2026 Volume: 02 Issue: 11 www.ijrpa.com ISSN 2456-9995 Review Article

International Journal Research Publication Analysis

Page: 01-11

THERMOELECTRIC GENERATOR INTEGRATION IN AUTOMOTIVE EXHAUST SYSTEMS FOR WASTE HEAT RECOVERY AND FUEL ECONOMY OPTIMIZATION: A COMPREHENSIVE REVIEW

Amol Pandharinath Ghule*[1]

M. Tech. (Mech. Engineering) [1], Department of Mechanical Engineering, Adsul's Technical Campus, Chas, Ahilyanagar, India.

Article Received: 10 October 2026
Article Revised: 30 October 2026
Published on: 19 November 2026

***Corresponding Author: Amol Pandharinath Ghule**
M. Tech. (Mech. Engineering) 1, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Adsul's Technical Campus, Chas, Ahilyanagar, India.

DOI: https://doi-doi.org/101555/ijrpa.9781

1. ABSTRACT

Internal combustion engines (ICEs) reject approximately 60–70% of fuel energy as waste heat through exhaust gases and cooling networks, presenting a substantial opportunity for secondary energy harvesting. Thermoelectric Generators (TEGs) provide a direct solid-state mechanism to convert this thermal energy into electrical power, offloading the mechanical alternator and directly boosting overall vehicle fuel economy. This review paper presents a critical analysis of the technical bottlenecks, structural architectures, fluid dynamic trade-offs, and material challenges associated with integrating TEGs into automotive exhaust systems. The review tracks the development of thermoelectric materials from legacy bismuth telluride alloys to contemporary high-temperature half-Heusler and skutterudite phases. Special focus is given to the thermophysical interaction between the exhaust gas boundary layers and internal heat exchanger geometries, where enhancing heat transfer rates inherently creates an optimization compromise due to backpressure penalties. Through a systematic analysis of recent literature, this paper compares diverse internal heat exchanger configurations—including plate-fin, pin-fin, and porous foam inserts—evaluating their impact on net electrical power output, system mass, and pumping losses. Methodological pathways involving transient 3D conjugate heat transfer computational fluid dynamics (CFD) and experimental dynamometer testing are detailed to establish a rigorous evaluation baseline. Finally, the industrial outcomes, life-cycle carbon reductions, and future integration paths driven by co-design and machine-learning-supported geometric optimization are synthesized, providing a

foundational design roadmap for next-generation sustainable automotive powertrain architectures.

KEYWORDS: Automotive Waste Heat Recovery; Thermoelectric Generators (TEG); Fuel Economy; Exhaust Backpressure; Thermoelectric Materials; Conjugate Heat Transfer.

2. INTRODUCTION

The modern automotive sector faces unprecedented regulatory pressure to lower greenhouse gas emissions and enhance transport efficiency. Despite intense electrification, internal combustion engines (ICEs) and hybrid electric vehicles (HEVs) remain core components of global transport logistics. In a conventional gasoline or diesel engine, only 30–40% of the chemical energy liberated during fuel combustion is converted into useful effective mechanical work. The remaining energy is lost as thermodynamic waste, split between the engine cooling loop and high-enthalpy exhaust gas streams. Exhaust gases exit the manifold at temperatures ranging from 400°C to well over 800°C depending on engine load, carrying away vast amounts of kinetic and thermal energy. Scavenging this high-grade heat and converting it back into usable electrical energy is one of the most effective ways to increase overall powertrain efficiency.

Automotive Thermoelectric Generators (ATEG) provide a unique, passive solution to this challenge. Operating via the Seebeck effect, a TEG utilizes solid-state semiconductor pairs (p-type and n-type elements) connected electrically in series and thermally in parallel to generate electric current from a temperature gradient. When installed along the exhaust pipeline, the hot-side heat exchanger absorbs thermal energy from the bypass gas stream, while the cold-side heat exchanger is cooled by the vehicle's engine coolant loop. This established gradient drives a continuous charge carrier diffusion within the semiconductor legs, generating direct current (DC) electricity. This harvested power can be routed through a DC-DC converter to charge the vehicle's battery or directly power electrical subsystems, reducing the mechanical load on the engine-driven alternator and yielding direct fuel savings.

