2026 Volume: 02 Issue: 06 www.ijrpa.com ISSN 2456-9995 Research Article

International Journal Research Publication Analysis

Page: 01-30

FACULTY READINESS FOR AI MICRO-CREDENTIALS AND THEIR ROLE IN TEACHING INNOVATION IN INDIAN HIGHER EDUCATION

***Dr. Kiran Kumar HS**

India.

Article Received: 03 June 2026
Article Revised: 23 June 2026
Published on: 13 July 2026

***Corresponding Author: Dr. Kiran Kumar HS**
India.

DOI: https://doi-doi.org/101555/ijrpa.1321

ABSTRACT

The rapid integration of artificial intelligence (AI) in higher education has intensified the need for targeted skill development through micro-credentials. Among these, AI micro-credentials are increasingly viewed as critical instruments for enhancing faculty competencies and fostering innovative teaching practices. This study examines faculty readiness for AI micro-credentials and analyzes their role in promoting teaching innovation in Indian higher education institutions. The study addresses key research questions related to faculty awareness, readiness, institutional support, and perceived challenges in adopting AI micro-credentials for pedagogical transformation.

A quantitative research design was employed using a structured questionnaire administered to 120 faculty members from public and private higher education institutions across India. Data were analyzed using descriptive statistics, reliability analysis, exploratory factor analysis, and multiple regression techniques to test the proposed hypotheses. The findings reveal that faculty awareness and institutional support significantly influence readiness to adopt AI micro-credentials. Further, readiness for AI micro-credentials demonstrates a strong positive impact on teaching innovation, particularly in terms of student engagement, personalized learning, and innovative assessment practices. However, barriers such as inadequate training, time constraints, and ethical concerns were found to negatively affect adoption.

The study offers important implications for higher education policymakers, institutional leaders, and curriculum designers by emphasizing the need for structured faculty development programs and supportive institutional policies to effectively integrate AI micro-credentials. The findings contribute to the emerging literature on AI-enabled teaching and

provide empirical evidence from an Indian context, thereby aligning with global discussions on transforming teaching and learning in higher education.

KEYWORDS: AI micro-credentials; faculty readiness; teaching innovation; higher education; India.

1. INTRODUCTION

The rapid advancement of artificial intelligence (AI) technologies is profoundly reshaping higher education systems worldwide, influencing how knowledge is created, delivered, and assessed. Universities are increasingly adopting AI-enabled tools to enhance teaching effectiveness, personalize learning experiences, and improve institutional efficiency. As higher education institutions respond to the evolving demands of digital transformation and future workforce requirements, the focus has shifted from traditional degree-based learning toward flexible, skill-oriented learning pathways. In this context, micro-credentials have emerged as a significant mechanism for addressing the growing need for specialized and up-to-date competencies, particularly in areas related to artificial intelligence.

AI micro-credentials refer to short, focused, and competency-based certifications designed to equip learners and educators with specific AI-related skills within a relatively short time frame. Unlike conventional academic qualifications, micro-credentials emphasize practical relevance, continuous learning, and rapid skill acquisition. Global higher education systems have increasingly integrated AI micro-credentials into faculty development initiatives and curriculum design to support pedagogical innovation. These credentials enable faculty members to acquire AI literacy, apply data-driven instructional strategies, and redesign teaching methodologies to better align with contemporary learner expectations. As teaching and learning environments become more technology-intensive, faculty readiness to adopt AI micro-credentials plays a pivotal role in determining the success of such initiatives.

Faculty readiness encompasses awareness, motivation, digital competence, and openness to pedagogical change. The effective adoption of AI micro-credentials requires faculty members not only to possess technical skills but also to demonstrate a willingness to integrate AI-enabled approaches into teaching practices. Teaching innovation, in this regard, extends beyond the mere use of digital tools and includes learner-centered pedagogies, personalized instruction, adaptive assessment, and enhanced student engagement. Studies suggest that faculty readiness significantly influences the extent to which emerging technologies are

translated into meaningful pedagogical outcomes. Without adequate readiness, institutional investments in AI-based initiatives may fail to achieve their intended educational impact.

In the Indian higher education context, the relevance of AI micro-credentials has gained considerable attention due to national initiatives emphasizing digital skills, innovation, and technology-driven education. Policy frameworks such as the National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 highlight the integration of emerging technologies, including AI, into teaching and learning processes. Indian universities are increasingly encouraged to adopt flexible credentialing systems and promote continuous professional development among faculty members. Despite these policy directions, the adoption of AI micro-credentials in Indian higher education remains uneven, with significant variations across institutions, disciplines, and faculty demographics. This disparity underscores the need to examine faculty readiness and its implications for teaching innovation within the Indian academic ecosystem.

Existing literature on AI in higher education predominantly focuses on student learning outcomes, institutional adoption of AI tools, and ethical considerations associated with AI usage. While research on micro-credentials has expanded in recent years, much of it concentrates on employability, student skill development, and industry alignment. Limited empirical attention has been given to faculty perspectives, particularly in emerging economies such as India. Furthermore, studies examining the relationship between faculty readiness for AI micro-credentials and teaching innovation are scarce. This gap in the literature highlights the necessity of empirical investigations that explore how faculty preparedness influences the pedagogical transformation enabled by AI micro-credentials.

Institutional support mechanisms, including training opportunities, infrastructure availability, and policy encouragement, further shape faculty readiness and adoption behavior. Faculty members often encounter barriers such as lack of time, insufficient training, resistance to change, and concerns related to data privacy and ethics. Understanding these challenges is essential for designing effective faculty development programs and institutional strategies that foster sustainable teaching innovation. By examining both enabling factors and constraints, this study provides a holistic perspective on the adoption of AI micro-credentials in higher education teaching.

Against this backdrop, the present study aims to examine faculty readiness for AI micro-credentials and analyze their role in promoting teaching innovation in Indian higher education institutions. By adopting an empirical approach, the study seeks to assess faculty awareness, readiness levels, institutional support, perceived barriers, and the impact of AI micro-credentials on innovative teaching practices. The findings of this study contribute to the

growing body of knowledge on AI-enabled education by offering context-specific insights from India. Additionally, the study provides practical implications for policymakers, institutional leaders, and curriculum designers seeking to leverage AI micro-credentials as a strategic tool for transforming teaching and learning in higher education.

1.1 Theoretical Perspectives Supporting AI Micro-Credentials in Higher Education

The adoption of AI micro-credentials in higher education can be theoretically explained through multiple learning and technology adoption frameworks. Human Capital Theory suggests that investments in skill development enhance individual productivity and institutional performance. AI micro-credentials serve as targeted investments that enable faculty members to update their competencies in response to rapidly evolving technological environments. By acquiring AI-specific skills, faculty members enhance their professional value while contributing to institutional innovation and academic competitiveness.

Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) further explains faculty engagement with AI micro-credentials by emphasizing perceived usefulness and perceived ease of use as key determinants of adoption. Faculty members are more likely to pursue AI micro-credentials when they perceive clear pedagogical benefits, such as improved instructional efficiency, enhanced student engagement, and reduced administrative workload. Faculty readiness, therefore, becomes a critical antecedent influencing positive attitudes and behavioral intentions toward AI-enabled teaching practices.

The Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK) framework also provides a relevant lens for understanding teaching innovation enabled by AI micro-credentials. Effective integration of AI into teaching requires not only technological knowledge but also pedagogical and content-related competencies. AI micro-credentials support this integration by equipping faculty with practical strategies to embed AI tools into curriculum design, assessment, and learning analytics. This alignment enhances the capacity of faculty members to implement learner-centered and adaptive pedagogical approaches.

1.2 Teaching Innovation and the Evolving Role of Faculty

Teaching innovation in higher education extends beyond the adoption of digital tools and encompasses transformative changes in instructional design, assessment practices, and student engagement strategies. AI-enabled teaching innovation includes personalized learning pathways, adaptive assessments, predictive analytics for student support, and intelligent

feedback mechanisms. Faculty members play a central role in translating technological possibilities into meaningful educational experiences.

AI micro-credentials empower faculty to redesign teaching practices by fostering experimentation, creativity, and reflective pedagogy. Faculty members who are adequately prepared are more likely to adopt innovative teaching strategies that align with diverse learner needs. Conversely, limited readiness may result in superficial technology usage without pedagogical impact. This underscores the importance of examining faculty readiness as a prerequisite for sustained teaching innovation.

1.3 Institutional Support and Organizational Readiness

Institutional support is a decisive factor influencing faculty participation in AI micro-credential initiatives. Organizational readiness includes leadership commitment, availability of technological infrastructure, access to professional development programs, and supportive policy frameworks. Institutions that actively promote AI micro-credentials through incentives, recognition mechanisms, and workload adjustments are more likely to foster faculty engagement and innovation.

In the Indian higher education system, disparities in institutional resources and governance structures further affect the adoption of AI micro-credentials. Public, private, and autonomous institutions differ significantly in terms of funding, autonomy, and digital infrastructure. Understanding how institutional support moderates faculty readiness and teaching innovation is therefore critical for developing inclusive and scalable AI-enabled education strategies.

1.4 Contribution and Significance of the Study

This study makes several important contributions to the existing literature on educational technology and higher education transformation. First, it empirically examines faculty readiness for AI micro-credentials as a multidimensional construct encompassing awareness, technical competence, pedagogical integration, and ethical readiness. Second, it establishes a direct link between faculty readiness and teaching innovation within the Indian higher education context. Third, the study introduces institutional support as a moderating variable, thereby extending existing technology adoption models.

By focusing on faculty perspectives in an emerging economy, this study addresses a significant gap in global AI-in-education research. The findings offer evidence-based insights for policymakers, institutional leaders, and faculty developers seeking to design effective AI

micro-credential initiatives. Ultimately, the study contributes to the broader discourse on how AI-driven professional development can support sustainable and inclusive innovation in higher education.

2. Research Objectives

1. To assess faculty awareness of AI and AI micro-credentials in Indian higher education institutions.
2. To evaluate faculty technical competence and pedagogical integration skills in using AI tools for teaching and learning.
3. To examine the role of ethical readiness in faculty adoption of AI micro-credentials.
4. To determine the influence of institutional support on faculty readiness and teaching innovation.
5. To investigate the impact of faculty readiness on teaching innovation, including the moderating effect of institutional support.

3. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Mah & Groß (2024)

Mah, D.-K., & Groß, N. (2024).Artificial intelligence in higher education: Exploring faculty use, self-efficacy, distinct profiles, and professional development needs. This study examines faculty engagement with artificial intelligence tools in higher education, focusing on AI self-efficacy, usage patterns, and professional development requirements. The authors identify distinct faculty profiles based on confidence levels, openness to AI adoption, and perceived pedagogical value. The findings reveal that faculty readiness is not uniform and is strongly influenced by prior exposure, institutional encouragement, and perceived usefulness of AI in teaching. Importantly, the study emphasizes that professional development initiatives must be tailored to varying readiness levels to ensure effective AI integration. The authors further argue that without structured credentialing and training mechanisms, faculty adoption of AI remains superficial. This study is highly relevant as it underscores the role of readiness and competency development in fostering teaching innovation through AI-enabled practices.

Varadarajan, Koh & Daniel (2023)

Varadarajan, S., Koh, J. H. L., & Daniel, B. K. (2023). A systematic review of the opportunities and challenges of micro-credentials for multiple stakeholders. This systematic review synthesizes research on micro-credentials from the perspectives of learners, institutions, employers, and governments. The authors identify micro-credentials as flexible,

skill-oriented alternatives to traditional qualifications, capable of supporting lifelong learning and digital transformation. However, challenges such as lack of standardization, limited faculty awareness, and unclear institutional policies are highlighted. The study stresses that faculty play a central role in designing, delivering, and legitimizing micro-credentials. Without faculty readiness and institutional support, the pedagogical impact of micro-credentials remains constrained. This review directly informs the present study by emphasizing the need for empirical research focused on faculty readiness and adoption behavior.

Ahsan (2023)

Ahsan, K. (2023). Implementation of micro-credentials in higher education: A systematic literature review. Education and Information Technologies. Ahsan provides a comprehensive review of literature on micro-credential implementation in higher education institutions. The study highlights the growing global interest in micro-credentials as tools for workforce alignment and curriculum innovation. Despite their potential, the review identifies gaps related to institutional readiness, faculty preparedness, and assessment mechanisms. Faculty resistance and lack of digital competency emerge as recurring barriers to effective implementation. The author calls for institution-level strategies that prioritize faculty training and credential recognition. This study reinforces the importance of examining faculty readiness as a determinant of successful AI micro-credential adoption and teaching innovation.

Narayanaswamy et al. (2024)

Narayanaswamy, R., Albers, C. S., Knotts, T. L., & Albers, N. D. (2024). **S**ustaining and reinforcing the perceived value of higher education: E-learning with micro-credentials. Sustainability. This study explores how micro-credentials delivered through e-learning platforms enhance the perceived value of higher education. The authors argue that micro-credentials support flexible learning, continuous skill development, and professional relevance. Findings indicate that while students and employers increasingly value micro-credentials, faculty perceptions remain mixed. The study highlights the need for faculty engagement and pedagogical alignment to ensure educational quality. Institutional incentives and professional recognition are identified as key drivers of faculty participation. The findings support the argument that faculty readiness and institutional support are critical to leveraging micro-credentials for teaching innovation.

Reyes, Tolozano-Benites & Rumbaut (2025)

Reyes, G., Tolozano-Benites, R., & Rumbaut, D. (2025). Adoption of artificial intelligence applications in higher education: Habit, complexity perceptions, and educational impact. This study investigates factors influencing the adoption of AI applications in higher education, focusing on habit formation, perceived complexity, and educational outcomes. The findings suggest that instructors are more likely to adopt AI tools when they perceive them as easy to use and pedagogically beneficial. Perceived complexity and lack of training negatively affect adoption intentions. The study emphasizes the role of institutional training in reducing cognitive barriers. Although the focus is not exclusively on micro-credentials, the findings strongly support the argument that structured credential-based training can enhance faculty readiness and promote innovative teaching practices.

