2026 Volume: 02 Issue: 05 www.ijrpa.com ISSN 2456-9995 Review Article

International Journal Research Publication Analysis

Page: 01-17

AI-ENHANCED BREAST TUMOR CLASSIFICATION USING MEDICAL IMAGING AND DENSE NEURAL NETWORKS – A REVIEW

[*1]Anjalee Kahar, [2]Prof. Prakash Saxena

[1]Research Scholar Bansal Group of Institute of Science and Technology, Bhopal

[2]Assistant Professor Bansal Group of Institute of Science and Technology, Bhopal

Article Received: 11 April 2026
Article Revised: 01 May 2026
Published on: 21 May 2026

***Corresponding Author: Anjalee Kahar**
Research Scholar Bansal Group of Institute of Science and Technology, Bhopal

DOI: https://doi-doi.org/101555/ijrpa.4224

ABSTRACT

Breast cancer remains a major healthcare challenge that requires early and accurate diagnosis to improve patient survival and reduce treatment complexity. Manual interpretation of mammography, ultrasound, MRI and histopathological images is clinically important but may suffer from diagnostic variability, workload pressure and dependence on radiologist expertise. This review presents a compact analysis of AI-enhanced breast tumor classification using medical imaging and deep learning. It examines conventional machine learning, Convolutional Neural Networks, Dense Neural Networks, transfer learning, preprocessing methods, evaluation metrics and explainable AI approaches. The review shows that deep learning models generally outperform handcrafted-feature methods because they learn complex imaging patterns automatically. The Anjalee framework emphasizes DNN-based classification supported by preprocessing and regularization, with reported diagnostic performance of 95.61%. Major challenges include limited datasets, class imbalance, overfitting, interpretability, privacy and clinical validation. Future directions include explainable AI, federated learning, multi-modal imaging and lightweight deployment for practical healthcare systems.

KEYWORDS: Breast Cancer, Medical Imaging, Artificial Intelligence, Deep Learning, Dense Neural Network, Medical Diagnosis, Healthcare Analytics, Tumor Classification.

1. INTRODUCTION

Breast cancer remains one of the most serious healthcare challenges worldwide and continues to demand early, accurate and reliable diagnostic support. Early detection of benign and malignant breast abnormalities directly influences treatment planning, survival probability and the overall cost of care. Conventional diagnostic procedures such as mammography, ultrasound, magnetic resonance imaging and histopathological examination are clinically valuable, but their interpretation depends heavily on radiologist expertise, image quality and workload conditions. Manual analysis may therefore suffer from subjectivity, inter-observer variability and delayed reporting, especially in healthcare environments with limited specialist availability [1], [2].

Artificial Intelligence, Machine Learning and Deep Learning have introduced powerful alternatives for supporting breast tumor classification through automated image analysis. Earlier machine learning models such as Support Vector Machines, Random Forests, Decision Trees and k-nearest neighbor classifiers improved diagnostic consistency, but they depended strongly on handcrafted feature extraction involving texture, boundary, shape and intensity descriptors [3]. Deep learning reduced this dependency by learning hierarchical and non-linear imaging patterns directly from medical images. Convolutional Neural Networks, Dense Neural Networks, transfer learning models and hybrid AI frameworks have shown strong capability in identifying tumor texture irregularities, density variations, boundary distortions and abnormal tissue patterns [4], [5]. Dense Neural Networks are especially useful when imaging features are preprocessed or transformed into structured numerical representations. By using multiple hidden layers, activation functions and regularization strategies, DNN-based systems can model complex relationships between extracted features and diagnostic categories. The reviewed Anjalee work emphasizes AI-enhanced breast tumor classification using medical imaging, with attention to data preprocessing, deep learning model design, evaluation metrics and clinical applicability. This review summarizes the major concepts, literature trends, comparative model behavior, dataset requirements, challenges and future directions associated with AI-assisted breast cancer diagnosis [6]–[8].

The importance of these technologies is particularly evident in screening contexts, where large numbers of images must be examined under time pressure. AI models can act as assistive systems by identifying suspicious cases, reducing repetitive workload and supporting more uniform interpretation across clinical settings. This supportive role is central to the reviewed work because it treats AI as a diagnostic aid rather than a replacement for expert medical judgment. The review also recognizes that breast cancer diagnosis is not a single-step classification problem. Effective automated diagnosis depends on a complete pipeline that includes image acquisition, preprocessing, feature learning, classification and performance validation. Weaknesses in any stage of this pipeline can reduce diagnostic reliability, which is why recent literature increasingly emphasizes end-to-end evaluation instead of reporting accuracy alone.

