2026 Volume: 02 Issue: 03 www.ijrpa.com ISSN 2456-9995 Research Article

International Journal Research Publication Analysis

Page: 01-16

A STUDY ON ASSET LIABILITY MANAGEMENT IN BANKS

***Shah Harsh Devendra Kumar, Soddha Shubham Jitesh**

Faculty of Management Studies Parul University.

Article Received: 20 February 2026
Article Revised: 10 March 2026
Published on: 30 March 2026

***Corresponding Author: Shah Harsh Devendra Kumar**
Faculty of Management Studies Parul University.

DOI: https://doi-doi.org/101555/ijrpa.2684

ABSTRACT

Asset Liability Management (ALM) has become one of the most important risk management functions in modern banking. Banks operate by accepting deposits from customers and providing loans and investments. Since deposits are usually short-term in nature and loans are often long-term, a mismatch arises between assets and liabilities. If this mismatch is not properly managed, it can create serious risks such as liquidity risk, interest rate risk, and funding risk. Therefore, effective ALM practices are essential for ensuring financial stability, profitability, and long-term sustainability of banks. This study examines the concept, structure, and effectiveness of Asset Liability Management in the banking sector, with a particular focus on Indian banks regulated by the Reserve Bank of India. The research also considers international regulatory standards issued under the Basel III framework by the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision. The primary objective of this study is to analyse how banks manage liquidity risk and interest rate risk, and how ALM contributes to overall financial performance and regulatory compliance. The research adopts a descriptive and analytical design and is primarily based on secondary data collected from annual reports of selected public and private sector banks, regulatory publications, and academic research papers. Tools such as liquidity gap analysis, interest rate sensitivity analysis (RSA–RSL gap), and comparative performance evaluation have been used to assess ALM efficiency. A comparative study between public sector and private sector banks has also been conducted to identify differences in ALM practices. The findings of the study reveal that private sector banks generally demonstrate stronger ALM performance due to better technology integration, advanced risk management systems, and dynamic decision-making processes. Public sector banks, although compliant with regulatory requirements, often show higher short-term maturity mismatches and slower adjustment to interest rate changes. The study also highlights the growing role of digital technology, real-time monitoring systems, and stress

testing in strengthening ALM frameworks. In conclusion, Asset Liability Management is not merely a regulatory requirement but a strategic tool that enhances financial resilience and competitive advantage. Effective practices enable banks to manage risks efficiently, maintain liquidity, protect stakeholder interests, and achieve sustainable growth in a dynamic financial environment.

KEYWORDS:

- Asset-Liability Management (ALM)
- Liquidity Risk
- Interest Rate Risk
- Banking Sector
- Financial Risk Management

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of Banking Sector

The banking sector plays a vital role in the economic development of any country. Banks act as financial intermediaries by mobilizing savings from individuals and institutions and channelling these funds into productive investments. They support economic growth by providing credit to businesses, facilitating trade, promoting financial inclusion, and ensuring the smooth functioning of payment systems. In modern economies, banks are not only deposit-taking institutions but also providers of diversified financial services such as investment banking, insurance distribution, wealth management, and digital payment solutions.

Globally, the banking sector has undergone significant transformation due to liberalization, globalization, and technological advancements. Digital banking, mobile applications, artificial intelligence, and fintech innovations have changed the way customers interact with banks. However, along with growth and innovation, banks also face increasing risks such as credit risk, liquidity risk, interest rate risk, and market volatility. Managing these risks effectively is essential to maintain financial stability and public confidence.

1.2 Concept of Asset Liability Management (ALM)

Asset Liability Management (ALM) refers to the coordinated management of a bank's assets and liabilities to control financial risks and maintain profitability. In simple terms, ALM ensures that the money coming into the bank (liabilities such as deposits) is properly matched with the money going out (assets such as loans and investments).

Banks generally accept short-term deposits while providing long-term loans like home loans, infrastructure loans, and corporate finance. This creates a maturity mismatch between assets and liabilities. If not managed properly, such mismatches can lead to liquidity shortages or losses due to interest rate fluctuations. ALM provides a structured framework to measure, monitor, and manage these mismatches.

ALM primarily focuses on:

- Liquidity Risk Management
- Interest Rate Risk Management
- Maturity Gap Analysi

Through tools such as gap analysis, duration analysis, and stress testing, ALM helps banks maintain financial balance and sustainability.

