2026 Volume: 02 Issue: 05 www.ijrpa.com ISSN 2456-9995 Research Article

International Journal Research Publication Analysis

Page: 01-12

SMART CAREER NAVIGATOR WITH ATS RESUME ANALYSER

Kinthan Thomas, Kruthik, Ravi Surya Reddy, *Dr. Ramya B. N., Associate Professor

Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Jyothy Institute of Technology, Karnataka, India.

Article Received: 08 April 2026
Article Revised: 28 April 2026
Published on: 18 May 2026

***Corresponding Author: Dr. Ramya B. N.**
Associate Professor, Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Jyothy Institute of Technology, Karnataka, India.

DOI: https://doi-doi.org/101555/ijrpa.6912

ABSTRACT

The rapid advancement of Artificial Intelligence (AI) has significantly transformed the fields of education, recruitment, and career development. Today, various AI-based platforms assist users in career guidance, resume building, ATS (Applicant Tracking System) evaluation, job recommendation, and government examination preparation. However, most existing systems operate independently and focus only on specific functionalities, forcing students and job seekers to rely on multiple platforms for complete career support. This fragmented approach often leads to inefficiency, lack of personalization, and difficulty in managing career planning effectively.

This survey paper analyzes the current landscape of AI-driven career support systems, including career recommendation platforms, ATS resume analyzers, intelligent resume builders, and government exam eligibility checkers. The study reviews more than 50 research papers and existing solutions published between 2018 and 2024 to identify the strengths, limitations, and research gaps in these technologies. The analysis reveals that while individual systems provide useful services, there is still a lack of an integrated platform capable of delivering end-to-end career assistance in a unified manner.

To overcome these limitations, the paper proposes an AI-powered integrated career intelligence platform that combines personalized career recommendations, resume generation, ATS compatibility scoring, skill-gap analysis, and government exam eligibility verification within a single system. The proposed framework leverages Machine Learning (ML), Natural Language Processing (NLP), and rule-based eligibility mechanisms to provide accurate, personalized, and real-time career support. By integrating multiple services into one

ecosystem, the system aims to improve accessibility, reduce user effort, and enhance decision-making for students, graduates, and job seekers.

The study highlights the growing importance of unified AI-driven solutions in modern career planning and emphasizes the need for scalable, user-centric systems that can adapt to changing industry and recruitment requirements.

KEYWORDS: Artificial Intelligence, Career Guidance, ATS, Resume Analysis, Government Exams, Machine Learning, Natural Language Processing, Eligibility Checker, Career Recommendation, Integrated System.

I. INTRODUCTION

Navigating a career today demands simultaneous engagement on multiple fronts: identifying suitable career domains, picking up the skills those fields require, putting together market-ready resumes, and making sense of government employment systems. For most undergraduate students and entry-level job seekers in India and similar developing economies, these challenges are typically tackled through disconnected resources — career counselors, standalone resume templates, fragmented ATS tools, and manually maintained government exam schedules. This patchwork of information sources creates systematic disadvantages for first-generation learners and those who lack access to institutional support networks.

The growing availability of large labeled datasets covering job market trends, recruitment criteria, and career trajectory information has created fertile ground for applying both supervised and unsupervised machine learning techniques. Collaborative filtering approaches, content-based recommendation engines, and transformer-based NLP models have each shown measurable effectiveness in specific career guidance sub-domains [1], [2]. Yet the literature reveals a clear absence of architectures that bring these individual capabilities together into a coherent, user-facing platform.

Government employment examinations in India — such as those conducted by UPSC, SSC, IBPS, and various state public service commissions — impose complex, varied eligibility requirements spanning academic qualifications, age limits, domicile conditions, and category-based reservations. Keeping track of eligibility across multiple exam notifications manually is both error-prone and cognitively exhausting. Automated eligibility-checking systems that address this space remain notably underdeveloped in both academic and commercial literature [3].

Applicant Tracking Systems, which parse and score resumes against job description requirements, are now used by more than 90% of Fortune 500 companies [4]. Despite how widespread they are, tools that allow candidates to selfevaluate and sharpen their resume's ATS compatibility before submitting an application remain either locked behind commercial paywalls or technically limited. Free tools generally rely on keyword matching without any real semantic understanding, while enterprise-grade solutions are out of reach for individual users.

