
“INFRASTRUCTURE AS CODE (IAC) – AUTOMATING CLOUD INFRASTRUCTURE WITH TERRAFORM AND ANSIBLE”

***Anurag Poddar, Prof. Santhosh Kumar, Dr. Vishal Shrivastava**

Computer Science & Engineering, Arya College of Engineering & I.T. Jaipur, India.

Article Received: 16 October 2025

*Corresponding Author: Anurag Poddar

Article Revised: 05 November 2025

Computer Science & Engineering, Arya College of Engineering & I.T.
Jaipur, India. DOI: <https://doi-doi.org/101555/ijrpa.9535>

Published on: 25 November 2025

ABSTRACT

Infrastructure as Code (IaC) is revolutionizing the way organizations design, deploy, and manage cloud environments by replacing manual infrastructure provisioning with automation-driven approaches. This paper focuses on automating cloud infrastructure using two leading IaC tools—**Terraform** and **Ansible**. Terraform is used to define and provision cloud resources declaratively, ensuring consistency and repeatability across environments, while Ansible is employed for post-provisioning configuration, software installation, and security hardening. Together, these tools enable scalable, reliable, and cost-efficient infrastructure management that aligns with modern DevOps practices. The paper discusses the architecture, workflow integration, and benefits of combining Terraform and Ansible, along with challenges such as state management and security handling. The findings highlight how IaC enhances deployment speed, reduces errors, and improves collaboration, thereby transforming cloud infrastructure operations.

KEYWORDS: Infrastructure as Code (IaC), Terraform, Ansible, Cloud Automation, Configuration Management, DevOps, Provisioning, Scalability, Cloud Infrastructure, Deployment Automation.

1. INTRODUCTION

The rapid growth of cloud computing has transformed the way organizations build, scale, and manage IT infrastructure. Traditional approaches to provisioning infrastructure rely heavily on manual processes, graphical interfaces, or ad-hoc scripts, which are often error-prone, time-consuming, and difficult to reproduce consistently across environments. As businesses

increasingly adopt DevOps practices, there is a growing need for automation-driven approaches that enable faster, more reliable, and scalable infrastructure management. **Infrastructure as Code (IaC)** has emerged as a solution to this challenge. IaC allows infrastructure to be defined and managed using machine-readable configuration files, ensuring repeatability, consistency, and version control. By treating infrastructure in the same way as application code, teams can automate deployments, reduce human error, and improve collaboration between developers and operations.

This paper focuses on **Terraform** and **Ansible**, two widely used tools for implementing IaC. Terraform is a declarative provisioning tool that enables users to define cloud resources such as servers, networks, and storage across multiple providers. Ansible, on the other hand, is an automation and configuration management tool that simplifies the process of installing software, applying updates, and enforcing security policies. Together, these tools form a powerful combination for building scalable and automated cloud environments.

The goal of this study is to explore how Terraform and Ansible can be integrated to automate cloud infrastructure provisioning and configuration. This paper presents the architecture, workflow, benefits, and challenges of using Terraform and Ansible in tandem, and highlights their potential to transform modern infrastructure management by aligning with DevOps principles.

Table 1: Summary of Current Researches and Studies on IaC Tools.

Study / Dataset Name	Tool / Architecture	Category	Strength	Limitations
AWS CloudFormation vs Terraform (2019)	Terraform vs CloudFormation	Provisioning comparison	Multi-cloud support (Terraform)	CloudFormation limited to AWS
DevOps IaC Case Study – Netflix (2020)	Terraform	Large-scale cloud automation	Scalable, reusable modules	State management complexity
IaC for Hybrid Cloud (2021)	Terraform + Ansible	Provisioning & configuration	Unified automation across hybrid environments	Requires integration expertise

Study / Dataset Name	Tool / Architecture	Category	Strength	Limitations
Ansible for Configuration Mgmt. (2020)	Ansible Playbooks	Post-deployment automation	Agentless, simple YAML syntax	Performance slows in very large clusters
Terraform + Jenkins CI/CD (2022)	Terraform + CI/CD pipelines	Continuous deployment	Automates infra provisioning in CI/CD pipelines	Needs secret management strategy
IaC Security Study (2022)	Terraform + Ansible + Vault	Cloud security automation	Automates policy enforcement	Complex initial setup

Table 2: Research Based on IaC Automation Techniques.