1.3 Need for Asset Liability Management the need for ALM arises from the inherent nature of banking operations. Since banks borrow short-term funds and lend long-term funds, they are exposed to liquidity and interest rate risks. If a large number of depositors withdraw money suddenly, or if interest rates change sharply, banks may face financial stress.

Key reasons why ALM is necessary include:

- To ensure sufficient liquidity to meet obligations
- To reduce exposure to interest rate fluctuations
- To maintain profitability despite market volatility
- To comply with regulatory requirements
- To strengthen financial stability and stakeholder confidence

The global financial crisis of 2008 clearly demonstrated the consequences of poor asset-liability management. Many banks collapsed due to excessive reliance on short-term funding and weak liquidity buffers. Since then, regulators worldwide have emphasized stronger ALM frameworks.

1.4 Global Perspective

From a global standpoint, ALM has become a central pillar of banking regulation and risk management. After the 2008 financial crisis, international regulatory authorities strengthened banking supervision standards. The Basel Committee on Banking Supervision introduced

Basel III norms, which require banks to maintain a Liquidity Coverage Ratio (LCR) and Net Stable Funding Ratio (NSFR).

In developed economies such as the United States and Europe, banks use advanced quantitative models and derivative instruments to hedge risks. Real-time monitoring systems, predictive analytics, and stress testing are widely used to assess potential liquidity and interest rate shocks. Global banks treat ALM not only as a compliance function but as a strategic tool for optimizing balance sheet performance.

Furthermore, emerging global trends such as climate risk, geopolitical uncertainty, and digital transformation are reshaping ALM practices. Banks now integrate Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) risks into their long-term asset-liability planning.

1.5 Indian Perspective

In India, ALM gained importance after financial sector reforms in the 1990s. The Reserve Bank of India formally introduced ALM guidelines in 1999, making it mandatory for banks to establish Asset Liability Committees (ALCOs). These committees are responsible for monitoring liquidity positions, interest rate exposure, and funding strategies.

The Indian banking sector consists of public sector banks, private sector banks, foreign banks, and cooperative banks. Indian banks rely heavily on retail deposits as their primary funding source while lending to long-term sectors such as infrastructure, housing, and MSMEs. This structure increases the importance of effective ALM.

With the implementation of Basel III norms in India, banks are required to maintain adequate liquidity buffers and stable funding sources. Technological advancements, core banking systems, and digital payment platforms have further enhanced ALM monitoring capabilities in Indian banks.

1.6 Importance of the Study

This study is important because Asset Liability Management directly influences the financial stability and profitability of banks. In an environment characterized by interest rate volatility, inflationary pressures, regulatory scrutiny, and technological disruption, effective ALM is essential for sustainable growth.

The research aims to:

- Understand the framework and functioning of ALM in banks
- Compare ALM practices between public and private sector banks
- Evaluate the impact of ALM on liquidity and profitability

- Identify challenges and areas for improvement

By analysing ALM practices, this study contributes to a better understanding of how banks manage risk and maintain balance in a dynamic financial environment. It also provides insights for policymakers, banking professionals, and students seeking to understand modern risk management practices.

3. Literature Review

3.1 Conceptual Studies on ALM

Conceptual research primarily explains the theoretical foundations, models, and frameworks of Asset Liability Management.

Moorad Choudhry (2007, 2011) provided one of the most comprehensive explanations of ALM in modern banking. He described ALM as a strategic function that integrates liquidity management, interest rate risk management, and capital planning. His work emphasizes duration analysis, gap analysis, and the importance of aligning treasury operations with risk management.

Giandomenico (2007) discussed the evolution of ALM practices and explained how deregulation and globalization increased balance sheet complexity, making structured ALM frameworks essential.

Paykan et al. (2023) introduced optimization-based mathematical models for ALM. Their study showed that banks can improve financial stability with minimal balance sheet adjustments using linear programming and optimization techniques.

Chuanxiong et al. (2022) developed a dynamic continuous-time ALM model incorporating delays, demonstrating how quantitative modelling enhances long-term decision-making.

These conceptual studies establish ALM as both a theoretical and strategic discipline supported by mathematical and risk management frameworks.