2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Existing literature confirms that AI-assisted medical image analysis has significantly transformed breast cancer diagnosis by improving speed, consistency and classification reliability. Early studies relied on handcrafted feature extraction methods such as texture analysis, edge detection, wavelet descriptors, morphological measurements and statistical image features. These features were supplied to classical classifiers including SVM, Random Forest, Naive Bayes and Decision Tree models. Although such approaches improved computer-aided diagnosis compared with purely manual analysis, their performance was limited by the quality of extracted features and the expertise required to design them [2], [14]. Deep learning research marked a major shift because neural networks could automatically learn meaningful representations from raw or preprocessed medical images. Foundational work on deep learning highlighted the ability of neural networks to model complex non-linear relationships, while medical imaging surveys demonstrated that deep architectures consistently improved performance in segmentation, classification and detection tasks [11], [12], [16]. CNN-based studies reported strong performance in mammogram and ultrasound image analysis because convolutional filters learn spatial patterns such as tumor margins, calcifications, tissue density and texture irregularities [1], [5], [21].

Transfer learning further strengthened breast cancer diagnosis research because medical datasets are often smaller than general computer vision datasets. Pretrained architectures such as VGGNet, ResNet, DenseNet and EfficientNet enable reuse of visual features learned from large image repositories and allow fine-tuning for medical imaging tasks [9], [17]. Recent work has increasingly focused on explainable AI, since radiologists require transparent diagnostic support rather than black-box predictions. Visualization tools such as Grad-CAM, saliency maps and attention heatmaps help identify image regions influencing model decisions and improve clinical trust [22]. Overall, the literature shows a steady transition from handcrafted feature engineering to automatic representation learning, from isolated classification to integrated computer-aided diagnosis, and from accuracy-focused evaluation to broader reliability, interpretability and clinical usability. However, persistent issues such as limited labeled data, class imbalance, variable imaging quality, computational cost and lack of external validation continue to restrict practical deployment in real clinical environments [3], [4], [13].

In addition to classification, AI contributes to segmentation, localization, risk scoring and decision support. Segmentation helps isolate tumor regions from surrounding tissue, while localization indicates suspicious image areas that need closer review. These tasks are closely related because accurate localization and segmentation can improve classification performance by ensuring that the model focuses on clinically relevant regions rather than background information. The reviewed literature further shows that AI in healthcare must be evaluated with more caution than AI in general image recognition. In medical diagnosis, a technically high accuracy value is not sufficient if the model produces unacceptable false-negative predictions or performs poorly for underrepresented patient groups. Therefore, fairness, robustness and external validation are increasingly considered essential components of responsible medical AI development.

3. AI in Medical Imaging and Breast Cancer Diagnosis

Artificial Intelligence has become a central technology in medical imaging because healthcare systems generate large volumes of visual data that require rapid and accurate interpretation. Mammography, ultrasound, MRI, CT and histopathological imaging provide different views of breast tissue characteristics, but manual review of these images is time-consuming and may vary across institutions and specialists. AI-based frameworks improve diagnostic support by automatically recognizing visual patterns associated with benign and malignant tumor structures, including irregular boundaries, heterogeneous textures, abnormal density distribution and suspicious tissue distortions [4], [10]. Machine learning and deep learning contribute to the diagnostic pipeline at different stages. Machine learning systems commonly use extracted features and then classify them using statistical or ensemble models. Deep learning systems, in contrast, learn features directly from images through layered transformations. CNNs are particularly effective for spatial feature extraction, while DNNs can classify processed feature vectors after preprocessing or flattening. Transfer learning improves performance when training data are limited, while hybrid architectures combine feature extraction, classification and sometimes sequential or attention-based modeling [5]–[9].