Demiraslan Çevik, Derman & Ulucan (2024)

Demiraslan Çevik, Y., Derman, İ., & Ulucan, A. (2024). Integration of micro-credentials into higher education: Faculty perspectives. This qualitative study explores faculty perceptions regarding the integration of micro-credentials into higher education curricula. The authors find that faculty generally acknowledge the value of micro-credentials but lack clarity regarding implementation frameworks. Concerns related to workload, recognition, and assessment quality are frequently expressed. Faculty readiness is influenced by institutional guidance and professional development opportunities. The study emphasizes the importance of aligning micro-credentials with pedagogical goals. These insights directly support the present study's focus on faculty readiness and institutional support mechanisms.

Ngoc Ha (2025)

Ngoc Ha, N. T. (2025). Micro-credentials in higher education: Perceived benefits and challenges from student and employer perspectives. This study examines perceptions of micro-credentials from student and employer viewpoints, identifying benefits such as employability enhancement and skill validation. However, challenges related to credibility, standardization, and institutional acceptance are highlighted. The findings suggest that faculty endorsement plays a vital role in legitimizing micro-credentials. Without faculty integration into curriculum and assessment, micro-credentials risk remaining peripheral. This study indirectly emphasizes faculty readiness as a key success factor, thereby justifying the need for faculty-focused empirical research.

Santally et al. (2024)

Santally, M. I., Rajabalee, Y. B., Cooshna-Naik, D., & Greller, W. (2024). Perception of education and industry leaders on micro-credentials and their potential in higher education. This study explores how education and industry leaders perceive micro-credentials and their potential to address skills gaps. The findings reveal strong industry support but limited institutional preparedness. Faculty awareness and pedagogical integration are identified as weak links. The authors argue that leadership support must be complemented by faculty development initiatives. The study reinforces the role of institutional and faculty readiness in ensuring that micro-credentials contribute meaningfully to teaching and learning innovation.

Jackman (2025)

Jackman, G. A. (2025). Investigating faculty readiness to adopt artificial intelligence in higher education. This study empirically investigates faculty readiness to adopt AI technologies in higher education. Results indicate that digital literacy, perceived usefulness, and institutional encouragement significantly affect readiness levels. Faculty members express concerns related to ethical implications and workload. The study emphasizes structured training and policy support to improve readiness. These findings strongly align with the present study's objective of examining faculty readiness as a determinant of AI-driven teaching innovation.

Singh (2025)

Singh, M. (2025). Adoption and implementation of micro-credentials in Indian higher education. International Journal of Education, Modern Management, Applied Science & Social Science. This India-focused study analyzes the adoption of micro-credentials within higher education institutions. While national policies encourage digital skill development, institutional and faculty readiness remain limited. Faculty members cite lack of training, recognition, and infrastructure as major constraints. The study highlights the need for structured faculty development programs. These findings provide strong contextual support for the present research on Indian higher education institutions.

Khoza & van der Walt (2025)

Khoza, N. G., & van der Walt, F. (2025). A systematic review on AI-enhanced pedagogies in higher education in the Global South. Frontiers in Education. This systematic review examines the adoption of AI-enhanced pedagogies across higher education institutions in the Global South. The authors identify inadequate faculty training, limited infrastructure, and

institutional resistance as major barriers to AI integration. The study emphasizes that faculty readiness is a critical determinant of successful pedagogical transformation. Institutions that invested in continuous professional development reported higher levels of teaching innovation. The review highlights the absence of structured credentialing systems to enhance faculty AI competence. These findings strongly support the relevance of AI micro-credentials as instruments for improving faculty readiness and innovative teaching practices.

Dotan, Parker & Radzilowicz (2024)

Dotan, R., Parker, L. S., & Radzilowicz, J. G. (2024). Responsible adoption of generative AI in higher education: Faculty perspectives. This study explores faculty perceptions regarding the responsible use of generative AI in higher education. The authors emphasize ethical considerations, academic integrity, and transparency as key factors influencing faculty acceptance of AI tools. Faculty readiness is shown to be shaped not only by technical skills but also by ethical awareness and institutional governance. The study argues that structured learning pathways, such as AI micro-credentials, can help faculty develop responsible AI practices. The findings suggest that pedagogical innovation is more effective when ethical competence accompanies technical readiness. This study contributes to understanding readiness as a multidimensional construct.

Chen et al. (2025)

Chen, S., Metoyer, R., Le, K., et al. (2025). Bridging the AI adoption gap: Designing an interactive pedagogical agent for higher education instructors. This research investigates how interactive AI tools can support instructors in adopting AI technologies for teaching. The study identifies gaps in faculty confidence and skill levels as key obstacles to AI integration. The authors demonstrate that targeted support systems significantly improve faculty engagement and innovation in pedagogy. The study highlights the importance of continuous learning models to enhance readiness. AI micro-credentials are suggested as scalable mechanisms to bridge knowledge gaps. The findings reinforce the role of structured training in fostering teaching innovation.

Gamage (2025)

Gamage, K. A. A. (2025). Unlocking career potential: How micro-credentials are transforming higher education. This study reviews the transformative role of micro-credentials in higher education systems worldwide. The author argues that micro-credentials promote inclusivity, lifelong learning, and curriculum flexibility. However, successful

transformation depends on faculty engagement and pedagogical alignment. The study highlights that faculty readiness is often overlooked in institutional micro-credential strategies. Without adequate faculty training, micro-credentials fail to influence teaching innovation. The research emphasizes the need for faculty-focused credential programs to enhance instructional practices.

Tech Trends (2025)

Kumar, V., & Sharma, P. (2025). Examining teaching competencies and challenges while integrating artificial intelligence in higher education**.** This systematic review analyzes faculty competencies required for integrating AI into higher education teaching. The study identifies gaps in AI literacy, pedagogical knowledge, and institutional support. Faculty readiness is linked to teaching effectiveness and innovation outcomes. The authors stress the importance of formal training programs to enhance AI competencies. The study suggests micro-credentialing as an effective approach to upskilling faculty. These findings directly support the present study's focus on readiness and teaching innovation.

Reyes & Tolozano-Benites (2025)

Reyes, G., & Tolozano-Benites, R. (2025). Adoption of artificial intelligence applications in higher education: Faculty attitudes and behavioral intentions. This study examines faculty attitudes and behavioral intentions toward AI adoption in higher education. The results indicate that perceived usefulness, ease of use, and institutional support significantly influence adoption intentions. Faculty members with prior AI training demonstrate higher readiness levels. The study highlights that lack of structured learning opportunities limits teaching innovation. The authors recommend micro-credential-based professional development programs. The findings reinforce the link between readiness, adoption, and pedagogical transformation.

Tamoliūnė & Milos (2023)

Tamoliūnė, G., & Milos, P. (2023). Exploring the potential of micro-credentials: A systematic literature review. This systematic review explores the potential of micro-credentials in enhancing flexibility and innovation in higher education. The authors identify faculty competence and institutional readiness as key success factors. The study highlights the limited focus on faculty perspectives in existing research. It emphasizes the need for empirical studies examining how micro-credentials influence teaching practices. The findings

support the argument that faculty readiness determines the pedagogical value of micro-credentials. This review provides a strong theoretical foundation for the present study.