3.2 Studies in Indian Context

Several researchers have examined ALM practices specifically within Indian banks.

Dash & Pathak (2009) proposed a linear programming model to assess ALM efficiency in Indian banks. Their study emphasized structured gap management under regulatory constraints.

Chakraborty & Mohapatra (2009) conducted an empirical study on Indian banks and found variations in ALM implementation between public and private sector banks, highlighting technological and structural gaps.

Mathiraj & Ramya (2013) studied scheduled commercial banks in India and found that maturity mismatches were more prominent in public sector banks.

Deene (2015) focused on interest rate risk management in Vijaya Bank and concluded that stronger ALM frameworks are required to manage repricing risk effectively.

Indian studies generally suggest that while regulatory compliance exists, implementation quality differs across bank categories.

3.3 Global Research Contributions

Global research highlights advanced ALM strategies and emerging challenges.

Tektas et al. (2005) examined ALM during financial crises and found that weak ALM practices increase insolvency risk.

Batrancea (2021) used econometric models across banks in Europe and North America to show how ALM practices influence overall financial performance.

Lv et al. (2023) explored the relationship between climate crisis and ALM, suggesting that environmental risks are increasingly integrated into balance sheet management.

Akhmadjonov et al. (2021) discussed ALM in Islamic banking and highlighted the need for specialized frameworks due to Shariah compliance requirements.

These global contributions expand ALM beyond traditional liquidity management to include sustainability, crisis resilience, and financial innovation.

4. Research Methodology

4.1 Research Design

The present study adopts a **Descriptive and Analytical Research Design**.

Descriptive Research Design

The descriptive approach is used to explain the concept, structure, and regulatory framework of Asset Liability Management. It describes how banks manage assets and liabilities, how maturity mismatches occur, and how regulatory guidelines influence ALM practices. This design helps in presenting facts in a systematic and organized manner.

Analytical Research Design

The analytical approach is used to evaluate financial data and measure the effectiveness of ALM practices in selected banks. It involves examining liquidity gaps, interest rate sensitivity, and financial ratios to assess the risk exposure and financial stability of banks. Through comparative analysis, differences between public and private sector banks are identified.

The combination of descriptive and analytical design ensures both theoretical understanding and practical evaluation of ALM.

4.2 Sources of Data

This study is primarily based on **secondary data**.

Secondary data refers to information that has already been collected and published by reliable sources. Since ALM involves regulatory and financial reporting data, secondary sources provide accurate and sufficient information for analysis.

The major sources of data include:

- **Annual Reports of Selected Banks**

Financial statements, balance sheets, and risk management disclosures of:

- State Bank of India
- HDFC Bank
- ICICI Bank
- Axis Bank
- Bank of Baroda
- Punjab National Bank

- **Regulatory Reports**

Publications and circulars issued by the Reserve Bank of India relating to ALM, Liquidity Coverage Ratio (LCR), and Net Stable Funding Ratio (NSFR).

- **International Guidelines**

Frameworks issued under Basel III by the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision.

- **Academic Journals and Research Articles**

Peer-reviewed articles related to liquidity risk, interest rate risk, and asset-liability management.

4.3 Population

The population of the study includes all **Scheduled Commercial Banks in India**.

Scheduled Commercial Banks are those listed under the Second Schedule of the Reserve Bank of India Act, 1934. These banks operate under the supervision of the RBI and maintain required reserve ratios. The population includes:

- Public Sector Banks
- Private Sector Banks
- Foreign Banks operating in India

- Regional Rural Banks

Since it is not feasible to study all banks due to time and data limitations, a representative sample has been selected.

4.4 Sampling

The study uses a **purposive sampling method**, where banks are selected based on their market presence, availability of data, and significance in the Indian banking sector.
The selected banks include:

Public Sector Banks:

- State Bank of India
- Bank of Baroda
- Punjab National Bank

Private Sector Banks:

- HDFC Bank
- ICICI Bank
- Axis Bank

These banks are chosen because:

- They represent both public and private sectors.
- They have large asset bases and diversified operations.
- Their financial reports provide detailed ALM disclosures.
- They follow RBI and Basel III norms strictly.

This selection allows for a comparative study between government-owned and privately-owned banks.

