
**TRIDOSHA AND ACADEMIC STRESS RESPONSE: A CONCEPTUAL
FRAMEWORK FOR STUDENT WELL-BEING**

***Shailvi Singh**

Research Scholar, Chhatrapati Shahuji Maharaj University.

Article Received: 08 April 2026

*Corresponding Author: Shailvi Singh

Article Revised: 28 April 2026

Research Scholar, Chhatrapati Shahuji Maharaj University.

Published on: 18 May 2026

DOI: <https://doi-doi.org/101555/ijrpa.5740>

ABSTRACT

Academic stress has emerged as a significant psychological concern among students, particularly in higher education contexts. While contemporary psychological models explain stress through cognitive appraisal and coping mechanisms, they often lack culturally embedded perspectives. Drawing upon the traditional Indian system of Ayurveda, the present conceptual paper proposes a framework linking Tridosha (Vata, Pitta, Kapha) with academic stress responses and student well-being. Existing literature suggests that Tridosha represents psychophysiological regulatory principles governing behavior, cognition, and emotional functioning. This paper integrates Ayurvedic theory with modern stress and coping frameworks to conceptualize how doshic dominance influences stress perception, coping styles, and academic outcomes. Prior empirical and theoretical studies on Tridosha and psychological attributes, well-being, and stress regulation are reviewed to support the framework. The proposed model offers a culturally relevant approach to understanding individual differences in stress responses and highlights implications for personalized interventions in educational settings.

KEYWORDS: Tridosha (Vata, Pitta, Kapha), Academic stress, Stress appraisal, Coping strategies, Student well-being.

INTRODUCTION

Academic stress has increasingly become a significant concern within higher education, particularly among university and doctoral students who face continuous academic demands, performance pressures, and career-related uncertainties. Research in contemporary psychology consistently demonstrates that prolonged academic stress is associated with

adverse outcomes such as anxiety, burnout, emotional exhaustion, and diminished academic well-being. For instance, Lazarus and Folkman (1984) conceptualized stress as a dynamic interaction between the individual and the environment, emphasizing the role of cognitive appraisal and coping processes in determining stress outcomes. Subsequent studies have expanded this framework, suggesting that individual differences in personality, emotional regulation, and coping styles significantly influence how stress is experienced and managed. Despite the robustness of these Western frameworks, there remains a notable gap in incorporating culturally grounded perspectives, particularly from non-Western knowledge systems. In the Indian context, traditional systems such as Ayurveda provide a holistic understanding of human functioning that integrates mind, body, and environment. Central to Ayurvedic philosophy is the concept of Tridosha—Vata, Pitta, and Kapha, which are considered fundamental bio-psychological principles governing physiological processes as well as cognitive and emotional tendencies. According to Sharma (2015), these doshas determine an individual's constitution (Prakriti) and influence behavioral patterns, stress reactivity, and adaptability. Emerging interdisciplinary research has begun to explore the psychological relevance of Tridosha, suggesting that it may offer valuable insights into individual differences in stress response and well-being. For example, studies by Reddy et al. (2015) indicate that doshic variations are associated with distinct cognitive styles and emotional patterns, while Mehare et al. (2023) highlight the role of doshic balance in maintaining mental health. However, the application of Tridosha within academic stress research remains underdeveloped and largely theoretical.

Theoretical Framework

The conceptual foundation of the present paper lies in integrating modern stress theories with the Ayurvedic concept of Tridosha, thereby offering a multidimensional understanding of academic stress. In contemporary psychology, stress is primarily understood through cognitive and transactional frameworks, which emphasize the role of perception and coping. The seminal work of Lazarus and Folkman (1984) posits that stress arises not merely from external demands but from an individual's appraisal of those demands and their perceived ability to cope. This model distinguishes between primary appraisal (the evaluation of a situation as threatening or challenging) and secondary appraisal (the evaluation of available coping resources), thereby highlighting the subjective nature of the stress experience. Building on this, subsequent research has categorized coping strategies into problem-focused,

emotion-focused, and avoidance-oriented approaches, each associated with distinct psychological outcomes.