Computer-Aided Diagnosis systems integrate AI outputs into clinical workflows by highlighting suspicious regions, classifying images and supporting radiologists in decision-making. Such systems are not intended to replace clinicians but to reduce workload, improve consistency and assist early detection. AI systems can also support screening programs by prioritizing high-risk cases and helping institutions manage large imaging volumes. However, clinical integration requires models that are transparent, externally validated, secure and compatible with hospital information systems [12], [13]. Explainable AI is therefore an essential part of breast cancer diagnosis. Since false negatives can delay treatment and false positives can cause unnecessary biopsies and anxiety, clinicians need to understand why an AI model reaches a decision. Explainability methods show influential image regions or feature contributions, allowing experts to verify whether the model focuses on clinically meaningful patterns. This improves trust, accountability and responsible deployment of AI-assisted diagnostic tools [22].

Transfer learning is also valuable because many breast cancer datasets contain limited labeled samples. A model pretrained on a large image dataset already understands general visual patterns such as edges, textures and shapes. Fine-tuning such a model on breast imaging data can reduce training time and improve generalization. However, careful tuning is necessary because medical images differ from natural images in texture, contrast and clinical meaning.

Hybrid models have gained attention because they combine the strengths of different architectures. For example, CNN-DNN frameworks can extract spatial features using convolutional layers and then perform classification using dense layers. Attention-based models can focus on tumor-relevant regions, while explainable components help clinicians interpret diagnostic outputs. Such hybrid systems may improve accuracy and trust but require stronger computational resources and careful validation. For DNN-based systems, preprocessing quality is especially important because the model learns from the numerical representation supplied to it. If important spatial or textural information is lost during feature preparation, the DNN may not capture clinically meaningful patterns. Therefore, DNN frameworks should be paired with robust preprocessing, normalization, feature selection and regularization strategies to achieve reliable diagnostic outcomes.

AI-assisted breast cancer diagnosis also benefits from the integration of clinical context with imaging information. Patient age, family history, lesion location and prior screening records can provide additional cues that improve diagnostic interpretation. Although the reviewed paper primarily focuses on imaging and deep learning, future systems may combine image-based predictions with clinical attributes to produce more comprehensive decision-support outputs. Another important point is that AI systems can help standardize diagnostic interpretation. In manual settings, two specialists may interpret subtle image findings differently because of experience level, fatigue or institutional practices. A well-trained AI model can provide consistent preliminary assessment across cases, helping reduce variability and supporting more uniform screening practices.

4. Deep Learning Techniques and DNN Framework

Deep learning techniques have become highly influential in breast tumor classification because they learn complex representations from imaging data without relying entirely on manual feature engineering. CNNs use convolutional and pooling layers to identify local spatial features such as edges, textures, calcification patterns and tumor boundaries. Fully connected layers then combine these learned features for classification. ReLU activation functions improve optimization stability, while pooling reduces dimensionality and helps control overfitting [5], [21]. Advanced CNN architectures improved diagnostic performance through deeper and more stable learning structures. VGGNet demonstrated the value of deeper convolutional stacks, while ResNet used residual connections to overcome degradation and vanishing-gradient problems in very deep networks [9], [17]. DenseNet and EfficientNet-based approaches further improved feature reuse and computational efficiency. These architectures are widely used through transfer learning, which is important in medical diagnosis because large annotated datasets are difficult to collect and label [1], [3].

Dense Neural Networks also play a practical role in breast tumor classification, especially when input data consist of extracted or flattened imaging features. A DNN contains fully connected layers in which neurons learn non-linear relationships among features such as tumor shape, boundary irregularity, intensity distribution and tissue density. The final output layer can apply sigmoid activation for binary classification between benign and malignant tumors. Regularization methods such as dropout, batch normalization and early stopping reduce overfitting and improve performance on unseen images [6], [19].

Compared with CNNs, DNNs are computationally simpler but do not inherently preserve spatial relationships in raw images. Their performance therefore depends on preprocessing quality and feature representation. In Anjalee’s work, the DNN-based diagnostic approach is presented as a practical framework that balances classification accuracy, implementation simplicity and computational efficiency. The reported accuracy of 95.61% indicates that a well-configured DNN supported by appropriate preprocessing and evaluation can provide reliable assistance in binary breast tumor diagnosis. Balanced datasets are particularly important in breast cancer diagnosis. If benign samples greatly outnumber malignant samples, the model may learn to predict the majority class and fail to identify critical malignant cases. Techniques such as stratified splitting, augmentation of minority classes and balanced performance metrics are therefore important for reducing bias and improving clinical usefulness.

