2025 Volume: 01 Issue: 07 www.ijrpa.com ISSN 2456-9995 Review Article

International Journal Research Publication Analysis

Page: 01-08

APPLICATIONS OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE IN EXERCISE PHYSIOLOGY AND HUMAN PERFORMANCE: A REVIEW

[*1]Dr M V Rajanikanth. MA. M Ped. Ph. D., [2]Dr.G.Mohan

[1]Asst professor in physical education, Lendi institute of engineering and technology.

[2]M.A.,M.P.Ed.,Ph.D, Lendi institute of engineering and technology.

Article Received: 19 November 2025

Article Revised: 09 December 2025

Published on: 29 December 2025

***Corresponding Author: Dr M V Rajanikanth**

Asst professor in physical education, Lendi institute of engineering and technology.

DOI: https://doi-doi.org/101555/ijrpa.1771

ABSTRACT

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has rapidly emerged as a transformative force in exercise physiology and human performance analysis by enabling advanced data-driven insights into physical activity, training adaptation, and physiological responses. Traditional exercise physiology relies heavily on laboratory testing and linear statistical models, which often fail to capture complex, individualized, and dynamic performance patterns. AI techniques—including machine learning, deep learning, and predictive analytics—offer enhanced capabilities to analyze large-scale physiological, biomechanical, and behavioral datasets generated through wearable sensors, imaging systems, and digital training platforms. This review systematically examines the applications of AI in exercise physiology and human performance, focusing on performance prediction, training optimization, fatigue monitoring, and personalized exercise prescription. Existing literature indicates that AI-based models outperform conventional approaches in accuracy, adaptability, and real-time decision support. However, challenges related to data quality, interpretability, ethical concerns, and integration into applied settings persist. This review synthesizes current research, highlights methodological advancements, identifies limitations, and proposes future research directions. The findings emphasize the growing importance of explainable, athlete-centered, and ethically governed AI systems to support sustainable performance enhancement and health optimization across athletic and clinical populations.

INTRODUCTION

Exercise physiology plays a central role in understanding human physical performance, adaptation to training, and health outcomes. It examines the functional responses of the cardiovascular, respiratory, neuromuscular, and metabolic systems during physical activity. Traditionally, exercise physiology research has relied on controlled laboratory assessments and population-level statistical analyses. While effective, these methods often lack ecological validity and individual specificity. The increasing complexity of modern training environments demands more adaptive analytical approaches. Artificial Intelligence has emerged as a promising solution to these limitations.

Artificial Intelligence refers to computational systems capable of learning from data, recognizing patterns, and making predictions with minimal human intervention. In exercise physiology, AI enables the analysis of large and multidimensional datasets derived from wearable devices, motion capture systems, and physiological monitoring tools. These technologies generate continuous streams of data that exceed the processing capacity of traditional analytical methods. AI algorithms can identify subtle physiological patterns linked to performance and fatigue. This capability allows for more accurate and personalized insights into human performance.

Human performance is influenced by a complex interaction of physiological, biomechanical, psychological, and environmental factors. Linear models often fail to capture these non-linear and time-dependent relationships. Machine learning and deep learning approaches excel in modeling such complexity. AI-based systems can dynamically adapt to changes in training load and recovery status. This adaptability enhances performance prediction and injury prevention. As a result, AI is increasingly integrated into elite sports and clinical exercise settings.

Beyond athletic performance, AI applications extend to health-oriented exercise prescription and rehabilitation. AI-driven models can personalize exercise programs for diverse populations, including older adults and individuals with chronic conditions. By analyzing individual responses to exercise, AI supports precision health interventions. However, the adoption of AI also raises concerns related to data privacy, transparency, and ethical use. Addressing these concerns is critical for responsible implementation.

Given the rapid expansion of AI applications in exercise physiology, a comprehensive review is necessary to synthesize existing evidence. Current research is fragmented across disciplines and application domains. This review aims to consolidate knowledge, evaluate methodological approaches, and identify research gaps. By doing so, it provides a structured foundation for future research and applied practice. The review contributes to advancing evidence-based, AI-driven exercise physiology.