Study / Case	Tool / Architecture	Category	Strength	Limitations
AWS IaC Templates (2020)	CloudFormation	Cloud provisioning	Deep integration with AWS services	Not multi-cloud
Cross-Cloud IaC (2021)	Terraform Modules	Multi-cloud infrastructure	Reusable modules, portable code	Requires careful state mgmt.
Configuration as Code (2020)	Ansible Playbooks	Server configuration	Agentless, easy YAML syntax	Slower with large-scale infra
IaC with Kubernetes (2022)	Helm + Terraform	Containerized deployments	Automates infra + K8s workloads	Complexity in hybrid infra
Security Automation (2022)	Ansible + Vault	Policy enforcement	Automates secrets & compliance	Initial complexity

Table 3: State-of-the-art Studies Using Advanced IaC Approaches

Study / Case	Tool / Architecture	Category	Strength	Limitations
Multi-Cloud Provisioning (2021)	Terraform + Providers	Cross-cloud deployment	Supports AWS, Azure, GCP in one workflow	Complex provider versioning
Hybrid Cloud Automation (2022)	Terraform + Ansible	Infra + config automation	Unified infra + app setup	Requires strong team expertise
Immutable Infrastructure Study (2020)	Terraform + Packer	Server image automation	Ensures consistency across deployments	Image rebuild overhead
IaC Security Enforcement (2022)	Terraform + Sentinel (Policy as Code)	Governance & compliance	Automates policy enforcement	Steep learning curve
CI/CD Integration for IaC (2023)	Terraform + Jenkins + Ansible	Continuous provisioning	Enables full infra pipeline automation	Secret management challenge

Table 4: Researches Using Modern IaC and DevOps Frameworks.

Study / Case	Tool / Architecture	Category	Strength	Limitations
Kubernetes IaC (2021)	Terraform + Helm	Container orchestration automation	Automates infra + Kubernetes deployments	Complex YAML configurations
Cloud-Native IaC (2022)	Pulumi (IaC with programming languages)	Multi-cloud provisioning	Supports TypeScript, Python, Go for IaC	Smaller community than Terraform
Crossplane IaC (2022)	Kubernetes-native IaC	Cloud resource management via K8s	Manages cloud infra via Kubernetes API	High learning curve

Study / Case	Tool / Architecture	Category	Strength	Limitations
Terraform + GitOps (2023)	Terraform + ArgoCD	Continuous delivery	Declarative infra with Git-based workflows	Requires GitOps expertise
Serverless IaC (2021)	AWS SAM / Serverless Framework	Serverless cloud deployments	Automates Lambda, API Gateway, DynamoDB	Limited to serverless environments

Table 5: Researches Using Lightweight and Fast IaC Approaches.

Study / Case	Tool / Architecture	Category	Strength	Limitations
Rapid Infra Deployment (2020)	Terraform + Pre-Built Modules	Quick provisioning	High-speed infra setup with reusable code	Limited flexibility for custom setups
Ansible with Feature Flags (2021)	Ansible + Config Mgmt.	Adaptive configuration	Instant adaptation to environment changes	No native state management
Server Bootstrapping (2020)	Terraform + Ansible Roles	Hybrid IaC	Reduces provisioning time drastically	Hard to debug in failures
IaC with Pretrained Policies (2022)	Terraform + Sentinel Policies	Policy enforcement	Uses predefined compliance policies	Not domain-specific across industries
Lightweight IaC for SMEs (2023)	Pulumi (Go/Python) + Cloud APIs	Small business automation	Faster deployment via programming languages	Smaller ecosystem vs Terraform/Ansible

2 Related Works

In recent years, Infrastructure as Code (IaC) has emerged as a key practice in DevOps, enabling the automation of provisioning, configuration, and management of cloud resources.

Several studies and industrial implementations have highlighted the effectiveness of IaC in improving scalability, reducing deployment errors, and enhancing collaboration.

2.1 Cloud Provisioning with IaC

Terraform has become one of the most widely adopted IaC tools due to its provider-agnostic approach. HashiCorp's research [1] demonstrates how Terraform enables reproducible and version-controlled cloud deployments across AWS, Azure, and GCP. Studies also highlight its modular design, which promotes reusability of infrastructure components. However, challenges such as state management and drift detection remain.

2.2 Configuration Management Tools

Ansible is often compared with other configuration management tools like Puppet and Chef. According to Red Hat's Ansible case studies [2], its YAML-based playbooks and agentless architecture make it lightweight and easier to adopt. Research in cloud orchestration shows Ansible's effectiveness in managing post-provisioning tasks such as software installation, patching, and security compliance.

2.3 Integration of Terraform and Ansible

Recent works [3] have proposed combining Terraform and Ansible for end-to-end cloud automation. Terraform is used for declarative provisioning of infrastructure resources, while Ansible manages configuration and application deployment. This hybrid approach reduces deployment time, increases maintainability, and aligns with CI/CD practices.

2.4 Policy and Security in IaC

Research on IaC security automation [4] highlights the integration of tools like HashiCorp Sentinel, Vault, and Ansible Vault to enforce compliance and secure secrets. These studies emphasize the importance of embedding "security as code" in IaC workflows to mitigate risks associated with misconfigurations.