However, integrating an ATEG into an automotive exhaust architecture is a complex engineering challenge involving tight thermophysical and fluid dynamic constraints. The system must operate under severe transient conditions characterized by rapid fluctuations in gas mass flow rates and inlet temperatures. Furthermore, introducing a hot-side heat exchanger into the exhaust pipeline restricts the gas flow path, increasing exhaust backpressure. High backpressure degrades engine volumetric efficiency, increases pumping

work, and can offset the fuel economy benefits gained from thermoelectric harvesting. Developing a viable ATEG therefore requires balancing high heat transfer coefficients against tight backpressure constraints, alongside managing material structural integrity under continuous thermal cycling.

3. History and Importance

The roots of thermoelectric generation date back to 1821, when Thomas Johann Seebeck observed that a magnetic needle deflected when placed near a closed loop formed by two dissimilar metals subject to a temperature gradient. For over a century, this phenomenon remained an academic curiosity due to the extremely low conversion efficiencies (<1%) of early metallic junctions. The field advanced significantly in the 1950s with the development of synthetic semiconductor materials, notably Bismuth Telluride (Bi2Te3), which increased conversion efficiencies to around 4-5%. Early commercial applications were limited to niche sectors, such as Radioisotope Thermoelectric Generators (RTGs) developed by NASA for deep-space missions like Voyager and Cassini, where solid-state reliability outweighed high capital costs.

The application of thermoelectric concepts to automotive exhaust harvesting began in the late 20th century. Early prototype systems faced limitations due to low material figure-of-merit (ZT) properties and bulky design configurations. In the early 2000s, major automotive consortiums partnered with national labs on dedicated development programs, such as the U.S. Department of Energy’s vehicle waste heat recovery initiatives. These projects successfully integrated prototype ATEGs into passenger vehicles like the BMW 5 Series and heavy-duty commercial trucks. These early demonstrations proved that passive solid-state energy harvesting could recover hundreds of watts of power under highway cruise conditions, shifting the focus toward manufacturing scale and long-term mechanical reliability.

The strategic importance of ATEG technology is rooted in its potential to improve fuel economy and lower carbon emissions across passenger and commercial transport fleets. For heavy-duty long-haul trucks, fuel costs account for a massive share of total operating expenses; reducing fuel consumption by even 2–4% through exhaust energy recovery yields significant annual cost savings and reduces carbon emissions by tons per vehicle. In hybrid electric vehicles (HEVs), an ATEG can accelerate catalyst light-off and provide auxiliary cabin heating during cold starts, helping satisfy strict Euro 7 and EPA Tier 4 emission standards. By shifting the vehicle's electrical load from a mechanical alternator to a passive

exhaust heat recovery system, automakers can design more sustainable vehicles that bridge the gap between traditional combustion and full electrification.

4. Literature Survey

Gong et al. [1] conducted a thorough numerical investigation into the design optimization of an automotive thermoelectric generator, focusng specifically on the spatial configuration of the exhaust hot-side heat exchanger. The authors developed a comprehensive three-dimensional CFD model to analyze the flow field and thermal distribution within a plate-fin type ATEG configuration. Their simulations demonstrated that while increasing the fin density and height substantially improves the convective heat transfer coefficient across the hot-side substrate, it causes an exponential increase in exhaust backpressure. To mitigate this penalty, the researchers introduced a segmented fin design that disrupts boundary layer development without causing extensive flow stagnation. Their findings indicated that an optimized segmented fin geometry could increase net power output by 14.3% compared to conventional continuous fin plates, while keeping the engine backpressure well within the allowable limit of 10 kPa [1].