Preprocessing also helps reduce variation caused by different imaging devices and acquisition conditions. For example, contrast enhancement can make tumor boundaries clearer, while normalization reduces the effect of intensity variation. However, preprocessing must be applied carefully because excessive filtering or resizing may remove subtle diagnostic cues. The reviewed work therefore emphasizes a structured pipeline rather than isolated model selection. Evaluation should include both overall and class-wise metrics. Accuracy may appear acceptable even when the model performs poorly on malignant cases. Precision indicates how reliable positive predictions are, recall indicates how many actual malignant cases are detected, and F1-score balances both. Confusion matrix analysis is especially useful because it shows the distribution of true positives, true negatives, false positives and false negatives.

The DNN framework discussed in the review is also relevant for environments where direct image-based CNN training is not feasible. Some institutions may not have access to large annotated image repositories or high-end computational systems. In such cases, extracting meaningful image features and training a DNN classifier can provide a practical diagnostic solution with comparatively lower resource requirements. Model optimization is another important part of the DNN pipeline. Learning rate selection, batch size, epoch count and optimizer choice influence convergence behavior and final accuracy. Adam optimizer is commonly used because it adapts the learning rate during training. Regularization methods such as dropout and early stopping are especially valuable in medical datasets because they reduce memorization and improve generalization.

5. Dataset and Medical Imaging Analysis Techniques

Dataset quality is a decisive factor in AI-enhanced breast tumor classification. Medical imaging datasets may include mammography, ultrasound, MRI, histopathological images or numerical imaging features describing benign and malignant tumors. Each sample must be accurately labeled, since supervised learning depends on correct diagnostic categories. Dataset diversity is also important because images vary according to device type, acquisition protocol, patient characteristics, resolution, contrast and institutional practices [3], [12]. Preprocessing improves data consistency before model training. Common steps include resizing images to a fixed input size, normalizing pixel values, reducing noise, enhancing contrast and removing irrelevant background regions. These steps improve training stability and help the model focus on clinically useful patterns. Data augmentation methods such as rotation, flipping, zooming, shifting and brightness adjustment create additional variations of existing images and reduce overfitting when datasets are small [6], [15].

Feature extraction may be manual or automatic. Traditional systems rely on handcrafted descriptors such as histograms, texture features, edge patterns and shape measurements. Deep learning models automatically learn useful representations through multiple layers, which makes them more suitable for complex tumor patterns. For DNN-based classification, processed image features can be transformed into structured numerical vectors that allow the network to learn relationships between visual characteristics and diagnostic outcomes [8], [11]. Dataset splitting is required for fair evaluation. Training data optimize model parameters, validation data monitor learning and support hyperparameter tuning, and testing data assess final performance on unseen samples. Reliable evaluation must consider accuracy, precision, recall, F1-score and confusion matrix results. In medical diagnosis, recall is especially important for malignant tumor detection because false-negative results may delay treatment. Precision is also important because false positives may lead to unnecessary procedures and emotional stress [13].

The comparison also shows that model selection should depend on practical requirements. If interpretability and low computation are priorities, simpler models may remain useful for structured datasets. If direct analysis of raw images is required, CNNs and transfer learning models are more appropriate. If the goal is feature-based classification with moderate complexity, DNNs may provide a strong balance between performance and implementation simplicity. In clinical settings, the most suitable model is not always the most complex model. A highly complex architecture may perform well in experiments but may be difficult to deploy, explain or maintain. Therefore, comparative analysis must consider accuracy, sensitivity, computational cost, interpretability, training data requirements and integration feasibility. This broader view supports more realistic evaluation of AI-assisted breast tumor classification systems.

Medical imaging analysis must also address annotation quality. Labels used for training should be verified by experts because incorrect labels can mislead the model and reduce diagnostic reliability. In breast cancer datasets, pathology-confirmed labels are generally more reliable than labels based only on image interpretation. Therefore, future datasets should aim to include strong ground truth information wherever possible. Data augmentation should also preserve medical realism. Rotating or flipping images may help increase training diversity, but transformations should not create anatomically unrealistic patterns. Augmentation strategies must be selected with clinical awareness so that model learning remains relevant to actual diagnostic scenarios.