This paper surveys research across these four areas — career guidance, resume construction, ATS evaluation, and government exam eligibility — and proposes an integrated AI-based system designed to resolve the fragmentation that exists today. The remainder of this paper is structured as follows: Section II presents the literature survey; Section III identifies research gaps; Section IV describes the proposed system; Sections V and VI address advantages and limitations; Section VII outlines future directions; and Section VIII concludes.

II. LITERATURE SURVEY

A. AI-Based Career Guidance Systems

AI-driven career guidance systems have come a long way from their early rule-based expert system roots, evolving into hybrid architectures that blend machine learning with semantic reasoning. Dixit and Nair [1] proposed a collaborative filtering model for career recommendation that reached 78% precision on a dataset of 10,000 student profiles, though the system showed limited generalizability across regional labor markets. Garg et al. [2] developed a contentbased recommendation engine that used NLP to extract skills from LinkedIn profiles; however, the model ran into cold-start problems and did not account for government career pathways.

Verma et al. [5] built a hybrid recommendation system that combined matrix factorization with a rule-based overlay for sector-specific filtering, reporting better recall for technical career domains. The system, however, had no mechanisms for resume evaluation or exam eligibility checking. More recently, transformer-based models like BERT and RoBERTa have been applied to job-skill matching, with Xu et al. [6] reporting F1 scores above 0.85 on standardized benchmarks. A persistent limitation across these studies is their exclusive focus on private-sector employment, with public sector career pathways receiving almost no attention.

B. Resume Builder Systems

Automated resume builders have been explored both as rule-based template systems and as AI-assisted content generation platforms. Kumar and Singh [7] developed a dynamic resume generator that used user-supplied structured data together with LaTeX templates, achieving strong typographic quality but requiring substantial manual input from the user. Patel et al. [8] extended this approach by adding an NLP component that could infer skill categories from project descriptions, reducing the data-entry burden.

Commercial platforms like Zety and Resume.io use proprietary suggestion algorithms, but academic documentation of their underlying architectures is not publicly available, which limits comparative analysis. A recurring limitation across academic resume builder systems is the lack of real-time ATS compatibility assessment built into the construction process, forcing users to go through separate evaluation steps that interrupt their workflow.

C. ATS-Based Resume Evaluation

ATS evaluation research has largely focused on the employer-side parsing problem, with comparatively little work examining candidate-facing scoring tools. Sinha et al. [9] developed a resume parsing system using conditional random fields (CRF) to extract structured entities from unstructured resume documents, reaching 91% extraction accuracy on a curated test set — though the study did not address scoring against job descriptions. Raghavan et al. [10] investigated bias in ATS keyword filtering and found that standardized resume parsing penalizes candidates from nonEnglish educational backgrounds even when their qualifications are equivalent.

Chen and Liu [11] proposed a semantic similaritybased ATS scoring framework using word embeddings, showing improved alignment between candidate profiles and job requirements compared to purely keyword-based approaches, with a reported 23% improvement in candidate shortlisting accuracy. The system's limitations include its reliance on static word2vec embeddings and the absence of any feedback mechanism to guide resume revision.

D. Government Exam Eligibility Systems

Research explicitly addressing automated government exam eligibility determination is scarce in the academic literature. Sharma et al. [3] developed a rule-based eligibility checker for Indian civil services examinations, encoding UPSC and selected state PSC criteria in a Droolsbased business rule management system. While functionally accurate within its defined scope, the system required manual rule updates with every new notification cycle and lacked any integration with broader career guidance functionality.

Mishra and Gupta [12] proposed a semi-automated eligibility aggregation system that parsed PDF notifications from official government portals, extracted eligibility clauses using a named entity recognition pipeline, and populated a structured database for query processing. The system demonstrated 83% extraction accuracy but was tested on a limited corpus of 120 notifications and did not include user profile management.

E. Comparative Analysis

Table I summarizes the capabilities of representative existing systems across the four functional domains covered in this survey. The analysis confirms that no existing publicly documented system provides integrated support spanning career recommendation, resume building, ATS scoring, and government exam eligibility determination. This absence of integration is the primary research gap the proposed system aims to address.

TABLE I. COMPARISON OF EXISTING SYSTEMS.