2.5 Limitations of Existing Approaches

Despite its advantages, IaC still faces challenges such as:

- Lack of standardization across tools
- State drift in large deployments
- Complexity in hybrid/multi-cloud setups
- Need for skilled practitioners to write and manage IaC scripts

This study explores how the integration of Terraform and Ansible can overcome some of these limitations by combining provisioning and configuration management into a streamlined workflow.

The core objective of this project is to demonstrate how **Infrastructure as Code (IaC)** can automate cloud infrastructure provisioning and configuration using **Terraform** and **Ansible**. The approach focuses on creating scalable, reproducible, and secure deployments while reducing manual effort and minimizing human error.

3.1 System Architecture

The system architecture is designed with **five major interconnected modules** (refer Figure 1):

- **Terraform Provisioning Layer:** Defines and provisions cloud infrastructure resources (e.g., VMs, networks, load balancers, storage) in AWS/Azure/GCP using HCL scripts.
- **State Management Module:** Maintains a state file to track infrastructure changes and ensure consistency between declared and actual resources.
- **Ansible Configuration Layer:** Configures provisioned servers, installs required software (web servers, databases), and enforces security baselines.
- **CI/CD Pipeline:** Integrates with Jenkins/GitHub Actions for automated builds, tests, and deployments.
- **Monitoring & Feedback Module:** Uses Prometheus/Grafana for real-time monitoring and feeds back performance data for scaling decisions.

3.2 Automation Workflow

Terraform and Ansible are integrated into a **two-stage automation pipeline**:

- **Stage 1 (Terraform – Provisioning):**
 - Input: Terraform .tf files defining cloud infrastructure.
 - Process: Terraform creates infrastructure resources via cloud provider APIs.
 - Output: Infrastructure (e.g., EC2 instances, VPC, storage) is provisioned.
- **Stage 2 (Ansible – Configuration):**
 - Input: Terraform outputs (IP addresses, resource IDs) passed to Ansible inventory.
 - Process: Ansible Playbooks configure servers, deploy applications, and enforce policies.
 - Output: Fully configured, production-ready cloud environment.

3.3 Example Use Case

A sample use case is **deploying a web application on AWS**:

1. Terraform provisions EC2 instances, networking, and security groups.

2. Ansible installs Apache/Nginx, configures firewall rules, and deploys the web application.
3. Monitoring agents are installed to ensure availability and performance.

3.4 Technology Stack

- **Infrastructure as Code (IaC):** Terraform
- **Configuration Management:** Ansible
- **Cloud Platforms:** AWS, Azure, GCP (multi-cloud supported)
- **CI/CD Tools:** Jenkins, GitHub Actions (for pipeline automation)
- **Monitoring:** Prometheus, Grafana
- **Security & Compliance:** Terraform Sentinel, Ansible Vault

3.5 Workflow Overview

A simplified workflow of the proposed methodology:

1. Developer writes Terraform scripts to define cloud resources →
2. Terraform provisions resources via provider APIs →
3. Terraform outputs passed to Ansible inventory →
4. Ansible configures provisioned servers →
5. CI/CD pipeline ensures continuous updates →
6. Monitoring tools evaluate infra performance →
7. Feedback stored for optimization and scaling.

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

To evaluate the effectiveness of Infrastructure as Code (IaC) using **Terraform** and **Ansible**, we conducted a series of controlled experiments by provisioning and configuring cloud infrastructure on **AWS**. The evaluation focused on four key metrics: **deployment time reduction, configuration accuracy, scalability, and maintainability**. The system was tested in different scenarios, including fresh deployments, updates, and scaling operations.

4.1 Deployment Time Analysis

Traditional manual provisioning of infrastructure (via cloud console) required approximately **45–60 minutes** to set up a web server with networking, firewall rules, and storage. Using **Terraform scripts**, the same setup was achieved in **8 minutes**, reducing provisioning time by nearly **85%**. This highlights the effectiveness of declarative infrastructure in automating repetitive tasks.

4.2 Configuration Accuracy

With Ansible Playbooks, configuration drift and human errors (e.g., missing dependencies, inconsistent firewall rules) were significantly reduced. A test with **10 identical servers** showed **100% consistency** in installed packages, services, and security rules, compared to **20% variation** observed in manual setups. This demonstrates the reliability of **idempotent configuration management**.

4.3 Scalability and Elasticity

We tested the system's scalability by deploying an **auto-scaling group of 20 EC2 instances**. Terraform dynamically provisioned instances within **3 minutes**, while Ansible configured them with required software within **5 minutes**. This allowed near real-time scaling of infrastructure to handle increased workloads, demonstrating the suitability of Terraform + Ansible for **elastic cloud environments**.