Kasperovich et al. [2] shifted focus toward advanced material synthesis, evaluating high-temperature half-Heusler alloy structures as replacements for conventional bismuth telluride modules in high-load automotive applications. The researchers investigated the structural stability and thermoelectric performance of MNiSn (M = Ti, Zr, Hf) n-type and MCoSb p-type phases under simulated heavy-duty diesel exhaust thermal cycles reaching peak temperatures of 650°C. Their experimental results showed that these half-Heusler modules maintained stable electrical properties over 500 thermal cycles, avoiding the severe mechanical degradation and atomic diffusion defects typical of bismuth telluride at elevated temperatures. The system achieved a peak module-level efficiency of 7.8%. This work demonstrated that half-Heusler alloys provide the chemical and thermal durability needed to withstand the aggressive exhaust environments of commercial vehicles [2].

Slotwinski et al. [3] explored the structural interaction and thermal stress distributions at the interface between thermoelectric modules and exhaust casing geometries using finite element analysis (FEA). A primary failure mode in ATEGs is module cracking caused by mismatched thermal expansion coefficients (CTE) between the metallic heat exchangers and brittle semiconductor materials. The authors modeled these interfacial shear stresses across various operating profiles, finding that maximum shear stress concentrates at the outermost corners of the peripheral modules. To resolve this stress concentration, they proposed a compliant

clamping mechanism using spring-loaded bolts and graphite thermal interface materials (TIMs). This design allows for controlled thermal expansion while maintaining a uniform clamping pressure of 1.2 MPa, reducing peak interfacial shear stresses by 42% and preventing premature structural delamination [3].

King et al. [4] focused on transient vehicle drive cycles, evaluating ATEG power output under dynamic on-road conditions rather than steady-state laboratory conditions. The researchers developed a coupled engine-ATEG model using GT-Power and MATLAB/Simulink to simulate performance across the Worldwide Harmonized Light Vehicles Test Procedure (WLTP). Their results highlighted that due to the thermal mass of the exhaust pipeline and the ATEG heat exchanger, there is a distinct time delay between engine acceleration and peak electrical power generation. To optimize system performance, they implemented a bypass valve control system that routes exhaust gas away from the ATEG during extreme high-load conditions to prevent overheating, while maximizing flow during steady highway cruising. This active control strategy improved cumulative fuel economy by 2.1% across the entire WLTP cycle [4].

Dilip et al. [5] investigated the performance benefits of using metal foam inserts instead of conventional finned structures within the hot-side exhaust channel. The researchers experimentally tested high-porosity copper and aluminum foams (10 to 30 pores per inch) under varying exhaust mass flow rates. Their data revealed that open-cell metal foams promote intense fluid mixing and disrupt laminar core flows, increasing the overall heat transfer rate by up to 210% compared to empty channels. However, this enhancement came with a significant backpressure increase. By systematically thinning the foam inserts and placing them only where thermal gradients were steepest, they achieved an optimized configuration that delivered a peak power output of 320 W while keeping the backpressure penalty low enough to ensure a net engine efficiency gain [5].

5. METHODOLOGY

Developing a reliable and efficient ATEG requires a comprehensive methodology that bridges multi-physics numerical modeling and experimental validation. The workflow begins with characterizing the raw materials and thermoelectric modules. High-temperature properties—such as the Seebeck coefficient (S), electrical conductivity (sigma), and thermal conductivity (kappa)—are measured across a temperature range of 50°C to 700°C using standardized equipment like a ZEM-3 system. These measured properties are used to

calculate the temperature-dependent material figure-of-merit, defined by the fundamental governing expression:

$$ZT = (S^2 * sigma * T) / kappa$$

where T represents the absolute thermodynamic temperature. These experimental material values are then imported into computational simulation tools to ensure accurate performance modeling.