While these frameworks effectively explain cognitive and behavioral aspects of stress, they often overlook deeper psychophysiological determinants that may predispose individuals to particular stress responses. This limitation can be addressed by incorporating the Ayurvedic concept of Tridosha, which provides a comprehensive model of human functioning rooted in the interaction of biological and psychological processes. According to classical Ayurvedic texts and contemporary interpretations (e.g., Reddy et al., 2015), Vata, Pitta, and Kapha represent dynamic regulatory systems governing movement, transformation, and stability, respectively.

From a psychological perspective, Vata is associated with variability, rapid cognition, and heightened sensitivity, which may predispose individuals to anxiety and over-reactivity under stress. Empirical and theoretical studies suggest that Vata dominance is linked with increased mental activity and emotional instability, making individuals more vulnerable to stress-related disturbances. In contrast, Pitta is characterized by intensity, goal orientation, and high cognitive engagement. Research indicates that Pitta-dominant individuals often exhibit traits such as perfectionism, competitiveness, and strong emotional responses, which can lead to heightened stress reactivity and burnout in high-pressure academic environments. Meanwhile, Kapha is associated with stability, endurance, and emotional calmness; however, its imbalance may manifest as lethargy, withdrawal, and reduced motivation. Studies on dosha-related behavioral patterns (e.g., Mehare et al., 2023) support the notion that Kapha dominance can influence coping tendencies toward avoidance and disengagement. Importantly, recent interdisciplinary research has begun to validate the psychophysiological basis of Tridosha by linking it with biological processes such as genetic expression, metabolic regulation, and neurophysiological functioning. Such findings suggest that Tridosha may serve as a foundational framework for understanding individual differences in stress susceptibility and coping styles. By integrating this perspective with established stress theories, it becomes possible to conceptualize academic stress as a function of both cognitive appraisal processes and underlying doshic constitution.

Thus, the present framework proposes that Tridosha influences not only how students perceive academic stressors but also how they respond to them emotionally and behaviorally. This integration provides a more holistic understanding of stress by bridging mind-body processes and offers a culturally grounded alternative to purely cognitive models. Consequently, the Tridosha-based perspective holds significant potential for enriching

theoretical discourse and informing personalized interventions aimed at enhancing student well-being.

METHODOLOGY

Research Design

The present study employs a systematic literature review design to synthesize existing scholarly evidence on the relationship between the Ayurvedic construct of Tridosha (Vata, Pitta, Kapha) and psychological processes relevant to academic stress and student well-being. The review follows established guidelines for systematic research synthesis, particularly those outlined by PRISMA, to ensure methodological rigor and clarity in reporting. A systematic search of relevant literature was conducted across multiple electronic databases, including Google Scholar, PubMed, and Scopus-indexed journals, to ensure comprehensive coverage of interdisciplinary research spanning psychology, Ayurveda, and behavioral sciences.

Conceptual Integration

The present paper advances a conceptual integration of the Ayurvedic framework of Tridosha with contemporary psychological theories of academic stress, appraisal, coping, and well-being. This integration is grounded in the premise that Tridosha—comprising Vata, Pitta, and Kapha—represents fundamental psychophysiological regulatory principles that shape individual differences in cognition, affect, and behavior. When interpreted through a psychological lens, these doshic tendencies can be meaningfully aligned with established constructs in stress research, particularly within cognitive appraisal theory and coping frameworks. In this regard, Tridosha is not treated as a purely biomedical or traditional construct, but as a dispositional system that influences how individuals perceive, interpret, and respond to academic stressors.