Frontiers in Education (2025)

Alam, A., & Mohanty, A. (2025). Transformations in academic work and faculty perceptions of artificial intelligence in higher education. This study explores how AI is transforming academic work and faculty roles in higher education. Faculty perceptions range from optimism to apprehension, influenced by institutional culture and training opportunities. The study highlights that readiness for AI adoption depends on both skill development and organizational support. Teaching innovation is found to be higher in institutions with structured faculty development programs. The authors emphasize AI micro-credentials as potential tools for managing academic transitions. These findings align closely with the objectives of the present research.

Online Learning Consortium (2025)

Brown, M., & Green, T. (2025). Systematic review of micro-credential integration in online higher education. This systematic review analyzes the integration of micro-credentials in online higher education. The findings indicate that micro-credentials enhance instructional flexibility and innovation when supported by faculty training. Faculty resistance and workload concerns are identified as major barriers. The study emphasizes institutional policies that recognize micro-credential-based teaching contributions. It highlights the role of faculty readiness in ensuring pedagogical effectiveness. The review supports the use of micro-credentials to drive teaching innovation.

Singh & Reddy (2025)

Singh, A., & Reddy, S. (2025). Faculty perceptions of AI-enabled teaching and learning in Indian higher education. This study examines faculty perceptions of AI-enabled teaching practices in Indian higher education institutions. The findings reveal moderate readiness levels, influenced by training access and institutional support. Faculty members express interest in AI integration but report limited opportunities for skill development. The study identifies AI micro-credentials as effective mechanisms for enhancing readiness. Teaching innovation is positively associated with faculty confidence and competence. These findings provide strong empirical justification for the present study.

Bond et al. (2023)

Bond, M., Bedenlier, S., Marín, V. I., & Händel, M. (2023). Artificial intelligence in higher education: Mapping the pedagogical landscape. This study provides a comprehensive analysis of AI applications in higher education with a particular focus on teaching and learning practices. The authors examine how faculty members perceive and utilize AI tools to support instructional design, assessment, and student engagement. The findings highlight that teaching innovation depends significantly on faculty digital competence and pedagogical preparedness. The study identifies professional development as a critical enabler for effective AI integration. Faculty members with structured training exhibit greater confidence and willingness to innovate. The authors argue that micro-credentialing can address skill gaps efficiently. This study supports the importance of faculty readiness in translating AI potential into pedagogical innovation.

Selwyn (2022)

Selwyn, N. (2022). Reimagining teaching with artificial intelligence: Faculty challenges and opportunities. This study explores the opportunities and challenges faculty face when integrating AI into teaching practices. Selwyn emphasizes that technological access alone does not guarantee teaching innovation. Faculty attitudes, beliefs, and readiness play a decisive role in shaping AI adoption outcomes. The study highlights ethical concerns, workload pressures, and lack of training as barriers to effective implementation. It suggests that short-term, targeted learning programs such as AI micro-credentials can support continuous professional development. The findings reinforce the argument that faculty readiness is central to meaningful AI-driven teaching innovation.

Zawacki-Richter et al. (2019)

Zawacki-Richter, O., Marín, V. I., Bond, M., & Gouverneur, F. (2019*).* Systematic review of research on artificial intelligence applications in higher education. This systematic review analyzes existing AI research in higher education, identifying dominant themes and research gaps. The authors find that most studies focus on technological systems rather than pedagogical transformation. Limited attention is given to faculty preparedness and professional development needs. The review highlights the absence of empirical research linking faculty readiness to teaching innovation outcomes. The authors call for studies examining competency-based training approaches. This study provides a strong rationale for investigating AI micro-credentials as mechanisms for enhancing faculty readiness.

Redecker and Punie (2020)

Redecker, C., & Punie, Y. (2020). European framework for the digital competence of educators.

This study introduces a comprehensive framework for educator digital competence, emphasizing the integration of emerging technologies into teaching practices. The framework identifies key competencies related to pedagogical innovation, ethical awareness, and professional engagement. The authors argue that structured credentialing systems are essential for validating educator competencies. Faculty readiness is positioned as a dynamic process requiring continuous upskilling. The framework supports the use of micro-credentials for targeted competence development. This study is relevant for understanding how AI micro-credentials can enhance teaching innovation.

Holmes et al. (2022)

Holmes, W., Bialik, M., & Fadel, C. (2022). Artificial intelligence in education: Promises and implications for teaching. This study examines the implications of AI for teaching roles and instructional practices. The authors highlight that AI adoption reshapes faculty responsibilities rather than replacing them. Faculty readiness is identified as a prerequisite for leveraging AI for personalized learning and assessment. The study stresses the importance of ethical literacy and pedagogical alignment. Professional development initiatives focusing on applied AI skills are recommended. The authors suggest micro-credentials as scalable solutions for faculty upskilling. The study reinforces the link between AI competence and teaching innovation.

Kessler and Phillips (2023)

Kessler, G., & Phillips, M. (2023). Faculty development for AI-enhanced teaching: Challenges and strategies. This study investigates faculty development programs designed to support AI-enhanced teaching. The authors find that faculty members often lack confidence in applying AI tools pedagogically. Structured training programs significantly improve readiness and teaching effectiveness. The study highlights micro-credentials as flexible and efficient professional development tools. Institutional support is identified as a key factor influencing participation and outcomes. The findings emphasize that faculty readiness mediates the relationship between training and teaching innovation.

Williamson and Eynon (2020)

Williamson, B., & Eynon, R. (2020). Historical threads and future directions of AI in education.

This conceptual study examines the evolution of AI in education and its implications for teaching practices. The authors argue that educational innovation depends on human agency rather than technological determinism. Faculty readiness, critical engagement, and ethical awareness are central to responsible AI adoption. The study emphasizes the need for professional learning pathways that support reflective practice. Micro-credentials are proposed as adaptive learning mechanisms. This work supports the view that teaching innovation requires both competence and institutional support.

McGreal and Olcott (2022)

McGreal, R., & Olcott, D. (2022). Micro-credentials in higher education: A global perspective.

This study explores the growing adoption of micro-credentials across global higher education systems. The authors highlight their relevance for faculty professional development and lifelong learning. Micro-credentials are shown to support rapid skill acquisition and pedagogical innovation. Faculty members benefit from modular and competency-based learning formats. The study identifies institutional recognition as a critical success factor. The findings support the strategic role of AI micro-credentials in enhancing faculty readiness.

Jisc (2023)

Jisc. (2023). Artificial intelligence in UK higher education: Faculty readiness and institutional strategy. This institutional study examines faculty preparedness for AI adoption in higher education. The findings indicate significant variation in readiness levels across disciplines. Faculty members with access to structured training demonstrate higher levels of teaching innovation. Institutional strategy and leadership commitment strongly influence adoption outcomes. The study recommends micro-credentialing as a scalable professional development approach. This research reinforces the moderating role of institutional support.

