Journal of Reinforced Plastics and Composites*, vol. 32, no. 19, pp. 1457–1476, 2013.
36. A. Ashori, "Wood–plastic composites as promising green-composites for automotive industries," *Bioresource Technology*, vol. 99, no. 11, pp. 4661–4667, 2008.
37. S.V. Joshi, L.T. Drzal, A.K. Mohanty, S. Arora, "Are natural fiber composites environmentally superior to glass fiber reinforced composites?" *Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing*, vol. 35, no. 3, pp. 371–376, 2004.
38. A.K. Mohanty, M. Misra, L.T. Drzal, "Sustainable bio-composites from renewable

- resources: Opportunities and challenges in the green materials world,” *Journal of Polymers and the Environment*, vol. 10, no. 1–2, pp. 19–26, 2002.
39. M. Jawaid, H.P.S. Abdul Khalil, A.A. Bakar, “Woven hybrid composites: Tensile and flexural properties of oil palm-woven jute fibres based epoxy composites,” *Materials Science and Engineering A*, vol. 528, no. 15, pp. 5190–5195, 2011.
 40. S. Senthamaraiannan, K. Kathiresan, “Characterization of raw and alkali treated new natural cellulosic fiber from *Coccinia grandis*,” *Carbohydrate Polymers*, vol. 186, pp. 332–343, 2018.
 41. M. Ramesh, K. Palanikumar, K.H. Reddy, “Mechanical property evaluation of sisal–jute–glass fiber reinforced polyester composites,” *Composites Part B: Engineering*, vol. 48, pp. 1–9, 2013.
 42. A. Sanjay, G.R. Arpitha, B. Yogesha, “Study on mechanical properties of natural-glass fibre reinforced polymer hybrid composites: A review,” *Materials Today: Proceedings*, vol. 2, no. 4–5, pp. 2959–2967, 2015.
 43. H.P.S. Abdul Khalil, Y. Davoudpour, C.K.S. Islam, et al., “Production and modification of an of ibrillated celluloseusing variousme chanicalprocesses: Areview,”*CarbohydratePolymers*,vol.99, pp. 649–665, 2014.
 44. M. Jawaid, M.T. Paridah, H.P.S. Abdul Khalil, “Cellulosic/synthetic fibre reinforced polymer hybrid composites,” *Springer Series in Materials Science*, Springer, 2014.
 45. M.R. Sanjay, G.R. Arpitha, L.L. Naik, K. Gopalakrishna, B. Yogesha, “Applications of natural fibers and its composites: An overview,” *Natural Resources*, vol. 7, no. 3, pp. 108–114, 2016.
 46. A.K. Bledzki, J. Gassan, “Composites reinforced with cellulose based fibres,” *Progress in Polymer Science*, vol. 24, no. 2, pp. 221–274, 1999.
 47. A.K. Bledzki, A.A. Mamun, M. Lucka-Gabor, V.S. Gutowski, “The effects of acetylation on properties of flax fibre and its polypropylene composites,” *Express Polymer Letters*, vol. 2, no. 6, pp. 413–422, 2008.
 48. D. Verma, P.C. Gope, A. Shandilya, A. Gupta, M.K. Maheshwari, “Coir fibre reinforcement and application in polymer composites: A review,” *Journal of Materials and Environmental Science*, vol. 4, no.2, pp. 263–276, 2013.
 49. A. John, R.D. Anandjiwala, “Recent developments in chemical modification and characterization of natural fiber-reinforced composites,” *Polymer Composites*, vol. 29, no. 2, pp. 187–207, 2008.
 50. N. Sgriccia, M.C. Hawley, M. Misra, “Characterization of natural fiber surfaces and

- natural fiber composites,” *Composites Part A*, vol. 39, no. 10, pp. 1632–1637, 2008.
51. A. Bourmaud, C. Baley, “Investigations on the recycling of hemp and sisal fibre reinforced polypropylene composites,” *Polymer Degradation and Stability*, vol. 94, no. 2, pp. 297–305, 2009.
 52. M. Ramesh, K.Palanikumar, K.H. Reddy, “Plant fibrebased bio-composites: Sustainable and renewable green materials,” *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, vol. 79, pp. 558–584, 2017.
 53. P. Wambua, J. Ivens, I. Verpoest, “Natural fibres: Can they replace glass in fibre reinforced plastics?” *Composites Science and Technology*, vol. 63, no. 9, pp. 1259–1264, 2003.
 54. H.Y. Cheung, M.P. Ho, K.T. Lau, F. Cardona, D. Hui, “Natural fibre-reinforced composites for bioengineering and environmental engineering applications,” *Composites Part B: Engineering*, vol. 40, no.7, pp. 655–663, 2009.
 55. A. Noryani, S.M. Sapuan, M.T. Mastura, “Mechanical and thermal properties of natural fibre reinforced thermoplastic composites,” *Materials & Design*, vol. 65, pp. 833–840, 2015.
 56. M.R. Sanjay, P. Madhu, G.R. Arpitha, et al., “Characterization and properties of natural fiber polymer composites: A comprehensive review,” *Journal of Cleaner Production*, vol. 172, pp. 566–581, 2018.
 57. M. Sain, S. Panthapulakkal, “Bioprocess preparation of wheat straw fibers and their characterization,” *Industrial Crops and Products*, vol. 23, no. 1, pp. 1–8, 2006.
 58. P. Venkateshwar Reddy, R.V.S. Reddy, D.M. Krishnudu, et al., “Enhancement of dynamic mechanical and dielectric properties of *Prosopis juliflora* fiber-reinforced composites by fiber modification,” *Journal of Natural Fibers*, vol. 19, no. 13, pp. 6780–6796, 2022.
 59. S.R. Benin, S. Kannan, A.J. Moses, R.J. Bright, “Mechanical characterization of *Prosopis juliflora* reinforced polymer matrix composites with filler material,” *Materials Today: Proceedings*, vol. 33, pp.1110–1115, 2020.
 60. S. Dinesh, N. Mani, S. Selvaraju, E. Thangavelu, “Characterization and analysis of mechanical and morphological properties of hybrid composite material from *Prosopis juliflora* and *Phoenix sylvestris*,” *Materials Science*, 2025.
 61. K. Palaniappan, J.R. Hanumanthu, et al., “Effects of geographical conditions on the physiochemical properties of natural fiber extracted from the root of *Prosopis juliflora*,” *Applied Science and Engineering Progress*, 2024. make 6 page concise review paper