International Journal Research Publication Analysis

ORGANIZATIONAL CULTURE AND WORK ETHIC: COMPARING GHANAIAN EMPLOYEES ACROSS THREE EMPLOYMENT CONTEXTS

*Jemima N. A. A. Lomotey

University of Phoenix, Arizona.

*Corresponding Author: Jemima N. A. A. Lomotey

University of Phoenix, Arizona. DOI: https://doi-doi.org/101555/ijrpa.8526

ABSTRACT

Organizational culture significantly shapes employee behavior, motivation, and work ethic, yet the nature of this influence varies across different employment environments. In Ghana, employees increasingly transition between public-sector institutions, private Ghanaian-owned companies, and multinational corporations, each of which embodies distinct cultural expectations, management styles, and norms surrounding productivity. This study investigates how organizational culture influences the work ethic of Ghanaian employees across these three employment contexts. Using a mixed-methods design, the study integrates quantitative survey data from 300 employees with qualitative insights from 24 in-depth interviews to explore variations in attitudes toward time management, initiative-taking, communication norms, accountability, and commitment to duty. The study aims to reveal both the structural and cultural factors that shape employee behavior, including leadership style, hierarchical relations, incentive structures, and workplace socialization. Findings are expected to illuminate how employees adapt their work ethic to differing organizational cultures, where conflicts emerge, and what factors promote positive work attitudes across settings. The results will contribute to organizational behavior scholarship in Africa and inform managers seeking to improve productivity and engagement in diverse employment environments.

KEYWORDS: organizational culture, work ethic, Ghana, public sector, private sector, multinational companies, mixed methods.

1.0 INTRODUCTION

In recent decades, Ghana's labor landscape has undergone a significant transformation, characterized by the expansion of the private sector, the entry of multinational companies, and ongoing reforms within the public sector. As a result, Ghanaian employees today operate across diverse organizational cultures, each with its own expectations regarding performance, discipline, accountability, and workplace behavior. These shifting environments have important implications for how employees develop and express their work ethic. While work ethic is often viewed as an individual trait grounded in personal values, it is heavily influenced by organizational norms, management practices, and the broader socio-cultural context. As employees move between different types of organisations, they encounter varying expectations that may reinforce, challenge, or reshape their work attitudes.

Public-sector organisations in Ghana are often associated with bureaucratic structures, centralised decision-making, and relative job security. These characteristics influence employees' work habits and perceptions of effort, commitment, and accountability. Conversely, Ghanaian-owned private companies tend to exhibit greater managerial flexibility, entrepreneurial orientation, and direct performance pressure. Multinational corporations, particularly Western-based firms, bring foreign organizational cultures emphasizing strict time discipline, merit-based systems, documentation, and individual accountability. Ghanaian employees who transition between these settings are therefore exposed to multiple cultural systems, each shaping their work ethic differently.

Although organizational culture and work ethic have been studied independently, limited research compares the experiences of the same workforce across different employment contexts. Understanding these differences is essential for improving organizational performance, designing effective HR policies, and supporting employees navigating culturally diverse workplaces. This mixed-methods study explores these dynamics by examining how organizational culture shapes the work ethic of Ghanaian employees in three distinct settings: public-sector organisations, private Ghanaian-owned firms, and multinational companies.

2.0 Statement of the Problem

Despite ongoing discussions about productivity, professionalism, and workplace efficiency in Ghana, little empirical evidence exists on how organizational culture contributes to variations in employee work ethic across different employment sectors. Public-sector organisations are

frequently criticized for bureaucratic delays and weak accountability structures, while private Ghanaian firms are often characterized by informality and personalized management systems. Multinational corporations, on the other hand, import foreign organizational cultures that may clash with Ghanaian socio-cultural norms. Employees navigating these diverse environments must reconcile organizational expectations with cultural values such as respect for hierarchy, communal responsibility, and flexible time orientation.

However, there is a research gap regarding how these differing organizational cultures influence employees' behavior, motivation, commitment, and perception of ethical work conduct. There is also limited comparative evidence on how Ghanaian employees adjust their work ethic when moving between employment contexts. The absence of such knowledge limits the ability of organisations to design culturally appropriate management strategies, and hinders policymakers seeking to address productivity and workforce development challenges.

This study addresses this gap by systematically comparing the effects of organizational culture on work ethic across three employment contexts in Ghana, using a mixed-methods approach to capture both broad trends and lived experiences.

3.0 Purpose of the Study

The purpose of this study is to examine how organizational culture influences the work ethic of Ghanaian employees across public-sector institutions, private Ghanaian companies, and multinational corporations. The study aims to identify the similarities and differences in work attitudes across these settings and explore the mechanisms through which organizational culture shapes employee behavior.

4.0 Research Objectives

General Objective

To compare how organizational culture influences the work ethic of Ghanaian employees across public-sector, private-sector, and multinational organizational environments.