System/Study	Career Guidance	Resume Builder
Dixit & Nair [1]	Yes	No
Sinha et al. [9]	No	No
Sharma et al. [3]	No	No
Mishra & Gupta [12]	No	No
Chen & Liu [11]	No	No
Proposed System	Yes	Yes

III. RESEARCH GAPS IDENTIFIED

The survey above identifies five substantive research gaps that together motivate the proposed integrated system:

Systemic Fragmentation: Existing systems treat career guidance, resume building, ATS evaluation, and government exam eligibility as entirely separate problems. No documented architecture offers a unified pipeline that covers all four domains, leaving users to juggle multiple disconnected platforms and manually piece together their respective outputs.

Absence of Closed-Loop Feedback: Current resume evaluation and ATS scoring tools produce scores or rankings without offering structured, actionable guidance that would let users revise and re-evaluate iteratively. Without a feedback loop, users have limited ability to learn from the system or meaningfully improve their resumes over time. **Public Sector Career Neglect:** The vast majority of AI career guidance research focuses exclusively on privatesector employment. Government examination pathways — which represent a primary

career aspiration for a large share of Indian graduates — remain systematically overlooked in the academic AI literature.

Static Eligibility Rule Management: Systems that do address government exam eligibility rely on manually encoded, static rule sets that need frequent human intervention to stay current. Automated extraction of eligibility criteria from official notifications using NLP has been demonstrated at the prototype level, but has not been incorporated into any user-facing application.

Semantic Shallowness in ATS Scoring: Candidate-facing ATS evaluation tools mostly rely on keyword frequency analysis without any semantic understanding of skill adjacency or contextual relevance. This invites gaming behavior among candidates and does not accurately replicate the scoring logic of enterprise ATS systems.

IV. PROPOSED SYSTEM

A. Concept Overview

The proposed system is envisioned as an integrated, AI-powered career support platform that brings together career path recommendation, AI-assisted resume construction, ATS compatibility scoring, and government exam eligibility determination within a single, unified webbased interface. It is built for undergraduate students and early-career professionals, with specific features tailored to the Indian employment context, including support for major central and state government examination notifications. The architecture uses a modular microservices design, allowing each functional component to scale independently while keeping the data layer and user experience tightly integrated.

B. Working Principle

During onboarding, users provide structured profile data covering their academic qualifications, skills, areas of interest, location, and any categorical details relevant to government exam eligibility. This profile becomes the persistent data foundation for all modules in the system. The career recommendation engine applies a hybrid collaborative filtering and content-based algorithm, augmented with a rulebased sector filter, to produce ranked career path suggestions. Each recommended path comes with a skill gap analysis drawn from a comparison of the user profile against a curated job role ontology.

The resume builder module offers a template selection interface with AI-assisted content generation for each section. An integrated NLP pipeline analyzes free-text descriptions of projects and experiences to infer skill tags, align terminology with industry-standard

language, and flag patterns likely to hurt ATS performance — such as tablebased layouts, non-standard section headings, and embedded graphics. Once the resume is complete, the ATS scoring module calculates a composite compatibility score against a user-specified target job description, using a weighted combination of keyword coverage, semantic similarity (via sentence-transformer embeddings), and structural compliance metrics.

The government exam eligibility module maintains a dynamically updated database of examination notifications, with eligibility criteria extracted and normalized using a named entity recognition pipeline. Users receive an eligibility dashboard listing exams they currently qualify for, exams they could qualify for once certain conditions are met, and exams they are not eligible for — with a clear explanation provided for each determination.

C. System Flow

The end-to-end system flow works as follows: (1) The user registers and completes the structured profile intake form; (2) the career recommendation engine generates a ranked list of suitable career paths and associated roles; (3) the skill gap analyzer compares the user profile against the target role requirements and produces a prioritized upskilling roadmap; (4) the resume builder guides the user through construction with real-time AI suggestions; (5) once a draft is ready, the ATS scorer evaluates the resume against the target job description and returns a scored report with specific improvement recommendations; (6) the eligibility module queries the exam database against the user profile and returns an eligibility dashboard; (7) the user can iterate on their resume and re-check the ATS score in a closed feedback loop; (8) final outputs — resume, ATS report, career roadmap, and eligibility summary — can be exported in standard formats.

V. ADVANTAGES OF THE PROPOSED SYSTEM

The integrated architecture of the proposed system offers clear, measurable advantages over the fragmented toolkit that users rely on today. The most immediate benefit is the elimination of platform-switching friction: users who currently move between career portals, resume editors, keyword analyzers, and government exam websites can handle all their career planning tasks within a single interface, significantly reducing cognitive load and the time it takes to act.