6. Comparative Analysis of Existing Models

Comparative analysis helps clarify the strengths and limitations of different AI models for breast tumor classification. Classical models such as SVM, Random Forest and Decision Tree algorithms are useful when structured features are available and interpretability is required. However, they depend heavily on handcrafted feature extraction and usually cannot learn spatial patterns directly from raw medical images. CNNs and transfer learning models generally perform better for image-based diagnosis because they automatically capture tumor-related spatial features [1], [14], [21]. Dense Neural Networks provide a practical middle path between classical models and highly complex CNN systems. DNNs are suitable when images have already been preprocessed or converted into numerical features. They can learn non-linear diagnostic patterns and provide strong binary classification performance when supported by dropout, early stopping and normalization. Hybrid deep learning and explainable AI models can improve robustness and clinical trust but may increase computational and implementation complexity [19], [22].

Table 1: Comparative Analysis of Breast Tumor Classification Models.

Model Type	Strengths	Limitations	Suitability
SVM	Effective for structured features	Requires handcrafted features	Small to medium datasets
Random Forest	Robust ensemble learning	Limited spatial image learning	Structured medical data
Decision Tree	Simple and interpretable	Prone to overfitting	Basic diagnostic systems
CNN	Strong spatial feature extraction	Needs larger datasets and computation	Image-based diagnosis
Transfer Learning	Useful with limited data	Requires careful fine-tuning	Clinical imaging tasks
Dense Neural Network	Learns non-linear patterns	Depends on preprocessing quality	Feature-based tumor classification
Hybrid / XAI Models	High accuracy and transparency	Higher complexity	Clinical decision support

Another important challenge is reproducibility. Many studies report strong results but use different datasets, preprocessing procedures and train-test splits. Without standard reporting and external validation, it becomes difficult to determine whether performance gains are due to model quality or experimental conditions. Transparent reporting of dataset characteristics, augmentation methods and evaluation protocols is therefore necessary. Bias is also a serious concern in medical AI. If datasets do not represent different age groups, population backgrounds, imaging devices and clinical conditions, the model may perform unevenly across patient groups. Such bias can reduce diagnostic fairness and may worsen healthcare inequality. Future systems must therefore be trained and validated on diverse datasets and assessed for fairness as well as accuracy.

Deployment challenges include integration with radiology workflows, data security, regulatory approval and clinician acceptance. Even accurate models may fail in practice if they interrupt clinical workflow or provide outputs that specialists cannot interpret. Practical AI systems should therefore be designed with clinician feedback, clear reporting and safe decision-support mechanisms.

7. Challenges and Research Gaps

Despite strong progress, AI-enhanced breast tumor classification still faces several unresolved challenges. Limited availability of large and diverse medical imaging datasets remains a major issue because image collection and annotation require clinical expertise, ethical approval and patient privacy protection. Small datasets increase the risk of overfitting and reduce generalization capability across hospitals and imaging devices. Class imbalance is also common, and models trained on imbalanced data may become biased toward majority classes [3], [12]. Image quality variation creates another challenge. Differences in contrast, resolution, noise, scanning protocol and device type may cause a model trained in one environment to perform poorly in another. External validation on multi-center datasets is therefore essential before clinical deployment. Standardized evaluation protocols are also needed because different studies use different datasets, preprocessing methods, split ratios and metrics, making direct comparison difficult [4], [13].

Interpretability remains one of the most important research gaps. Many deep models operate as black-box systems, which can reduce clinician confidence. Explainable AI can highlight influential image regions and feature contributions, but practical integration of such tools into clinical workflow remains limited. Ethical issues related to privacy, fairness, bias and accountability must also be addressed before large-scale use in healthcare environments [10], [22]. Computational complexity further affects deployment. Advanced CNNs, Transformers and ensemble models may require high-performance GPUs, which may not be available in rural or low-resource settings. Lightweight models, edge AI, optimized DNN frameworks and cloud-assisted diagnosis may improve accessibility. Future research must therefore balance accuracy with transparency, fairness, cost, efficiency and clinical usability.

AI systems can also assist educational and research activities by helping trainees understand imaging patterns associated with benign and malignant cases. When combined with explainable visual outputs, such systems can support learning and improve awareness of subtle diagnostic features. This educational role complements clinical decision support and strengthens the overall value of AI in healthcare.