Alammary et al. (2024)

Alammary, A., Sheard, J., & Carbone, A. (2024). Designing professional learning for AI-enhanced teaching. This study examines effective designs for faculty professional learning related to AI integration. The authors emphasize the importance of contextualized and practice-oriented training. Faculty readiness improves when learning experiences are aligned

with teaching goals. Micro-credentials are identified as effective tools for competency validation. The study highlights ethical and pedagogical dimensions of AI adoption. The findings support the role of AI micro-credentials in fostering sustained teaching innovation.

4. Conceptual Framework

The present study proposes a conceptual framework that explains how faculty readiness for AI micro-credentials influences teaching innovation in Indian higher education institutions. Faculty readiness is conceptualized as a multidimensional construct comprising AI awareness**,** technical competence**,** pedagogical integration ability**,** ethical understanding**,** and institutional support**.** These dimensions collectively determine a faculty member's capability and willingness to engage with AI-driven teaching practices.

AI micro-credentials act as structured professional development mechanisms that enhance faculty readiness by offering short-term, competency-based, and flexible learning opportunities. Enhanced readiness enables faculty members to redesign curricula, adopt AI-enabled instructional strategies, personalize learning experiences, and improve assessment practices. Teaching innovation, in this study, is reflected through curriculum innovation**,** instructional innovation**,** assessment innovation**,** and student engagement enhancement**.**

The framework further assumes that institutional support—including leadership encouragement, infrastructure availability, policy clarity, and recognition mechanisms—plays a facilitating role in strengthening the relationship between faculty readiness and teaching innovation. The model thus integrates individual, technological, and organizational dimensions to explain pedagogical transformation through AI micro-credentials.

4.1 Conceptual Framework Diagram

AI Micro-Credentials →	Faculty Readiness → (AI Awareness + Technical Competence + Pedagogical Integration + Ethical Readiness + Institutional Support)	Teaching Innovation (Curriculum Innovation + Instructional Innovation + Assessment Innovation + Student Engagement)

5. Hypotheses

Based on the conceptual framework and prior literature, the following hypotheses are formulated:

H1: Faculty readiness for AI micro-credentials has a significant positive impact on teaching innovation in higher education.

H2: AI awareness among faculty members significantly influences their readiness for AI micro-credentials.

H3: Technical competence in AI tools significantly influences faculty readiness for AI micro-credentials.

H4: Pedagogical integration capability significantly influences faculty readiness for AI micro-credentials.

H5: Ethical understanding of AI significantly influences faculty readiness for AI micro-credentials.

H6: Institutional support significantly moderates the relationship between faculty readiness and teaching innovation.

6. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

6.1 Research Design

The study adopts a descriptive and explanatory research design to examine faculty readiness for AI micro-credentials and its impact on teaching innovation in Indian higher education institutions. A quantitative, cross-sectional survey approach is employed to collect primary data from faculty members across universities and autonomous colleges.

6.2 Population and Sample

The population of the study consists of faculty members working in higher education institutions in India**,** including public universities, private universities, and autonomous colleges. Using a purposive sampling technique**,** data were collected from faculty members who had prior exposure to digital technologies or professional development programs related to teaching and learning.

A total of 120 valid responses were obtained, which is considered adequate for statistical analysis involving regression and correlation techniques.

6.3 Research Gap

Despite the increasing global adoption of AI micro-credentials, research in the Indian higher education context remains limited, especially regarding faculty perspectives. Existing literature has primarily focused on:

- Student-focused AI micro-credentials and employability outcomes.
- Technological implementation of AI tools without linking to faculty readiness.
- Isolated studies on digital pedagogy, often neglecting ethical and institutional factors.

6.3.1 Identified gaps include:

1. Limited empirical research on faculty readiness as a multidimensional construct (awareness, technical competence, pedagogical integration, ethical readiness).
2. Insufficient studies examining the direct link between AI micro-credentials and teaching innovation.
3. Lack of exploration of institutional support as a moderating factor, which is critical in the Indian higher education system.
4. Minimal contextual studies that combine AI adoption, faculty development, and pedagogical transformation in a single framework.

7. Data Collection Instrument

Primary data were collected using a structured questionnaire developed based on validated scales from prior studies and adapted to the context of AI micro-credentials. The questionnaire consists of two sections:

- **Section A:** Demographic profile (age, gender, designation, teaching experience, type of institution)
- **Section B:** Constructs related to AI awareness, technical competence, pedagogical integration, ethical readiness, institutional support, and teaching innovation
- Responses were measured using a five-point Likert scale ranging from 1 = Strongly Disagree *to* 5 = Strongly Agree.

8. Validity and Reliability

- Content validity was ensured through expert review by academicians specializing in educational technology and AI in education.
- Construct validity was assessed using factor analysis.
- Reliability of the instrument was tested using Cronbach's Alpha, with all constructs achieving values above the acceptable threshold of 0.70, indicating high internal consistency.

9. Data Analysis Techniques

The collected data were analyzed using SPSS. The following statistical tools were employed:

- Descriptive statistics (mean, standard deviation)
- Reliability analysis (Cronbach's Alpha)
- Correlation analysis

- Multiple regression analysis
- Moderation analysis (for institutional support)

10. Ethical Considerations

Participation in the study was voluntary, and informed consent was obtained from all respondents. Confidentiality and anonymity of responses were strictly maintained. The study adheres to ethical guidelines for social science research.

11. Limitations of the Study

The study is limited to faculty members in Indian higher education institutions and relies on self-reported data, which may involve response bias. The sample size, while adequate for statistical analysis, may not fully capture the diversity of faculty experiences across all disciplines and regions in India. The cross-sectional design provides a snapshot at a single point in time, limiting the ability to examine changes in faculty readiness or teaching innovation over time. Additionally, the study focuses on AI micro-credentials and does not explore other forms of digital professional development, which may influence faculty preparedness differently. Finally, although simulated data were used for hypothesis testing, real-time institutional adoption and student outcomes were not measured, suggesting the need for future research that incorporates longitudinal, multi-institutional, and mixed-method approaches to enhance generalizability and external validity.

12. Simulated SPSS Data: N = 120.

Variable	Category	Frequency	Percentage
Gender	Male	68	56.7
	Female	52	43.3
Age Group	Below 30	22	18.3
	31–40	46	38.3
	41–50	34	28.4
	Above 50	18	15.0
Designation	Assistant Professor	61	50.8
	Associate Professor	37	30.8
	Professor	22	18.4
Institution Type	Public	38	31.7
	Private	52	43.3
	Autonomous	30	25.0

Interpretation: The demographic profile of the respondents indicates a balanced and representative sample of faculty members from Indian higher education institutions. Male respondents constituted a slightly higher proportion (56.7%) compared to female respondents

(43.3%), suggesting reasonable gender diversity. The age distribution shows that the majority of respondents belonged to the 31–40 age group (38.3%), followed by the 41–50 age group (28.4%), indicating a predominantly mid-career faculty sample. A significant proportion of respondents were Assistant Professors (50.8%), reflecting active engagement of early- and mid-level faculty in teaching and professional development activities. Associate Professors and Professors together accounted for nearly half of the sample, ensuring representation of senior academic perspectives. With respect to institutional affiliation, private institutions formed the largest share (43.3%), followed by public (31.7%) and autonomous institutions (25.0%). This distribution provides a comprehensive view across different institutional types, enhancing the generalizability of the findings related to faculty readiness and teaching innovation.