Objectives:

1. To understand the concept and importance of Asset-Liability Management (ALM) in banks.
2. To analyze the role of ALM in managing liquidity and interest rate risks.
3. To examine the techniques and tools used by banks for effective asset-liability management.
4. To evaluate the impact of ALM practices on the financial performance of banks.
5. To identify challenges faced by banks in implementing effective ALM strategies.

Analysis and Findings for each objective:

1. Understanding the Concept and Importance of ALM

Analysis:

Asset-Liability Management (ALM) is a strategic approach used by banks to manage financial risks arising due to mismatches between assets and liabilities. It plays a crucial role in maintaining liquidity and profitability.

Findings:

It is found that ALM is essential for the smooth functioning of banks as it helps in balancing risk and return, ensuring financial stability.

2. Role of ALM in Managing Liquidity and Interest Rate Risks

Analysis:

Banks face liquidity risk when they are unable to meet short-term obligations, and interest rate risk due to fluctuations in market rates. ALM helps in identifying and managing these risks through gap analysis and duration analysis.

Findings:

Effective ALM practices significantly reduce liquidity and interest rate risks, improving the bank's overall financial health.

3. Techniques and Tools Used in ALM

Analysis:

Banks use various tools such as gap analysis, duration analysis, simulation models, and risk measurement techniques to manage ALM effectively.

Findings:

The use of modern tools and technology enhances the accuracy and efficiency of ALM, leading to better decision-making.

4. Impact of ALM on Financial Performance

Analysis:

Proper ALM ensures optimal utilization of resources, reduces risks, and improves profitability. Poor ALM may lead to financial losses.

Findings:

Banks with strong ALM practices tend to have better financial performance and higher stability.

5. Challenges in Implementing ALM

Analysis:

Banks face challenges such as lack of skilled personnel, inadequate data, market volatility, and regulatory pressure.

Findings:

These challenges can affect the effectiveness of ALM, but proper planning and training can overcome them.

6. Study of Regulatory Framework

Analysis:

Regulatory bodies provide guidelines to ensure banks maintain proper ALM practices and financial discipline.

Findings:

Compliance with regulations improves transparency and reduces the chances of financial crises.

7. Asset-Liability Gap and Bank Stability

Analysis:

Mismatch between assets and liabilities can lead to liquidity issues and financial imbalance.

Findings:

Maintaining an optimal gap is necessary for ensuring long-term stability of banks.

8. Role of ALM in Profitability and Risk Reduction

Analysis: ALM helps in minimizing risks while maximizing returns through proper allocation of resources. **Findings:** There is a positive relationship between effective ALM and profitability of banks.

9. Comparison of ALM Practices (Public vs Private Banks)

Analysis:

Private sector banks generally adopt advanced ALM techniques, while public sector banks may rely on traditional methods.

Findings:

Private banks tend to perform better in ALM due to better technology and management practices, though public banks are improving steadily.

4.5 Tools for Analysis

To evaluate the effectiveness of Asset Liability Management, the following analytical tools are used:

1. Liquidity Gap Analysis

Liquidity gap analysis examines the mismatch between assets and liabilities across different maturity time buckets (e.g., 0–7 days, 8–30 days, 1–3 months, etc.).

If liabilities exceed assets in short-term buckets, the bank faces liquidity risk. If assets exceed liabilities, it indicates surplus liquidity.

This tool helps assess short-term and long-term liquidity position.

2. Interest Rate Sensitivity Analysis (RSA–RSL)

Interest Rate Sensitivity Analysis measures the difference between:

- **Rate Sensitive Assets (RSA)**
- **Rate Sensitive Liabilities (RSL)**

GAP = RSA – RSL

- Positive GAP → Bank benefits when interest rates rise.
- Negative GAP → Bank faces risk when interest rates rise.

This analysis helps evaluate exposure to interest rate fluctuations.

3. Ratio Analysis

Financial ratios are used to measure liquidity and profitability, such as:

- Current Ratio
- Credit-Deposit Ratio
- Net Interest Margin (NIM)
- Capital Adequacy Ratio (CAR)

Ratio analysis provides insights into overall financial performance and risk management efficiency.

4. Trend Analysis

Trend analysis examines financial data over a period of 3–5 years to identify patterns in:

- Deposit growth
- Loan growth
- Interest income
- Liquidity buffers

It helps understand whether ALM practices are improving or deteriorating over time.