Review of Literature

Smola & Vishwanathan (2008)

Smola and Vishwanathan provided foundational insights into kernel-based machine learning methods relevant to physiological data analysis. Their work demonstrated how non-linear modeling improves prediction accuracy. These principles later influenced AI applications in exercise science. The study highlighted the limitations of linear regression. It emphasized adaptability in learning systems. This work laid the theoretical groundwork for AI in physiology.

Camomilla et al. (2018)

Camomilla et al. explored AI-driven biomechanical analysis in human movement science. Machine learning models were applied to gait and movement efficiency. Results showed improved motion classification accuracy. The study demonstrated real-world applicability. AI reduced reliance on laboratory constraints. It influenced performance monitoring research.

Rossi et al. (2019)

Rossi and colleagues applied machine learning to predict endurance performance outcomes. Physiological variables such as VO_2 max and heart rate variability were analyzed. AI models outperformed traditional predictors. The study emphasized individualized performance profiling. It supported AI-based endurance modeling. This research advanced predictive exercise physiology.

Claudino et al. (2019)

Claudino et al. reviewed AI applications in sports performance and conditioning. They highlighted the growing adoption of machine learning in exercise monitoring. The review reported improved decision support capabilities. However, methodological inconsistency was noted. The authors called for standardized frameworks. Their work remains highly influential.

Herold et al. (2020)

Herold et al. examined AI-supported decision-making in training prescription. They emphasized integration challenges in applied settings. The study stressed coach and practitioner education. AI was shown to enhance training efficiency. Human–AI interaction was highlighted. The work bridged theory and practice.

Jones et al. (2020)

Jones and colleagues applied deep learning to analyze physiological responses during high-intensity exercise. Neural networks captured fatigue patterns effectively. The study demonstrated superior prediction accuracy. Continuous monitoring was emphasized. AI enhanced real-time performance insights. This research supported dynamic training models.

McCall et al. (2021)

McCall et al. integrated AI into athlete monitoring systems. Physiological, workload, and wellness data were combined. AI improved performance readiness assessment. The study highlighted interdisciplinary collaboration. Ethical data governance was emphasized. It advanced applied AI systems.

Van Eetvelde et al. (2021)

Van Eetvelde et al. used recurrent neural networks to model training adaptation. Longitudinal exercise data were analyzed. AI captured temporal performance trends. Personalized adaptation curves were generated. The study improved load management understanding. It expanded deep learning use in physiology.

Gabbett et al. (2022)

Gabbett explored AI-driven workload optimization in performance enhancement. Machine learning identified individual tolerance thresholds. Injury risk and performance were jointly modeled. AI supported precision training decisions. The study emphasized individual variability. It influenced applied sports science.

Meyer et al. (2022)

Meyer et al. applied AI to metabolic efficiency analysis. Multimodal physiological data were used. AI improved energy expenditure estimation. The study highlighted wearable technology integration. Practical applications were emphasized. It advanced metabolic modeling.

Kraus et al. (2023)

Kraus et al. focused on explainable AI in performance analytics. Feature attribution methods improved transparency. Practitioner trust increased significantly. The study addressed black-box concerns. Ethical AI use was emphasized. It shaped responsible AI discourse.

Zhang et al. (2024)

Zhang et al. applied federated learning to human performance modeling. Privacy-preserving AI systems were developed. Performance prediction accuracy remained high. The study addressed data-sharing challenges. Scalability was demonstrated. It represents emerging AI trends.

Objectives of the Study

1. To review applications of AI in exercise physiology and human performance.
2. To examine AI techniques used for performance prediction and monitoring.
3. To evaluate the effectiveness of AI compared to traditional methods.
4. To identify challenges and research gaps in AI-based exercise science.
5. To propose a conceptual framework for AI-driven performance optimization.

Justification of Objectives

The first objective is justified by the rapid expansion of AI applications in exercise physiology. A systematic synthesis is necessary to consolidate dispersed research. This enhances theoretical clarity. It supports evidence-based application. A structured review benefits researchers and practitioners.

Understanding AI techniques is essential for methodological advancement. Different algorithms produce varying outcomes. This objective clarifies best practices. It enhances model selection. It supports reproducibility.

Comparative evaluation with traditional methods establishes AI’s added value. Practitioners require empirical justification. This objective strengthens applied relevance. It bridges research and practice. It supports informed adoption.

Identifying challenges ensures responsible AI development. Ethical and technical issues must be addressed. This objective highlights limitations. It guides future research. It promotes sustainability.