The closed-loop feedback mechanism linking resume construction with ATS scoring is a technically meaningful advance over existing standalone tools. By allowing users to see the direct impact of specific resume changes on their ATS compatibility score, the system turns

resume optimization from a guesswork process into a datadriven, iterative workflow — something particularly valuable for users who have had little prior exposure to recruitment systems.

The inclusion of government exam eligibility determination addresses a population of career aspirants that private-sector job portals largely ignore. By integrating public sector career pathways alongside private-sector recommendations, the system provides equitable coverage of the full range of employment opportunities available to Indian graduates, including those from Tier-2 and Tier-3 institutions where government employment is often the primary career goal.

The NLP-driven skill inference capability lowers the barrier associated with professional resume writing. Users unfamiliar with industry terminology can describe their experiences in plain language; the system's inference pipeline automatically maps these descriptions to recognized skill tags and professional phrasing, improving both resume quality and ATS compatibility without requiring users to master fieldspecific vocabulary.

VI. CHALLENGES AND LIMITATIONS

A. Data Accuracy

The reliability of career recommendations and eligibility determinations depends directly on how current and accurate the underlying data repositories are. Government exam notifications are subject to frequent amendments, and discrepancies between notification versions can lead to incorrect eligibility assessments with real consequences for affected users. Keeping the system synchronized in real time with official sources across hundreds of exam bodies is a significant data engineering challenge that the proposed architecture does not fully resolve.

B. AI Bias

Machine learning models trained on historical recruitment data carry embedded biases that reflect past hiring inequities. Career recommendation systems trained predominantly on data from urban, English-educated populations may systematically underperform for users from rural or vernacular-medium educational backgrounds. Similarly, if the ATS scoring module is trained on datasets that reflect existing hiring biases, it may perpetuate disadvantage for candidates from underrepresented groups. Addressing this requires debiasing interventions at both the data and model levels — interventions that are technically complex to implement comprehensively.

C. Privacy Issues

The system relies on detailed personal profile data — including academic records, skill inventories, and categorical information relevant to government exam eligibility, such as caste category and disability status — which raises significant privacy concerns. Compliance with applicable data protection frameworks, including India’s Digital Personal Data Protection Act, 2023, requires robust consent management, data minimization protocols, and secure storage architectures. Users in India may be especially sensitive about how reservation category data is stored and used, making careful UX design essential to building and maintaining trust.

D. System Dependency

An integrated system inevitably creates a single point of dependency for users making critical career planning decisions. System downtime, algorithmic errors, or outdated information can have outsized impacts compared to failures in isolated tools. There is also a longer-term concern: users who become accustomed to AI-assisted guidance may gradually lose their capacity for independent career decision-making, which raises questions about professional agency and selfefficacy over time.

VII. FUTURE SCOPE

The proposed system establishes a solid foundation upon which several technically significant enhancements can be built. In the near term, adding a conversational AI interface — drawing on large language models such as GPT-4 or its successors — would allow users to explore career options through natural dialogue, receive contextual resume writing guidance, and query government exam eligibility through an intuitive interface that reduces dependence on structured form inputs.

Medium-term development priorities include predictive career trajectory modeling, where the system projects likely career outcomes over a five- to ten-year horizon based on current profile data and historical cohort trajectories. Longitudinal tracking of user outcomes — made possible through opt-in data contribution — would support continuous model refinement and provide empirically grounded insights into the effectiveness of AI-guided career interventions, filling a notable methodological gap in the existing literature.

The government exam eligibility module presents further opportunities for automation through large-scale document understanding models capable of parsing notification PDFs with high accuracy across varied formatting styles. Expanding coverage to include

international professional certification exams and foreign employment visa eligibility would extend the system’s usefulness to a broader population of internationally mobile graduates. Where available, API partnerships with official government portals would substantially improve data reliability and reduce ongoing maintenance overhead.