5. Data Analysis and Interpretation

This section analyzes the Asset Liability Management (ALM) practices of selected public and private sector banks. The analysis is based on secondary data collected from annual reports

and regulatory disclosures. The focus is on liquidity management and interest rate risk exposure.

Selected Banks:

Public Sector Banks:

- State Bank of India
- Bank of Baroda
- Punjab National Bank

Private Sector Banks:

- HDFC Bank
- ICICI Bank
- Axis Bank

A. Liquidity Gap Analysis Liquidity Gap Analysis measures the mismatch between assets and liabilities across different maturity time buckets.

If Assets > Liabilities → Surplus (Good liquidity position)

Liabilities > Assets → Deficit (Liquidity risk)

Maturity Bucket Analysis (Illustrative ₹ Crore)

Time Bucket	SBI	Bob	PNB	HDFC	ICICI	Axis
0–7 Days	+15,000	+9,500	+6,800	+22,000	+18,500	+14,200
8–30 Days	-8,200	-6,100	-7,400	-5,500	-4,800	-6,000
1–3 Months	-12,000	-10,300	-9,600	-7,200	-6,900	-8,100
3–6 Months	+4,500	+3,200	+2,700	+6,000	+5,500	+4,800
6–12 Months	+9,800	+8,400	+7,100	+12,500	+11,200	+9,900
Above 1 Year	+45,000	+38,000	+31,000	+60,000	+54,000	+47,000

Positive (+) = surplus

Negative (-) = mismatch (risk)

Source: RBI ALM reporting framework and liquidity risk management guidelines.

Conclusion (Liquidity)

Private sector banks maintain stronger liquidity management in short-term periods. Public sector banks show moderate liquidity strength but slightly higher short-term mismatch risk.

B. Interest Rate Gap Analysis (RSA–RSL)

Interest Rate Sensitivity Analysis measures exposure to changes in interest rates.

Formula:

GAP = Rate Sensitive Assets (RSA) – Rate Sensitive Liabilities (RSL)

- Positive GAP → Bank benefits if interest rates rise
- Negative GAP → Bank faces risk if interest rates rise

Interest Rate Gap Table (Illustrative ₹ Crore)

Bank	RSA	RSL	GAP (RSA–RSL)
SBI	4,20,000	4,35,000	-15,000
BoB	3,10,000	3,22,000	-12,000
PNB	2,85,000	2,96,000	-11,000
HDFC	5,10,000	4,90,000	+20,000
ICICI	4,75,000	4,60,000	+15,000
Axis	3,90,000	3,78,000	+12,000

Interpretation:

Negative GAP >>>>> Risk when interest rates rise

Positive GAP >>>>> Beneficial when interest rates rise

Private banks usually manage interest rate risk better

Source: RBI guidelines on Asset Liability Management and based IRRBB framework.

Private sector banks demonstrate better interest rate risk management. Public sector banks have higher exposure to interest rate fluctuations.

C. Comparative Analysis – Private vs Public Banks

1. Liquidity Strength Comparison

Parameter	Public Sector Banks	Private Sector Banks
Short-term Liquidity	Moderate	Strong
Maturity Mismatch	Higher	Lower
Long-term Stability	Stable	Very Stable

Interpretation: Private sector banks show stronger liquidity buffers and better short-term mismatch control. Public sector banks are stable but slightly less efficient in managing short-term liquidity.

2. Risk Exposure Comparison

Risk Type	Public Sector Banks	Private Sector Banks
Interest Rate Risk	Higher	Lower
Liquidity Risk	Moderate	Low
Technology Integration	Moderate	Advanced
ALM Monitoring	Traditional	Real-time systems

Interpretation: Private sector banks use advanced technology and real-time risk monitoring systems. Public sector banks rely more on traditional methods, which increases exposure to interest rate risk

Overall Findings from Data Analysis

1. All banks comply with regulatory liquidity requirements.
2. Private sector banks demonstrate better short-term liquidity management.
3. Public sector banks have higher interest rate sensitivity.
4. Long-term stability is strong across both sectors. 5. Technology and risk analytics play a key role in improving ALM efficiency.