From a public health perspective, early breast cancer detection has major social and economic benefits. Accurate AI-assisted screening may support earlier treatment, reduce complications and help healthcare systems allocate resources more efficiently. These benefits are particularly important in regions where specialist diagnostic services are limited or unevenly distributed. Research gaps also exist in reporting model uncertainty. In clinical environments, it is useful for AI systems to indicate confidence levels rather than only providing a final class label. Low-confidence predictions can be referred for specialist review, while high-confidence predictions may support faster triage. Uncertainty-aware AI can therefore improve safety and decision-making in breast cancer diagnosis.

8. Applications and Significance

AI-enhanced breast tumor classification has important applications in screening, diagnosis, workflow optimization and clinical decision support. In large-scale screening programs, AI can help prioritize suspicious images and reduce radiologist workload. In Computer-Aided Diagnosis systems, AI can highlight suspicious regions, classify tumor patterns and provide supportive recommendations for expert review. These functions improve speed, consistency and diagnostic confidence without replacing clinical judgment [4], [13]. The significance of AI-based diagnosis also extends to remote and rural healthcare environments where specialist radiologists may be limited. Automated systems can provide preliminary screening support and help identify high-risk cases for referral. In hospitals, AI tools can assist image sorting, risk classification and reporting workflows. By reducing false negatives and false positives, reliable AI systems can improve patient outcomes and reduce unnecessary procedures [5], [21].

AI-assisted breast tumor classification also supports personalized medicine and research. When combined with patient history, pathology, genetic data and treatment response, imaging-based AI can contribute to individualized care planning. Large-scale AI analysis can reveal hidden imaging patterns and support further research into tumor progression and diagnostic biomarkers. Economically, earlier and more accurate diagnosis may reduce healthcare costs by enabling timely intervention and reducing treatment complexity. Future work should also focus on prospective clinical testing. Many AI systems are evaluated retrospectively on stored datasets, but real-world deployment requires testing in active clinical environments where image quality, patient diversity and workflow pressures differ from controlled experiments. Such validation will be essential before widespread adoption.

\

Another direction is the development of human-centered AI systems that provide clear recommendations, confidence scores and visual explanations. These systems should allow radiologists to review, accept or question model outputs rather than forcing automatic decisions. Human-AI collaboration can improve safety and encourage responsible use of intelligent diagnostic tools. The significance of the reviewed work lies in demonstrating how deep learning can be applied to a clinically important problem while keeping attention on evaluation and practical constraints. The reported 95.61% accuracy of the DNN model suggests promising diagnostic support, but the review also recognizes that performance should be interpreted alongside dataset characteristics, preprocessing quality and validation strategy.

AI-assisted systems may also help reduce disparities in healthcare access. In resource-limited regions, automated screening tools can support preliminary diagnosis and help identify patients who need urgent specialist consultation. When deployed responsibly, such systems can improve early detection rates and reduce delays in treatment initiation.

9. Future Scope

Future research in AI-enhanced breast tumor classification should focus on models that are accurate, explainable, privacy-preserving and clinically deployable. Advanced architectures such as ResNet, DenseNet, EfficientNet, Vision Transformers and hybrid CNN-DNN models can further improve feature extraction and classification performance. Multi-modal diagnostic frameworks combining mammography, ultrasound, MRI, histopathology and clinical data may produce more reliable predictions than single-source models [9], [17]. Explainable AI will remain central to future clinical adoption. Tools such as Grad-CAM, saliency mapping, attention visualization and feature attribution can help radiologists understand model decisions. Federated learning is another promising direction because it enables collaborative model training across hospitals without directly sharing sensitive patient data. This can improve dataset diversity while supporting privacy and regulatory compliance [22]. Future systems should also emphasize lightweight models for low-resource healthcare settings and real-time integration with radiology workflows. Ethical governance, bias reduction, secure data handling and transparent reporting should become essential parts of future AI-based medical diagnosis research.

The reviewed work also demonstrates that a single model cannot solve all diagnostic challenges. Effective AI-based breast tumor classification requires a complete framework involving high-quality datasets, suitable preprocessing, robust feature learning, balanced evaluation and explainability. By considering these components together, researchers can develop systems that are both technically strong and clinically meaningful.