At the level of stress appraisal, the three doshas can be conceptually mapped onto distinct patterns of perceiving and evaluating academic demands. Individuals with a predominance of Vata, characterized by variability and heightened neural sensitivity, are more likely to engage in threat-oriented appraisal, wherein academic demands are perceived as overwhelming or unpredictable. This aligns with psychological findings linking heightened cognitive reactivity with anxiety and uncertainty. In contrast, Pitta dominance, associated with intensity, goal orientation, and cognitive sharpness, may predispose individuals toward a challenge-oriented but evaluatively rigid appraisal style, wherein stressors are perceived as opportunities for

achievement but are accompanied by high self-imposed standards and critical self-evaluation. Kapha dominance, reflecting stability and inertia, may be associated with a minimizing or avoidant appraisal, wherein academic demands are downplayed or disengaged from, particularly under conditions of perceived overload. These variations in appraisal highlight the role of Tridosha in shaping the primary and secondary appraisal processes central to stress theory.

Building upon appraisal, the integration extends to coping processes, wherein doshic characteristics are conceptually aligned with distinct coping styles identified in psychological literature. Vata-dominant individuals, due to their heightened emotional reactivity and cognitive fluctuation, are more likely to engage in emotion-focused coping, such as worry, rumination, or reassurance seeking, which may provide short-term relief but exacerbate anxiety in the long term. Pitta-dominant individuals, driven by achievement and control, tend to employ problem-focused coping strategies, including planning, over-engagement, and performance-oriented efforts; however, the rigidity and intensity of these strategies may increase vulnerability to burnout under sustained stress. In contrast, Kapha-dominant individuals are more likely to adopt avoidant or disengagement coping, characterized by procrastination, withdrawal, and reduced effort, which may protect against immediate stress but undermine long-term academic engagement and success. Thus, Tridosha provides a coherent explanatory lens for understanding variability in coping preferences and effectiveness.

The integration further extends to academic well-being, conceptualized as a multidimensional outcome encompassing emotional stability, engagement, and satisfaction. The interaction between doshic dominance, appraisal style, and coping behavior is proposed to influence well-being outcomes in distinct ways. Vata-related patterns of threat appraisal and emotion-focused coping may lead to heightened anxiety and reduced psychological well-being. Pitta-related patterns, while initially associated with high achievement and motivation, may culminate in emotional exhaustion and burnout due to sustained overactivation. Kapha-related patterns, characterized by avoidance and low engagement, may result in diminished academic involvement and lower perceived competence. In this way, Tridosha serves as an upstream determinant that indirectly shapes well-being through its influence on cognitive and behavioral processes. Overall, this conceptual integration positions Tridosha as a foundational dispositional framework that complements and extends existing psychological theories of stress. By linking Ayurvedic principles with cognitive appraisal and coping models, the proposed framework offers a culturally grounded and theoretically coherent

explanation of individual differences in academic stress response. It not only bridges traditional Indian knowledge systems with modern psychology but also provides a basis for developing more personalized and context-sensitive approaches to student well-being.

DISCUSSION

The present systematic review sought to develop a conceptual framework linking the Ayurvedic construct of Tridosha with academic stress response and student well-being by integrating insights from Indian Knowledge Systems and contemporary psychological theories. The findings of the review suggest that Tridosha offers a meaningful lens through which individual differences in stress perception, emotional reactivity, and coping behavior can be understood. Specifically, the characteristics attributed to Vata, Pitta, and Kapha appear to correspond with distinct cognitive, emotional, and behavioral patterns that are well-documented within stress and coping literature. This alignment indicates that Tridosha may serve as a culturally grounded explanatory system for variability in academic stress responses.

The proposed framework situates Tridosha as an antecedent influencing stress appraisal processes, which subsequently shape coping strategies and academic well-being outcomes. This conceptualization is consistent with established psychological models that emphasize the role of individual differences in stress appraisal and coping. For instance, Vata-dominant tendencies, characterized by heightened sensitivity and cognitive variability, align with threat-oriented appraisal and emotion-focused coping, often resulting in anxiety and reduced well-being. In contrast, Pitta-dominant individuals, associated with intensity and goal orientation, may engage in problem-focused but rigid coping, increasing their vulnerability to burnout despite high performance. Kapha-dominant tendencies, marked by stability but also inertia, correspond with avoidance-based appraisal and disengagement, potentially leading to low academic involvement and diminished well-being. These parallels highlight the theoretical compatibility between Ayurvedic constructs and modern psychological frameworks.