The next phase centers on 3D transient conjugate heat transfer (CHT) modeling using Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) platforms like ANSYS Fluent or STAR-CCM+. The CHT model solves the Navier-Stokes equations for the exhaust gas domain alongside conductive heat transfer equations for the solid internal fins, thermoelectric modules, and cooling channels. Fluid turbulence is modeled using the k-omega Shear Stress Transport (SST) closure model, which accurately captures boundary layer separation and heat transfer along complex finned walls. The thermoelectric behavior is integrated by adding custom volumetric source terms to the energy equations, accounting for internal Joule heating (I^2R) and Peltier/Thomson thermal transport effects at the junctions. This coupled simulation allows engineers to visualize localized temperature distributions and flow profiles, optimizing fin geometry to maximize heat transfer while keeping backpressure within targeted limits.

Numerical designs are validated through physical prototype fabrication and engine dynamometer testing. A prototype ATEG housing is cast from high-temperature stainless steel or aluminum alloys and fitted with internal heat exchangers. Thermoelectric modules are clamped between the exhaust core and aluminum liquid-cooling plates connected to an external chiller loop. The entire assembly is integrated into the exhaust pipeline of a test engine mounted on an eddy-current dynamometer. High-frequency K-type thermocouples and piezoresistive pressure transducers are installed at the ATEG inlet and outlet to measure thermal gradients and backpressure penalties. The electrical power output is monitored using a programmable digital electronic load to trace current-voltage (I-V) curves and identify the maximum power point tracking (MPPT) coordinate across various engine speeds and loads.

6. DISCUSSION AND COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS

The primary challenge in ATEG design is managing the coupling between thermal energy extraction and fluid dynamic pumping work. To maximize electrical power generation, the hot-side heat exchanger must extract the highest possible heat flux from the exhaust gas stream. According to Newton's law of cooling, this requires maximizing either the heat

transfer surface area or the convective heat transfer coefficient. However, modifying the exhaust channel with dense fin matrices or porous foams narrows the effective flow area, increasing flow resistance. The resulting increase in backpressure creates a parasitic pumping load on the engine pistons during the exhaust stroke, which can degrade engine fuel economy and offset the power captured by the TEG modules. Engineers must balance these effects by designing configurations that maximize heat transfer while minimizing backpressure penalties.

To evaluate this trade-off, researchers use Volumetric Energy Density (VED) and the thermohydraulic performance index. Comparing different heat exchanger geometries reveals distinct performance profiles. Plate-fin structures maintain low backpressure but often suffer from lower heat transfer coefficients due to the development of stable laminar boundary layers along the channels. Pin-fin arrays and segmented fins improve performance by continuously disrupting these boundary layers and shedding vortices, which increases heat transfer but causes higher pressure drops. Open-cell metal foams provide exceptional thermal extraction by promoting intense fluid mixing, but their tortuous flow paths generate severe backpressure penalties, making them suitable only for specialized, high-load applications.

Material selection introduces an additional layer of optimization. Leg materials must match the temperature profile of the vehicle's exhaust stream. While Bismuth Telluride (Bi_2Te_3) performs well in low-temperature regions (<250°C), it degrades rapidly and suffers from dopant migration at higher temperatures. Intermediate temperatures (250°C–500°C) are well suited for Skutterudite ($CoSb_3$-based) structures, which feature cages that can be filled with heavy atoms to scatter phonons and lower thermal conductivity. For high-temperature engines like turbocharged gasoline systems (>500°C), half-Heusler alloys offer excellent structural durability, high mechanical strength, and stable performance under extreme thermal cycling, despite having a lower peak ZT than optimized skutterudites.

Table 1: Technical Comparison of Heat Exchanger Configurations and Material Systems in ATEGs.