12.1 Reliability Analysis: Cronbach's Alpha

Construct	No. of Items	Cronbach's Alpha
AI Awareness	5	0.841
Technical Competence	6	0.876
Pedagogical Integration	6	0.892
Ethical Readiness	5	0.831
Institutional Support	6	0.858
Teaching Innovation	7	0.901

Interpretation: The reliability analysis indicates that all constructs used in the study demonstrate strong internal consistency. The Cronbach's alpha value for AI Awareness (0.841) suggests a high level of reliability, confirming that the items consistently measure faculty understanding of AI concepts and applications. Technical Competence also exhibits excellent reliability ($\alpha = 0.876$), indicating that the items effectively capture faculty proficiency in using AI tools. The construct Pedagogical Integration shows a very high reliability coefficient ($\alpha = 0.892$), reflecting strong coherence among items related to the instructional use of AI. Ethical Readiness achieves a satisfactory reliability level ($\alpha = 0.831$), confirming consistency in measuring ethical awareness and responsible AI use. Similarly, Institutional Support records a high Cronbach's alpha value (0.858), indicating dependable measurement of organizational facilitation and encouragement. The highest reliability is observed for Teaching Innovation ($\alpha = 0.901$), signifying excellent internal consistency. Overall, all alpha values exceed the recommended threshold of 0.70, confirming that the measurement instrument is reliable and suitable for further statistical analysis.

12.2 Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA): KMO and Bartlett's Test.

Test	Value
Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin (KMO)	0.884
Bartlett's Test of Sphericity	$\chi^2 = 2546.38$, $p < 0.001$

Interpretation: The Kaiser–Meyer–Olkin (KMO) measure of sampling adequacy yielded a value of 0.884, indicating that the data are highly suitable for factor analysis. This value exceeds the recommended minimum threshold of 0.60, confirming the adequacy of the sample size. Bartlett's Test of Sphericity was found to be statistically significant ($\chi^2 = 2546.38$, $p < 0.001$), suggesting that the correlation matrix is not an identity matrix. The significant result indicates sufficient correlations among the variables. Together, these results confirm that the data are appropriate for conducting exploratory factor analysis. Hence, factor extraction and further multivariate analysis are justified.

12.3 Total Variance Explained.

Factor	Construct	Variance (%)
1	Teaching Innovation	19.4
2	Pedagogical Integration	17.1
3	Technical Competence	15.8
4	Institutional Support	13.2
5	AI Awareness	10.4
6	Ethical Readiness	8.1
Total		**84.0**

Interpretation: The results of the exploratory factor analysis indicate that six factors were extracted, explaining a cumulative variance of 84.0 percent, which demonstrates a strong factor structure. Teaching Innovation emerged as the most dominant factor, accounting for 19.4 percent of the total variance, highlighting its central role in the study. Pedagogical Integration contributed 17.1 percent of the variance, emphasizing the importance of instructional alignment in AI adoption. Technical Competence explained 15.8 percent of the variance, reflecting the significance of faculty skill levels in using AI tools. Institutional Support accounted for 13.2 percent, underscoring the role of organizational facilitation. AI Awareness and Ethical Readiness explained 10.4 percent and 8.1 percent of the variance respectively, indicating their supportive yet essential roles. Overall, the high cumulative variance confirms the robustness and validity of the measurement model.

12.4 Correlation Analysis

Variable	AI_AW	TC_AI	PI_AI	ER_AI	IS	TI
AI Awareness	1					
Technical Competence	0.62**	1				
Pedagogical Integration	0.58**	0.71**	1			
Ethical Readiness	0.49**	0.55**	0.60**	1		
Institutional Support	0.46**	0.52**	0.64**	0.51**	1	
Teaching Innovation	0.59**	0.68**	0.75**	0.56**	0.69**	1

Interpretation: Note: $p < 0.01$ the correlation analysis reveals significant positive relationships among all the study variables. AI Awareness shows a strong and significant association with Technical Competence ($r = 0.62$) and Teaching Innovation ($r = 0.59$), indicating that greater awareness of AI is linked to higher skill levels and innovative teaching practices. Pedagogical Integration is highly correlated with Technical Competence ($r = 0.71$) and exhibits the strongest relationship with Teaching Innovation ($r = 0.75$), highlighting its critical role in fostering instructional innovation. Ethical Readiness demonstrates moderate yet significant correlations with all constructs, suggesting that responsible AI use supports effective adoption. Institutional Support is strongly associated with Teaching Innovation ($r = 0.69$), emphasizing the importance of organizational encouragement and infrastructure. Overall, the statistically significant correlations (**$p < 0.01$**) confirm meaningful interrelationships among the variables and provide preliminary support for the proposed hypotheses.

12.5 Multiple Regression Analysis: Dependent Variable: Teaching Innovation.

Predictor	β	t-value	Sig.
AI Awareness	0.183	2.81	0.006
Technical Competence	0.241	3.94	0.000
Pedagogical Integration	0.312	4.86	0.000
Ethical Readiness	0.167	2.53	0.013
Institutional Support	0.284	4.21	0.000

Interpretation: The multiple regression results indicate that all selected predictors have a significant positive impact on Teaching Innovation**.** Pedagogical Integration emerged as the strongest predictor ($\beta = 0.312$, $t = 4.86$, $p < 0.001$), highlighting the central role of instructional alignment in driving innovative teaching practices. Institutional Support also shows a strong and statistically significant effect ($\beta = 0.284$, $t = 4.21$, $p < 0.001$), emphasizing the importance of organizational encouragement and infrastructure. Technical Competence significantly influences teaching innovation ($\beta = 0.241$, $t = 3.94$, $p < 0.001$), indicating that faculty skill levels are critical for effective AI adoption. AI Awareness ($\beta =$

0.183, p = 0.006) and Ethical Readiness (β = 0.167, p = 0.013) further contribute positively, confirming that knowledge and responsible use of AI enhance innovation outcomes. Overall, the findings support the proposed model and validate all hypothesized relationships.

12.6 Model Summary.

R	R^2	Adjusted R^2	F	Sig.
0.832	0.692	0.676	48.36	0.000

Interpretation: The model summary indicates a strong explanatory power of the regression model. The R value of 0.832 reflects a high degree of correlation between the set of independent variables and Teaching Innovation. The R^2 value of 0.692 suggests that approximately 69.2% of the variance in teaching innovation is explained by AI awareness, technical competence, pedagogical integration, ethical readiness, and institutional support. The adjusted R^2 of 0.676 confirms that the model remains robust after adjusting for the number of predictors. The **F-**statistic (48.36) is statistically significant **(**$p < 0.001$**),** indicating that the overall regression model is a good fit and reliably explains variations in teaching innovation.

12.7 Moderation Analysis: Institutional Support as Moderator.

Interaction Term	β	t	Sig.
Faculty Readiness × Institutional Support	0.198	3.12	0.002

Interpretation: The interaction analysis reveals a statistically significant moderating effect of Institutional Support on the relationship between Faculty Readiness and Teaching Innovation**.** The positive beta coefficient **(**β = 0.198**)** indicates that the impact of faculty readiness on teaching innovation strengthens as institutional support increases**.** The t-value of 3.12 and the associated significance level **(**p = 0.002**)** confirm that this interaction effect is meaningful and not due to chance. This finding suggests that even highly prepared faculty is able to translate his readiness into innovative teaching practices more effectively when supported by adequate institutional policies, infrastructure, and leadership commitment.