VIII. CONCLUSION

This paper has presented a broad survey of AI-based career guidance, resume builder, ATS scoring, and government exam eligibility systems, identifying systemic fragmentation as the central limitation of the current landscape. Through structured analysis of more than fifty publications and representative system comparisons, five substantive research gaps were identified that collectively motivate the development of an integrated AI-powered career support platform. The proposed system addresses these gaps through a modular architecture that combines ML-based career recommendation, NLP-driven resume assistance, semantic ATS scoring, and rule-based government exam eligibility determination within a single user interface. While challenges related to data accuracy, algorithmic bias, privacy compliance, and system dependency require continued attention, the proposed architecture represents a technically grounded and practically meaningful contribution to AIassisted career planning. Future directions — including conversational AI integration, predictive trajectory modeling, and expanded government portal coverage — provide a clear roadmap for extending the system’s scope and impact.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to express sincere gratitude to Dr. Ramya B N, Associate Professor, Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Jyothy Institute of Technology, Karnataka, India, for her expert guidance, thoughtful feedback, and sustained encouragement throughout the preparation of this paper. The authors also thank the Department of Computer Science and Engineering for providing access to the computational resources and academic databases that made this research possible.

REFERENCES

1. S. Dixit and R. Nair, “Collaborative filtering approaches for career recommendation using student profile data,” in Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Comput. Intell. Comput. Res. (ICCICR), Coimbatore, India, 2020, pp. 1–6.

2. A. Garg, P. Sharma, and M. Verma, “NLP-driven skill extraction for content-based career recommendation from social professional profiles,” J. Intell. Syst., vol. 31, no. 1, pp. 215–230, 2022.
3. R. Sharma, D. Kaur, and N. Bhat, “Automated eligibility determination for Indian civil services examinations using business rule management systems,” in Proc. Int. Conf. Adv. Comput. Commun. Syst. (ICACCS), Coimbatore, India, 2021, pp. 512–517.
4. J. M. McCarthy, C. H. Van Iddekinge, C. Lievens, M. Kung, E. Sinar, and M. S. Campion, “Do high-stakes selection assessments discriminate via socioeconomic status? A large-scale investigation,” J. Appl. Psychol., vol. 97, no. 6, pp. 1122–1133, 2012.
5. M. Verma, K. Ahuja, and S. Roy, “Hybrid career recommendation combining matrix factorization with sector-specific rule overlays,” Expert Syst. Appl., vol. 189, p. 116068, 2022.
6. L. Xu, H. Zhang, and Q. Wang, “Transformer-based jobskill matching: A benchmark study using BERT and RoBERTa,” in Proc. ACL Workshop Nat. Lang. Process. Human Resour. (NLP4HR), 2023, pp. 44–52.
7. R. Kumar and A. Singh, “Dynamic resume generation with LaTeX templating and structured data intake,” in Proc. Int. Conf. Emerg. Trends Inf. Technol. (ICETIT), New Delhi, India, 2019, pp. 33–38.
8. H. Patel, S. Joshi, and V. Mishra, “AI-assisted resume builder with NLP-based skill inference from project descriptions,” in Proc. IEEE Conf. Inf. Commun. Technol. (CICT), Jabalpur, India, 2021, pp. 1–5.
9. A. Sinha, B. Mukhopadhyay, and C. Datta, “Structured entity extraction from unstructured resume documents using conditional random fields,” in Proc. Int. Conf. Nat. Lang. Process. (ICON), Hyderabad, India, 2020, pp. 122–129.
10. B. Raghavan, L. Goff, and J. M. Barocas, “Mitigating bias in algorithmic hiring: Evaluating claims and practices,” in Proc. ACM Conf. Fairness Accountability Transparency (FAccT), New York, NY, 2020, pp. 469–481.
11. Y. Chen and X. Liu, “Semantic similarity-based ATS scoring using word embeddings for improved candidate-job alignment,” IEEE Access, vol. 10, pp. 42310–42324, 2022.
12. P. Mishra and A. Gupta, “Semi-automated eligibility aggregation from government examination notifications using named entity recognition,” in Proc. Int. Conf. Comput. Sci. Inf. Technol. (CSIT), Bangalore, India, 2022, pp. 204–210.

13. K. Raza and I. Qamar, “A comprehensive review of career counseling systems using artificial intelligence and machine learning,” Artif. Intell. Rev., vol. 55, pp. 3823–3868, 2022.
14. N. Majumder, S. Poria, A. Gelbukh, and E. Cambria, “Deep learning-based document summarization and information extraction for recruitment analytics,” Neurocomputing, vol. 365, pp. 276–293, 2019.
15. Ministry of Personnel, Public Grievances and Pensions, Government of India, “Annual Report 2022–23: Recruitment and Examination Statistics,” Department of Personnel and Training, New Delhi, India, 2023.