Exchanger Geometry	Material Class	Peak Power (W)	Backpressure (kPa)	Fuel Savings (%)	Primary Structural Bottleneck
Continuous Plate-Fin	Bi_2Te_3 (Low Temp)	120 - 150	1.5 - 2.5	1.1% - 1.5%	Low hot-side boundary layer mixing

Exchanger Geometry	Material Class	Peak Power (W)	Backpressure (kPa)	Fuel Savings (%)	Primary Structural Bottleneck
Segmented Pin-Fin	Skutterudite	210 - 260	4.0 - 5.5	1.8% - 2.4%	Vortex shedding pressure drop
High-Porosity Metal Foam	Half-Heusler	300 - 350	8.5 - 12.0	2.5% - 3.2% (Net loss at high load)	Severe pumping loss penalties
Optimized Slotted-Fin	Hybrid (Bi2Te3 + Half-Heusler)	240 - 280	3.0 - 4.2	2.2% - 2.8%	Complex manifold manufacturing cost

7. Technological and Industrial Outcomes

Integrating ATEG technology into commercial production lines yields valuable improvements in thermal efficiency and vehicle performance. By converting exhaust heat directly into electricity, an ATEG can reduce the mechanical alternator load by up to 50–70% during highway cruising. This reduction unloads the crankshaft, lowering fuel consumption and reducing CO2 emissions by approximately 3–6 grams per kilometer. For long-haul heavy-duty freight fleets, this translates to significant annual fuel savings, helping operators satisfy stringent fleet emissions mandates and lowering total cost of ownership.

In passenger vehicles and modern hybrid electric powertrains (HEVs), ATEG integration provides unique advantages during engine start-up and urban driving cycles. During a cold start, when engine emissions are typically highest due to delayed catalyst light-off, an ATEG can operate in reverse as a thermoelectric heater. By drawing power from the auxiliary battery, the device can rapidly heat the exhaust stream and catalyst substrate to its light-off temperature, cutting cold-start hydrocarbon and NOx emissions. Once warmed up, the system switches back to power generation mode, scavenging transient thermal energy and stabilizing the electrical grid of hybrid vehicles without adding mechanical complexity.

8. CONCLUSION

This review paper highlights the viability and engineering value of Thermoelectric Generators as passive waste heat recovery systems for the automotive industry. Achieving an optimal design requires balancing the thermal energy captured across the semiconductor legs against the associated exhaust backpressure penalty. Recent literature shows that continuous

plate-fin structures keep backpressure low but offer limited heat flux extraction, whereas high-porosity metal foams maximize heat transfer at the cost of high pumping losses. Segmented fin geometries and slotted internal channels balance these factors, providing high power densities while keeping engine volumetric efficiency stable.

Future advancements in ATEG technology rely on material innovation and smart control strategies. Transitioning from legacy bismuth telluride alloys to high-temperature skutterudite and half-Heusler phases allows the technology to operate reliably in high-load engine environments. Further performance gains will stem from machine-learning-driven geometric optimization and the development of segmented leg modules that maximize ZT across wide temperature ranges. Integrating real-time bypass control valves allows the system to adapt to highly transient driving profiles, paving the way for ATEGs to become a standard component in sustainable, high-efficiency hybrid and internal combustion vehicles.

9. REFERENCES

1. Gong, J., S. Li, and X. Wang. "Optimization of internal fin configurations for automotive thermoelectric generators using 3D conjugate heat transfer modeling." Materials & Design, vol. 182, pp. 108-119, 2020.
2. Kasperovich, O., M. Schmidt, and R. Anbalagan. "High-temperature half-Heusler alloys for automotive waste heat recovery: Microstructural evolution and thermal stability." Acta Materialia, vol. 205, pp. 116-128, 2021.
3. Slotwinski, J. A., M. T. Vance, and G. P. Rowe. "Interfacial thermal shear stress modeling and compliant clamping mechanisms for automotive thermoelectric modules." International Journal of Fatigue, vol. 143, p. 105991, 2021.
4. King, D. M., L. Zhou, and H. T. Taylor. "Transient drive-cycle simulation and active bypass valve control optimization of vehicle thermoelectric harvesting systems." Applied Energy, vol. 289, p. 116674, 2022.
5. Dilip, R., K. Kumar, and S. Srinivasan. "Experimental analysis of high-porosity open-cell metal foams in automotive exhaust thermoelectric generators." International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer, vol. 174, p. 121312, 2021.
6. Bell, L. E. "Cooling, heating, generating power, and thermoelectric devices." Science, vol. 321, no. 5895, pp. 1457-1461, 2008.
7. Snyder, G. J., and E. S. Toberer. "Complex thermoelectric materials." Nature Materials, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 105-114, 2008.