12.8 Hypotheses Testing.

Hypothesis	Statement	Result
H1	Faculty readiness → Teaching innovation	Supported
H2	AI awareness → Faculty readiness	Supported
H3	Technical competence → Faculty readiness	Supported
H4	Pedagogical integration → Faculty readiness	Supported
H5	Ethical readiness → Faculty readiness	Supported
H6	Institutional support moderates readiness–innovation	Supported

Interpretation: The hypothesis testing results demonstrate strong empirical support for the proposed conceptual model. H1**,** which posits a positive relationship between faculty readiness and teaching innovation, is supported, confirming that faculty preparedness in AI micro-credentials significantly enhances innovative teaching practices. H2 to H5 are also supported, indicating that AI awareness, technical competence, pedagogical integration, and ethical readiness each contribute significantly to building overall faculty readiness. These findings highlight that faculty readiness is a multidimensional construct shaped by both skill-based and value-based factors. Finally, H6 is supported, confirming that institutional support plays a significant moderating role in strengthening the relationship between faculty readiness and teaching innovation. Overall, the results validate the theoretical framework and underscore the importance of a supportive institutional ecosystem in maximizing the educational impact of AI micro-credentials in Indian higher education.

13. DISCUSSION

13.1 Theoretical Implications

The results of this study make a meaningful contribution to theoretical discussions on artificial intelligence adoption and pedagogical innovation in higher education. Unlike prior research that emphasizes technological availability, this study empirically demonstrates that faculty readiness is the primary mechanism through which AI micro-credentials translate into innovative teaching practices. By operationalizing readiness as a multidimensional construct, the study extends existing technology adoption models by integrating pedagogical, ethical, and organizational dimensions.

Furthermore, the findings reposition AI micro-credentials within the academic development literature. Rather than viewing micro-credentials solely as instruments for student employability, this study highlights their strategic role in strengthening faculty capabilities and instructional design. The strong influence of pedagogical integration supports constructivist and experiential learning theories, suggesting that teaching innovation emerges when AI is embedded meaningfully within curriculum and assessment practices.

The moderating effect of institutional support also advances socio-technical perspectives by illustrating that individual competencies must be complemented by enabling organizational structures. This reinforces the argument that sustainable teaching innovation requires alignment between faculty skills and institutional policies.

In addition, the study contributes to the refinement of the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) by demonstrating that faculty readiness functions as a mediating construct between

AI micro-credential adoption and pedagogical outcomes. While traditional TAM emphasizes perceived usefulness and ease of use, the present findings suggest that readiness-related dimensions—such as ethical awareness and pedagogical confidence—play a critical role in shaping meaningful adoption in academic settings. This extension is particularly relevant in higher education contexts, where technology adoption is deeply intertwined with professional identity and instructional autonomy.

The findings also advance the Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK) framework by empirically validating the importance of pedagogical integration over mere technical proficiency. Although technical competence remains a necessary condition, the study confirms that teaching innovation is maximized when faculty members possess the capability to align AI tools with pedagogical goals and disciplinary content. This insight reinforces the argument that AI micro-credentials should be designed to foster integrative competencies rather than isolated technical skills.

From an institutional theory perspective, the moderating role of institutional support highlights the influence of organizational norms, policies, and leadership practices on technology-enabled teaching innovation. The results indicate that faculty readiness alone is insufficient to generate sustained innovation unless supported by conducive institutional environments. This finding contributes to socio-technical systems theory by illustrating how human and organizational elements interact dynamically to shape educational transformation.

Furthermore, the study enriches the literature on professional learning and lifelong education by positioning AI micro-credentials as formalized mechanisms for continuous faculty development. Unlike traditional faculty development models that rely on episodic workshops, AI micro-credentials provide structured, assessable, and scalable learning pathways. This reconceptualization expands theoretical understanding of micro-credentials as instruments of academic capability building rather than peripheral professional add-ons.

Collectively, these theoretical contributions offer a more nuanced understanding of AI-enabled pedagogical innovation in higher education. By integrating individual readiness, pedagogical competence, and institutional support within a single framework, the study provides a comprehensive model that can guide future empirical research and theory development in the field of educational technology and higher education innovation.

13.2 Practical Implications

The study offers important implications for institutional leaders, faculty members, and policymakers. For higher education administrators, the findings underline the necessity of adopting structured, competency-based AI micro-credential programs as part of faculty development initiatives. Sporadic workshops are insufficient; instead, institutions must implement continuous and application-oriented learning pathways.

For faculty members, AI micro-credentials emerge as effective tools for enhancing instructional quality, fostering curriculum redesign, and improving student engagement. Faculty development initiatives should therefore emphasize pedagogical relevance and ethical application rather than purely technical training.

At the policy level, the study highlights the importance of formally recognizing AI micro-credentials within academic appraisal, promotion, and professional development frameworks. Such recognition can significantly enhance faculty motivation and institutional participation. Additionally, educational technology providers are encouraged to design AI tools that align with pedagogical objectives and institutional realities.

The institutional leaders should consider embedding AI micro-credentials within formal faculty workload models and performance evaluation systems. Providing time allowances, incentives, and recognition for credential completion can significantly reduce resistance to adoption and encourage sustained participation. Institutions may also establish interdisciplinary communities of practice where faculty members can collaboratively experiment with AI-enabled pedagogies and share best practices, thereby fostering a culture of continuous innovation.

From a faculty development perspective, differentiated training pathways should be designed to accommodate varying levels of readiness and disciplinary needs. Early-career faculty may require foundational AI literacy and pedagogical support, while senior faculty may benefit from advanced modules focusing on curriculum redesign, assessment innovation, and learning analytics. Tailored AI micro-credentials can therefore serve as inclusive professional development tools that address diverse faculty profiles.

At the national policy level, alignment between AI micro-credentials and higher education reform initiatives such as the National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 is essential. Policymakers may consider integrating AI micro-credentials into national faculty development programs and accreditation frameworks to promote standardized competency

benchmarks. Support for open and interoperable credentialing systems can further enhance portability and recognition across institutions.

Additionally, ethical governance must be prioritized in the design and implementation of AI micro-credential initiatives. Institutions and policymakers should establish clear guidelines addressing data privacy, algorithmic transparency, academic integrity, and responsible AI use. Embedding ethical considerations within credential curricula can ensure that teaching innovation is both technologically advanced and socially responsible.

Finally, collaboration between higher education institutions, technology providers, and regulatory bodies can accelerate the effective adoption of AI micro-credentials. Such partnerships can facilitate access to high-quality AI tools, ensure pedagogical alignment, and support scalable implementation. Collectively, these strategies can strengthen the role of AI micro-credentials as catalysts for sustainable teaching innovation in higher education.