An important contribution of the present study lies in its interdisciplinary integration. While prior research has explored Tridosha primarily in relation to physical health and, to a limited extent, psychological traits, its application to academic stress remains underdeveloped. By systematically synthesizing available literature, this paper extends the scope of Tridosha into the domain of educational psychology and student mental health. Despite its contributions, the framework proposed in this study is not without limitations. As a conceptual model

derived from a systematic review, it remains theoretical and propositional in nature and has not been empirically validated.

CONCLUSION

The present study contributes to the growing body of indigenous and integrative psychological research by proposing a conceptual framework that links Tridosha with academic stress response and student well-being. By systematically reviewing and synthesizing literature from Ayurveda and contemporary psychology, the paper demonstrates that Tridosha provides a holistic and culturally relevant perspective for understanding individual differences in stress perception, coping behavior, and academic outcomes.

The framework highlights that Vata, Pitta, and Kapha are not merely physiological constructs but also have significant psychological correlates that influence how students experience and respond to academic stress. By positioning Tridosha as a determinant of stress appraisal and coping pathways, the study offers a novel theoretical contribution that bridges traditional Indian knowledge systems with modern psychological science. Importantly, the conceptual model developed in this paper lays the groundwork for future empirical investigation. There is a need to operationalize doshic constructs in psychological terms, develop reliable assessment tools, and test the proposed relationships across diverse student populations. Such efforts would not only validate the framework but also enhance its applicability in educational and clinical settings. In conclusion, integrating Tridosha into the study of academic stress expands the scope of psychological inquiry by incorporating culturally rooted perspectives that emphasize holistic functioning. This approach has the potential to inform more personalized and contextually sensitive interventions aimed at improving student well-being. As the field of psychology increasingly recognizes the value of indigenous knowledge systems, frameworks such as the one proposed in this study can play a crucial role in fostering a more inclusive and comprehensive understanding of human behavior.

REFERENCES

1. Balasubramani, S. P., Venkatasubramanian, P., Kukkupuni, S. K., & Patwardhan, B. (2011). Plant-based Rasayana drugs from Ayurveda. *Chinese Journal of Integrative Medicine*, 17(2), 88–94. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11655-011-0659-5>
2. Bisht, S., & Sisodia, S. S. (2010). *Ayurveda and life sciences: Integrative approaches*. Springer. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4419-7089-5>
3. Chatterjee, B., & Panchal, S. (2016). Role of Tridosha in health and disease. *International Journal of Ayurvedic Medicine*, 7(2), 101–105. <https://doi.org/10.47552/ijam.v7i2.722>
4. Lazarus, R. S., & Folkman, S. (1984). *Stress, appraisal, and coping*. Springer. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4419-1005-9>
5. Moher, D., Liberati, A., Tetzlaff, J., & Altman, D. G. (2009). Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: The PRISMA statement. *PLoS Medicine*, 6(7), e1000097. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1000097>
6. Patwardhan, B., Warude, D., Pushpangadan, P., & Bhatt, N. (2005). Ayurveda and traditional Chinese medicine: A comparative overview. *Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine*, 2(4), 465–473. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ecam/neh140>
7. Reddy, K. S., Kumar, M., & Reddy, V. (2015). Prakriti and its associations with personality and physiological traits: A review. *Ayu*, 36(4), 389–394. <https://doi.org/10.4103/0974-8520.190699>
8. Sharma, P. V. (1994). *Charaka Samhita (Vol. 1)*. Chaukhambha Orientalia.
9. Torraco, R. J. (2005). Writing integrative literature reviews: Guidelines and examples. *Human Resource Development Review*, 4(3), 356–367. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1534484305278283>
10. Whittemore, R., & Knafl, K. (2005). The integrative review: Updated methodology. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 52(5), 546–553. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2648.2005.03621.x>