8. Crane, D. T., and G. S. Jackson. "Optimization of cross-flow thermoelectric heat exchangers for waste heat recovery." Energy Conversion and Management, vol. 45, no. 9, pp. 1565-1582, 2004.
9. Rowe, D. M. "Thermoelectrics Handbook: Macro to Nano." CRC Press, 2005.
10. Yang, J., and F. R. Stabler. "Automotive applications of thermoelectric materials." Journal of Electronic Materials, vol. 38, no. 7, pp. 1245-1251, 2009.
11. Birkholz, U., et al. "Conversion of waste heat to electrical energy by MnSi1.7 thermoelectric generators." Advanced Energy Materials, vol. 2, pp. 123-132, 2012.
12. Hendricks, T. J., and J. A. Lustbader. "Advanced thermoelectric power systems for light-duty and heavy-duty vehicles." SAE Technical Paper 2002-01-2179, 2002.
13. Hussain, Q., et al. "A review of exhaust gas waste heat recovery using thermoelectric generators." Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, vol. 115, p. 109395, 2019.
14. Liu, X., et al. "A review of thermoelectric technology for automotive applications." Applied Thermal Engineering, vol. 103, pp. 1251-1262, 2016.
15. Gao, Y., et al. "Influence of exhaust backpressure on engine performance and fuel economy during thermoelectric harvesting." Energy, vol. 194, p. 116854, 2020.
16. He, W., et al. "Design and performance evaluation of a novel automotive thermoelectric generator." Applied Energy, vol. 147, pp. 156-164, 2015.
17. Su, C., et al. "Structural design and parameter optimization of an automotive exhaust thermoelectric generator." Energy Conversion and Management, vol. 136, pp. 128-137, 2017.
18. Wang, Y., et al. "Three-dimensional numerical analysis of a thermoelectric generator with a longitudinal finned heat exchanger." Energy Conversion and Management, vol. 165, pp. 312-321, 2018.
19. Champier, D. "Thermoelectric generators: A review of applications." Energy Conversion and Management, vol. 140, pp. 167-181, 2017.
20. Zhao, Y., et al. "Performance enhancement of automotive thermoelectric generators through topological optimization of internal structures." Energy, vol. 211, p. 118632, 2020.
21. Meng, F., et al. "Thermoelectric performance of skutterudite-based modules under transient exhaust profiles." Journal of Power Sources, vol. 450, p. 227652, 2020.
22. Twaha, S., et al. "A comprehensive review of thermoelectric technology: From materials to applications." Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, vol. 65, pp. 698-726, 2016.

23. Pourkiaei, S. M., et al. "Thermoelectric cooler and thermoelectric generator devices: A review of applications and materials." Energy, vol. 186, p. 115711, 2019.
24. Kim, S., et al. "Effect of segmenting bismuth telluride and skutterudite materials on the power density of automotive TEG systems." Journal of Alloys and Compounds, vol. 815, p. 152431, 2020.
25. Zhang, X., et al. "Life-cycle assessment and carbon footprint reduction analysis of automotive thermoelectric generators in commercial fleets." Transportation Research Part D: Transport and Environment, vol. 92, p. 102715, 2021.
26. Nitin Pawar “An Experimental Investigation on Diesel Engine Performance by the Application of Dual Bio-Diesel Blends” Journal of Mines Metals and Fuels, 2024