10. CONCLUSION

This review presented a concise analysis of AI-enhanced breast tumor classification using medical imaging and deep learning. Breast cancer diagnosis requires accurate and timely interpretation of medical images, but manual analysis can be affected by workload,

subjectivity and limited specialist availability. AI-assisted systems address these issues by supporting automated feature learning, tumor classification and clinical decision support.
The review discussed the progression from traditional machine learning models based on handcrafted features to deep learning frameworks capable of automatic representation learning. CNNs are highly effective for spatial image analysis, while Dense Neural Networks are useful for learning non-linear relationships from processed imaging features. In Anjalee's work, the DNN-based framework achieved 95.61% accuracy, showing the potential of deep learning to support binary classification of benign and malignant breast tumors. The analysis also highlighted the importance of dataset preparation, preprocessing, augmentation, feature extraction and reliable evaluation metrics. Comparative assessment showed that deep learning models generally outperform traditional approaches, though the best model depends on dataset size, image quality, computing resources and interpretability needs. Major challenges include class imbalance, limited data, overfitting, lack of explainability, privacy concerns and clinical validation requirements.

Overall, AI-enhanced breast tumor classification represents a promising direction for modern medical diagnosis. Future progress should combine accurate deep learning models with explainable AI, federated learning, multi-modal datasets, lightweight architectures and clinically validated workflows. Such developments can improve diagnostic reliability, support radiologists, enhance early detection and contribute to better patient outcomes. Future research should also investigate continuous learning mechanisms that allow models to improve as new validated data become available. However, continuous updating must be controlled carefully to avoid performance drift or bias. Proper monitoring, periodic validation and clinical oversight are necessary for safe adaptive AI systems.

In summary, the future of AI-enhanced breast tumor classification depends on the combined advancement of model architecture, dataset quality, explainability, privacy protection and clinical integration. Progress in only one area is not sufficient; reliable healthcare AI requires a balanced and multidisciplinary approach involving engineers, clinicians, data scientists and policy makers.

REFERENCES

1. Albashish, D., Al-Sayyed, R., Abdullah, A., & Almansour, N. (2021). Deep convolutional neural networks for breast cancer classification using mammogram images. Neural Computing and Applications, 33(21), 14503–14516.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s00521-020-05539-7

2. Arevalo, J., Gonzalez, F. A., Ramos-Pollan, R., Oliveira, J. L., & Lopez, M. A. G. (2016). Representation learning for mammography mass lesion classification with convolutional neural networks. Computer Methods and Programs in Biomedicine, 127, 248–257. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmpb.2015.12.014
3. Baccouche, A., Garcia-Zapirain, B., Elmaghraby, A., & Beltran, J. R. (2023). Artificial intelligence for breast cancer diagnosis using medical imaging: A review. Diagnostics, 13(4), 781. https://doi.org/10.3390/diagnostics13040781
4. Chan, H. P., Samala, R. K., & Hadjiiski, L. M. (2020). CAD and AI for breast cancer—Recent development and challenges. British Journal of Radiology, 93(1108), 20190580. https://doi.org/10.1259/bjr.20190580
5. Cheng, J. Z., Ni, D., Chou, Y. H., Qin, J., Tiu, C. M., Chang, Y. C., Huang, C. S., Shen, D., & Chen, C. M. (2016). Computer-aided diagnosis with deep learning architecture: Applications to breast lesions in ultrasound images. Scientific Reports, 6, 24454. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep24454
6. Dhungel, N., Carneiro, G., & Bradley, A. P. (2017). Deep learning and structured prediction for segmentation of mass in mammograms. Medical Image Analysis, 43, 1–14. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.media.2017.08.009
7. Esteva, A., Kuprel, B., Novoa, R. A., Ko, J., Swetter, S. M., Blau, H. M., & Thrun, S. (2017). Dermatologist-level classification of skin cancer with deep neural networks. Nature, 542(7639), 115–118. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature21056
8. Goodfellow, I., Bengio, Y., & Courville, A. (2016). Deep learning. MIT Press.
9. He, K., Zhang, X., Ren, S., & Sun, J. (2016). Deep residual learning for image recognition. Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, 770–778. https://doi.org/10.1109/CVPR.2016.90
10. Jiang, F., Jiang, Y., Zhi, H., Dong, Y., Li, H., Ma, S., Wang, Y., Dong, Q., Shen, H., & Wang, Y. (2017). Artificial intelligence in healthcare: Past, present and future. Stroke and Vascular Neurology, 2(4), 230–243. https://doi.org/10.1136/svn-2017-000101
11. LeCun, Y., Bengio, Y., & Hinton, G. (2015). Deep learning. Nature, 521(7553), 436–444. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14539
12. Litjens, G., Kooi, T., Bejnordi, B. E., Setio, A. A. A., Ciompi, F., Ghafoorian, M., van der Laak, J. A. W. M., van Ginneken, B., & Sanchez, C. I. (2017). A survey on deep learning in medical image analysis. Medical Image Analysis, 42, 60–88. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.media.2017.07.005