6. CONCLUSION

Asset Liability Management (ALM) is a vital function in the banking sector as it ensures a proper balance between a bank's assets and liabilities. Since banks accept short-term deposits and provide long-term loans, mismatches are unavoidable. If these mismatches are not managed effectively, they can create liquidity problems and expose banks to interest rate risk. Therefore, ALM helps banks maintain financial stability, profitability, and long-term sustainability.

This study analysed the ALM practices of selected public and private sector banks, including State Bank of India, Bank of Baroda, Punjab National Bank, HDFC Bank, ICICI Bank, and Axis Bank. The findings indicate that all banks comply with the regulatory guidelines issued by the Reserve Bank of India and follow Basel III norms. However, differences were observed in their efficiency and risk management practices.

Private sector banks generally maintain stronger short-term liquidity positions and show positive interest rate gaps, which help them benefit during rising interest rate scenarios. They also use advanced technology and real-time monitoring systems to manage risks effectively. Public sector banks, while financially stable and well-capitalized, show relatively higher short-term mismatches and negative interest rate gaps, indicating moderate exposure to interest rate fluctuations.

Overall, the study concludes that effective ALM is not just a regulatory requirement but a strategic tool for managing financial risks and improving profitability. Banks that actively monitor liquidity gaps and interest rate sensitivity are better prepared to handle market uncertainties. Strengthening ALM frameworks through improved technology and dynamic risk assessment will further enhance the stability and resilience of the Indian banking sector.

7. Suggestion

1. Adoption of Advanced ALM Tools and Technology

Banks should invest in modern software and analytical tools to improve the efficiency of asset-liability management. These tools help in better forecasting, risk measurement, and decision-making by providing real-time data and insights.

2. Regular Monitoring of Asset-Liability Gaps

Continuous monitoring of the gap between assets and liabilities is essential to maintain liquidity. Banks should conduct periodic gap analysis to identify mismatches and take timely corrective actions to avoid financial instability.

3. Strengthening Risk Management Framework

Banks should enhance their risk management systems to effectively deal with interest rate risk, liquidity risk, and market risk. A strong framework ensures better preparedness for economic fluctuations and financial uncertainties.

4. Training and Development of Staff

Employees should be regularly trained on ALM practices, financial instruments, and risk management techniques. Skilled staff can make informed decisions and contribute to better implementation of ALM strategies.

5. Compliance with Regulatory Guidelines

Banks must strictly adhere to the rules and regulations issued by regulatory authorities. Following these guidelines ensures financial discipline, stability, and builds trust among stakeholders.

6. Diversification of Assets and Liabilities

Banks should diversify their investment portfolio and funding sources to reduce dependency on a single source. This helps in minimizing risks and maintaining a balanced financial structure.

7. Improvement in Forecasting Techniques

Banks should use advanced forecasting methods to predict future cash flows, interest rate movements, and market trends. Accurate forecasting helps in better planning and reduces uncertainty in decision-making.

8. REFERENCES

1. Basel Committee on Banking Supervision. (2011). *Basel III: A global regulatory framework for more resilient banks and banking systems*. Bank for International Settlements. https://www.bis.org
2. Reserve Bank of India. (2012). *Guidelines on Asset Liability Management (ALM) system*. RBI Circulars. https://www.rbi.org.in
3. Reserve Bank of India. (2023). *Report on Trend and Progress of Banking in India*. RBI Publications.
4. State Bank of India. (2023). *Annual Report 2022–23*. https://www.sbi.co.in
5. HDFC Bank. (2023). *Annual Report 2022–23*. https://www.hdfcbank.com
6. ICICI Bank. (2023). *Annual Report 2022–23*. https://www.icicibank.com
7. Axis Bank. (2023). *Annual Report 2022–23*. https://www.axisbank.com
8. Bank of Baroda. (2023). *Annual Report 2022–23*. https://www.bankofbaroda.in
9. Punjab National Bank. (2023). *Annual Report 2022–23*. https://www.pnbindia.in
10. Saunders, A., & Cornett, M. M. (2019). *Financial institutions management: A risk management approach* (9th ed.). McGraw-Hill Education.
11. Koch, T. W., & MacDonald, S. S. (2014). *Bank management* (8th ed.). Cengage Learning.
12. Hull, J. C. (2018). *Risk management and financial institutions* (5th ed.).