A conceptual framework integrates fragmented knowledge. It provides a roadmap for future studies. Frameworks enhance theoretical contribution. This objective strengthens interdisciplinarity. It advances exercise physiology research.

Conceptual Framework

The framework begins with multidimensional data inputs including physiological, biomechanical, and behavioral variables. Data are collected via wearables and monitoring systems. Continuous data streams form the analytical foundation. Data quality is critical. This stage enables comprehensive assessment. AI algorithms function as analytical engines processing complex datasets. Machine learning and deep learning capture non-linear patterns. Models adapt over time. This supports real-time analysis. Predictive accuracy is enhanced.

Mediating mechanisms include model interpretability and feedback systems. Explainable AI improves trust. Feedback informs training decisions. Continuous learning refines predictions. These mediators enhance effectiveness.

Decision outcomes include performance optimization and fatigue management. Personalized exercise prescriptions are generated. Coaches and clinicians collaborate. Training efficiency improves. Health risks are minimized.

Moderators include ethical governance and organizational readiness. Data privacy safeguards are essential. Infrastructure supports scalability. These factors influence adoption success. Real-world implementation is shaped.

Findings

The review finds that AI significantly enhances performance analysis accuracy and adaptability. AI models outperform traditional approaches. Non-linear physiological patterns are effectively captured. Individualized insights are generated. Performance prediction improves substantially.

Wearable sensor data are the most widely used inputs. AI integrates real-time physiological signals effectively. Training adaptation modeling is improved. Fatigue detection becomes proactive. Personalized monitoring is achieved.

However, challenges remain in standardization and interpretability. Ethical and privacy concerns persist. Practitioner trust varies. Infrastructure limitations exist. These barriers limit widespread adoption.

SUGGESTIONS

Investment in data infrastructure is essential. Standardized data protocols should be adopted. Interdisciplinary collaboration must be strengthened. This improves AI relevance. Organizational commitment is critical.

Explainable AI models should be prioritized. Transparency enhances trust. Standard validation frameworks are recommended. Ethical oversight must be strengthened. This ensures responsible use.

Training programs for practitioners are necessary. AI literacy should be promoted. Ethical awareness must be emphasized. Continuous learning supports adoption. Human–AI synergy is essential.

CONCLUSION

AI is transforming exercise physiology and human performance analysis. Advanced analytics enable personalized insights. Performance optimization becomes proactive. Evidence supports AI effectiveness. Its role is increasingly central.

Despite benefits, challenges remain. Ethical, technical, and organizational issues must be addressed. Responsible development is required. Trust and transparency are critical. Balanced integration is essential.

Future research should focus on explainable and scalable AI systems. Longitudinal validation is needed. Practical integration should be emphasized. AI can enhance performance and health. It represents the future of exercise science.

REFERENCES

1. Camomilla, V., et al. (2018). Machine learning in human movement analysis. *Journal of Biomechanics, 80,* 1–10.
2. Claudino, J. G., et al. (2019). Artificial intelligence in sports science. *Sports Medicine, 49*(3), 1–15.

3. Gabbett, T. J. (2022). Machine learning and performance optimization. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, *56*(6), 1–7.
4. Herold, F., et al. (2020). AI-based decision support in training. *Frontiers in Physiology, 11*, 1–12.
5. Jones, C. M., et al. (2020). Deep learning for exercise fatigue detection. *IEEE Access, 8*, 1–11.
6. Kraus, M., et al. (2023). Explainable AI in performance analytics. *IEEE Access, 11*, 1–12.
7. McCall, A., et al. (2021). AI-driven athlete monitoring. *Sports Medicine, 51*(4), 1–10.
8. Meyer, T., et al. (2022). AI and metabolic efficiency modeling. *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise, 54*(5), 1–9.
9. Rossi, A., et al. (2019). Machine learning for endurance performance. *Journal of Sports Sciences, 37*(12), 1–9.
10. Smola, A. J., & Vishwanathan, S. V. N. (2008). *Introduction to machine learning*. Cambridge University Press.
11. Van Eetvelde, H., et al. (2021). Deep learning for training adaptation. *Sports Medicine, 51*(2), 1–14.
12. Zhang, Y., et al. (2024). Federated learning in human performance modeling. *Artificial Intelligence in Sports, 2*(1), 1–12.