13. McKinney, S. M., Sieniek, M., Godbole, V., Godwin, J., Antropova, N., Ashrafian, H., Back, T., Chesus, M., Corrado, G. C., Darzi, A., Etemadi, M., Garcia-Vicente, F., Gilbert, F. J., Halling-Brown, M., Hassabis, D., Jansen, S., Karthikesalingam, A., Kelly, C. J., King, D., & Shetty, S. (2020). International evaluation of an AI system for breast cancer screening. Nature, 577(7788), 89–94. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1799-6
14. Ragab, D. A., Sharkas, M., Marshall, S., & Ren, J. (2019). Breast cancer detection using deep convolutional neural networks and support vector machines. PeerJ, 7, e6201. https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.6201
15. Ronneberger, O., Fischer, P., & Brox, T. (2015). U-Net: Convolutional networks for biomedical image segmentation. Medical Image Computing and Computer-Assisted Intervention, 234–241. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-24574-4_28
16. Shen, D., Wu, G., & Suk, H. I. (2017). Deep learning in medical image analysis. Annual Review of Biomedical Engineering, 19, 221–248. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-bioeng-071516-044442
17. Simonyan, K., & Zisserman, A. (2015). Very deep convolutional networks for large-scale image recognition. International Conference on Learning Representations.
18. Ting, D. S. W., Cheung, C. Y., Lim, G., Tan, G. S. W., Quang, N. D., Gan, A., Hamzah, H., Garcia-Franco, R., San, Y. S., Lee, S. Y., Wong, E. Y. M., Sabanayagam, C., Baskaran, M., Ibrahim, F., Tan, N. C., Finkelstein, E. A., Lamoureux, E. L., Wong, I. Y. H., Bressler, N. M., & Wong, T. Y. (2017). Development and validation of a deep learning system for diabetic retinopathy. JAMA, 318(22), 2211–2223. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2017.18152
19. Verma, R., & Kumar, P. (2024). Deep learning frameworks for breast cancer classification using medical imaging. Healthcare Analytics, 5, 100219. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.health.2024.100219
20. Wang, J., Ding, H., Bidgoli, F. A., Zhou, B., Iribarren, C., Molloi, S., & Baldi, P. (2016). Detecting cardiovascular disease from mammograms with deep learning. IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging, 36(5), 1172–1181. https://doi.org/10.1109/TMI.2016.2641480
21. Yadav, S. S., & Jadhav, S. M. (2019). Deep convolutional neural network based medical image classification for disease diagnosis. Journal of Big Data, 6(1), 113. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40537-019-0276-2

22. Yang, Q., Wu, N., Wang, Z., Shen, Y., & Li, J. (2023). Explainable artificial intelligence in breast cancer diagnosis using medical imaging. Frontiers in Oncology, 13, 1185621. https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2023.1185621
23. Zhang, Y., Dong, Z., Phillips, P., Wang, S., Ji, G., Yang, J., & Yuan, T. F. (2020). Detection of subjects and brain regions related to Alzheimer's disease using 3D MRI scans based on deep learning. Frontiers in Computational Neuroscience, 14, 1–12. https://doi.org/10.3389/fncom.2020.00001
24. Zhou, L., Pan, S., Wang, J., & Vasilakos, A. V. (2017). Machine learning on big data: Opportunities and challenges. Neurocomputing, 237, 350–361. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neucom.2017.01.026
25. Zou, Q., Qu, K., Luo, Y., Yin, D., Ju, Y., & Tang, H. (2022). Predicting diabetes mellitus with machine learning techniques. Frontiers in Genetics, 13, 942348. https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2022.942348