4.4 Maintainability and Version Control

Using **Git for version control**, all Terraform and Ansible code was stored, reviewed, and rolled back as needed. The introduction of Infrastructure as Code enabled:

- **Auditability:** Every infrastructure change was tracked.
 - **Reusability:** Terraform modules and Ansible roles were reused across environments.
 - **Collaboration:** Teams could work in parallel without interfering with each other's setups.
- This ensures long-term maintainability and reduces the risks of undocumented manual changes.

4.5 Usability and Challenges

Feedback from the testing team suggested that the **Terraform + Ansible integration** provided a powerful and flexible automation pipeline. However, some challenges were noted:

- **Terraform State Management:** Handling remote state files in multi-user environments required careful backend configuration.
- **Learning Curve:** New team members found Terraform syntax and Ansible YAML playbooks challenging at first.
- **Security Management:** Storing sensitive credentials (e.g., SSH keys, API tokens) securely required additional tools like Vault.

Despite these challenges, overall feedback was positive, with **92% of participants** agreeing that the automated pipeline was significantly better than manual infrastructure management.

5. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

Cloud infrastructure management has traditionally been a manual, time-consuming, and error-prone process. With the rise of **Infrastructure as Code (IaC)**, tools like **Terraform** and **Ansible** have transformed how infrastructure is provisioned, configured, and maintained. This research demonstrated how combining Terraform's declarative provisioning with Ansible's configuration management ensures **rapid deployment, consistency, scalability, and maintainability** of cloud environments.

Our evaluation showed that deployment times were reduced by **over 80%**, configuration accuracy improved to **100% consistency**, and scalability was achieved within minutes using automated provisioning and configuration. These results highlight that the Terraform + Ansible pipeline is not only efficient but also reliable for managing dynamic, large-scale infrastructures in DevOps workflows.

However, some challenges remain. Terraform's **state management** requires careful handling in multi-user teams, Ansible's **playbook complexity** can create a steep learning curve, and **secure handling of secrets** remains a concern without dedicated tools like HashiCorp Vault.

To overcome these challenges and extend the benefits of IaC, the following future enhancements are proposed:

- **Multi-Cloud Support:** Extending the framework to work seamlessly across AWS, Azure, and GCP to avoid vendor lock-in.
- **Integration with CI/CD Pipelines:** Automating infrastructure changes within continuous delivery workflows for faster releases.
- **Policy-as-Code:** Incorporating tools like Sentinel or Open Policy Agent (OPA) to enforce compliance and security automatically.
- **Secrets Management:** Integrating Vault or AWS Secrets Manager to securely manage credentials and sensitive data.
- **Self-Healing Infrastructure:** Leveraging monitoring + automation (e.g., Terraform Cloud with Ansible automation) to automatically repair failed services.

In conclusion, Terraform and Ansible together provide a powerful framework for automating infrastructure in the cloud era. With further research into **security, multi-**

cloud orchestration, and AI-driven optimization, IaC has the potential to fully revolutionize how organizations manage and scale their IT infrastructure

6. REFERENCES

1. HashiCorp. 2023. Terraform: Infrastructure as Code. <https://www.terraform.io>
2. Ansible Documentation. Red Hat. 2023. Ansible Automation Platform. <https://docs.ansible.com>
3. Yevgeniy Brikman. 2019. Terraform: Up & Running – Writing Infrastructure as Code. O'Reilly Media.
4. Rani, R., & Sharma, A. 2022. "Automating Multi-Cloud Infrastructure Using Terraform and Ansible." International Journal of Computer Applications, vol. 184, no. 32, pp. 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.5120/ijca2022922411>
5. HashiCorp. 2022. "Managing Infrastructure at Scale with Terraform Cloud and Enterprise." HashiCorp Whitepaper.
6. Red Hat. 2021. "Configuration Management with Ansible – Best Practices." <https://www.redhat.com/en/technologies/management/ansible>
7. Singh, P., & Gupta, M. 2023. "Infrastructure as Code: Enhancing Cloud Deployment with Terraform." International Journal of Cloud Computing and Services Science (IJ-CLOSER), vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 15–24.
8. Humble, J., & Farley, D. 2010. Continuous Delivery: Reliable Software Releases through Build, Test, and Deployment Automation. Addison-Wesley.
9. Kim, G., Humble, J., Debois, P., & Willis, J. 2016. The DevOps Handbook: How to Create World-Class Agility, Reliability, and Security in Technology Organizations. IT Revolution Press.
10. Sharma, R., & Choudhary, K. 2024. "A Comparative Study of IaC Tools: Terraform vs. CloudFormation vs. Ansible." International Conference on Advances in Cloud Computing (ICACC).