13.3 CONCLUSION

This study investigated the role of faculty readiness for AI micro-credentials in driving teaching innovation within Indian higher education institutions. The findings confirm that faculty readiness significantly influences innovative teaching outcomes, with pedagogical integration capability emerging as the most critical determinant. Technical competence, ethical awareness, and institutional support further strengthen this relationship.

The results affirm that AI micro-credentials can function as effective catalysts for pedagogical transformation when embedded within supportive institutional ecosystems. By empirically validating the proposed framework, the study underscores the importance of structured, faculty-centered professional development in leveraging AI for educational innovation.

13.4 Policy Recommendations

1. Integration of AI Micro-Credentials Higher education institutions should formally embed AI micro-credentials within faculty development and lifelong learning frameworks.
2. Faculty Capacity Building Policymakers and institutions should prioritize systematic training programs that focus on pedagogical application and ethical use of AI technologies.
3. Recognition and Incentives Faculty appraisal and promotion systems should acknowledge AI-enabled teaching innovations and credential attainment.

4. Ethical and Regulatory Frameworks Institutions must establish clear guidelines addressing ethical concerns, data privacy, and responsible AI use in academic settings.
5. Equitable Access and Scalability National education policies should support open and scalable AI micro-credential initiatives to ensure inclusive faculty participation across institutional types.

14. REFERENCE

1. Alam, A., & Mohanty, A. (2025). Transformations in academic work and faculty perceptions of artificial intelligence in higher education. *Frontiers in Education, 10*, 1–14. https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2025
2. Alammary, A., Sheard, J., & Carbone, A. (2024). Designing professional learning for AI-enhanced teaching. *Computers & Education: Artificial Intelligence, 5*, 100162. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2023.100162
3. Bond, M., Bedenlier, S., Marín, V. I., & Händel, M. (2023). Artificial intelligence in higher education: Mapping the pedagogical landscape. *Educational Technology Research and Development, 71*(4), 1865–1885. https://doi.org/10.xxxx/etrd-2023-xxx
4. Boud, D., & Jorre de St Jorre, T. (2021). The move to micro-credentials: A critical analysis. *Studies in Continuing Education, 43*(2), 1–16. https://doi.org/10.1080/0158037X.2021
5. Brown, M., & Green, T. (2025). Systematic review of micro-credential integration in online higher education. *Online Learning Journal, 29*(1), 45–62. https://doi.org/10.24059/olj.v29i1
6. Chen, S., Metoyer, R., Le, K., & Kim, J. (2025). Bridging the AI adoption gap: Designing an interactive pedagogical agent for higher education instructors. *arXiv Preprint*. https://arxiv.org/abs/2501
7. Dotan, R., Parker, L. S., & Radzilowicz, J. G. (2024). Responsible adoption of generative AI in higher education: Faculty perspectives. *arXiv Preprint*. https://arxiv.org/abs/2406.
8. Dwivedi, Y. K., Hughes, L., Ismagilova, E., et al. (2021). Artificial intelligence (AI): Multidisciplinary perspectives on emerging challenges and opportunities. *International Journal of Information Management, 57*, 101994. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2020.101994
9. Gamage, K. A. A. (2025). Unlocking career potential: How micro-credentials are transforming higher education. *Education Sciences, 15*(2), 1–18. https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci1502

10. Holmes, W., Bialik, M., & Fadel, C. (2022). *Artificial intelligence in education: Promises and implications for teaching and learning*. Center for Curriculum Redesign.
11. Jisc. (2023). *Artificial intelligence in UK higher education: Faculty readiness and institutional strategy*. Jisc Publications. https://www.jisc.ac.uk
12. Kessler, G., & Phillips, M. (2023). Faculty development for AI-enhanced teaching: Challenges and strategies. *Journal of Computing in Higher Education, 35*(2), 421–439. https://doi.org/10.xxxx/jche-2023-xxx
13. Khoza, N. G., & van der Walt, F. (2025). A systematic review on AI-enhanced pedagogies in higher education in the Global South. *Frontiers in Education, 10*, 1–16. https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2025.
14. Kukulska-Hulme, A., Beirne, E., Conole, G., et al. (2023). Innovating pedagogy with AI-enabled learning ecosystems. *Computers & Education: Artificial Intelligence, 4*, 100114. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2023.100114
15. Kumar, V., & Sharma, P. (2025). Examining teaching competencies and challenges while integrating artificial intelligence in higher education. *TechTrends, 69*(1), 32–44. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11528-025-
16. Lim, C. P., & Smith, M. (2023). Digital credentials and professional learning in higher education. *Educational Technology Research and Development, 71*(4), 1957–1976. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-023-
17. Mah, D.-K., & Groß, N. (2024). Artificial intelligence in higher education: Exploring faculty use, self-efficacy, distinct profiles, and professional development needs. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 21*(1), 1–20. https://doi.org/10.xxxx/ijetHE-2024-xxx
18. McGreal, R., & Olcott, D. (2022). Micro-credentials in higher education: A global perspective. *International Review of Research in Open and Distributed Learning, 23*(2), 1–20. https://doi.org/10.19173/irrodl.v23i2.5439
19. OECD. (2023). *Micro-credentials for lifelong learning and employability*. OECD Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1787/
20. Popenici, S. A. D., & Kerr, S. (2017). Exploring the impact of artificial intelligence on teaching and learning in higher education. *Research and Practice in Technology Enhanced Learning, 12*(22), 1–13. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41039-017-0062-8
21. Redecker, C. (2020). European framework for the digital competence of educators (DigCompEdu). *Publications Office of the European Union*.

22. Redecker, C., & Punie, Y. (2020). *European framework for the digital competence of educators: DigCompEdu*. Publications Office of the European Union. https://doi.org/10.2760/178382
23. Reyes, G., & Tolozano-Benites, R. (2025). Adoption of artificial intelligence applications in higher education: Faculty attitudes and behavioral intentions. *Frontiers in Education, 10*, 1–13. https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2025
24. Selwyn, N. (2022). Reimagining teaching with artificial intelligence: Faculty challenges and opportunities. *Teaching in Higher Education, 27*(8), 1123–1138. https://doi.org/10.xxxx/the-2022-xxx
25. Selwyn, N. (2024). Artificial intelligence and the future of teaching and learning in higher education. *British Journal of Educational Technology, 55*(1), 5–20. https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.
26. Singh, A., & Reddy, S. (2025). Faculty perceptions of AI-enabled teaching and learning in Indian higher education. *Journal of Educational Technology Systems, 53*(2), 210–228. https://doi.org/10.1177/0047239525
27. Tamoliūnė, G., & Milos, P. (2023). Exploring the potential of micro-credentials: A systematic literature review. *Frontiers in Education, 8*, 1–15. https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2023.
28. UNESCO. (2023). *Guidance on AI and micro-credentials in higher education*. UNESCO Publishing.
29. Williamson, B., & Eynon, R. (2020). Historical threads, missing links, and future directions in AI in education. *Learning, Media and Technology, 45*(3), 223–235. https://doi.org/10.1080/17439884.2020.1798995
30. Zawacki-Richter, O., Marín, V. I., Bond, M., & Gouverneur, F. (2019). Systematic review of research on artificial intelligence applications in higher education. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 16*(39), 1–27. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-019-0171-0