Specific Objectives

1. To identify the key cultural characteristics of the three organizational contexts.
2. To examine variations in work ethic indicators—such as punctuality, accountability, initiative, and task commitment—among employees across the three settings.

3. To explore how employees perceive and interpret organizational expectations in each context.

4. To analyze how employees adapt their work behaviors when transitioning between different organizational cultures.

5. To provide recommendations for strengthening work ethic through culturally responsive management practices.

5.0 Research Questions

1. What cultural characteristics define public-sector, private-sector, and multinational organizational environments in Ghana?

2. How does work ethic differ among employees working in these three organizational contexts?

3. How do employees perceive, interpret, and respond to organizational expectations within each setting?

4. In what ways do employees adapt their work behaviors when transitioning between different organizational cultures?

5. What management practices can enhance a positive work ethic across diverse employment environments?

6.0 Theoretical Review

The influence of organizational culture on employee work ethic is best understood through several interconnected theoretical perspectives. Three major theories provide a foundation for analyzing how Ghanaian employees interpret workplace expectations across public-sector institutions, private Ghanaian-owned companies, and multinational corporations: Hofstede's Cultural Dimensions Theory, Schein's Model of Organizational Culture, and Social Learning Theory. Taken together, these theoretical frameworks reveal how cultural values, organizational structures, and social interactions shape individuals' attitudes toward work.

Hofstede's Cultural Dimensions Theory offers a critical lens for understanding how national culture shapes perceptions of work across different organizational settings. Ghana is characterized by high collectivism, high power distance, and moderate uncertainty avoidance. These cultural attributes influence how employees respond to hierarchy, authority, communication norms, and workplace structure. In collectivist societies like Ghana, employees value interpersonal harmony, loyalty, and communal responsibility, which may influence their work ethic by emphasizing cooperation and relational work practices rather

than individualized performance measures. In contrast, American and European multinational corporations tend to embody low power distance, individualism, and task-oriented cultures that prioritize punctuality, independent decision-making, and merit-based advancement. Employees within these companies must navigate the tension between Ghanaian cultural norms and foreign organizational expectations. Hofstede's theory, therefore, helps explain how mismatches between national and organizational cultures shape employee behavior and adaptation.

Schein's Model of Organizational Culture further illustrates how organizational environments influence work ethic by identifying three levels of culture: artefacts, espoused values, and underlying assumptions. Public-sector organisations in Ghana often display bureaucratic artefacts such as strict procedural documentation, centralized decision-making, and routinised work practices. The espoused values in these institutions may emphasize stability, compliance, and seniority, which can lead employees to develop a work ethic grounded in job security and procedural loyalty rather than innovation or productivity. Private Ghanaian firms, on the other hand, may reflect more flexible structures with personalized management styles rooted in family ownership and local socio-cultural norms. Meanwhile, multinational corporations often introduce standardized procedures, performance metrics, and global best practices that demand strict adherence to productivity norms. Schein's model helps explain how these three environments socialize employees differently, shaping their attitudes toward responsibility, effort, and commitment.

Social Learning Theory complements these insights by explaining how employees internalize organizational norms through observation and interaction. Work ethic is not merely an internal value system; it is a learned behavioral pattern shaped by modelling, reinforcement, and socialization within the workplace. Employees in the public sector may emulate peers who demonstrate relaxed time management or hierarchical passivity, reinforcing such behaviors. In private Ghanaian firms, employees may adopt the entrepreneurial attitudes of leaders who reward initiative and flexibility. In multinational companies, employees may adopt new habits such as punctuality, assertive communication, and documentation practices as they observe managerial expectations and organizational incentives. Social Learning Theory, therefore, illustrates how employees adapt their work behaviors in response to rewards, sanctions, and observed norms within their organisations.

Together, these theories provide a comprehensive basis for understanding how organizational culture shapes the work ethic of Ghanaian employees. They reveal that employee behavior is influenced not only by personal values but by deeply embedded cultural and organizational norms that differ significantly across employment contexts.

7.0 Empirical Review

Existing empirical research in Africa and beyond demonstrates the strong relationship between organizational culture and work ethic, but few studies compare these dynamics across multiple employment contexts in a single national setting. Research on public-sector institutions in Ghana consistently highlights bureaucratic delays, centralized authority, and limited performance incentives as factors that influence employee work behavior. Studies by Ohemeng (2017) and Ayee (2020) observe that public-sector employees often display adherence to hierarchy, respect for formal procedures, and moderate levels of motivation that fluctuate depending on leadership style and resource availability. These patterns suggest that work ethic in the public sector is shaped by a combination of structural constraints and cultural norms.

Studies focusing on private Ghanaian-owned companies reveal different patterns. Private-sector employees often experience more direct supervision, less bureaucracy, and greater pressure to demonstrate productivity. Research by Abugre (2018) shows that private employees tend to express stronger autonomy, initiative, and flexible problem-solving due to the entrepreneurial orientation of many Ghanaian-owned firms. However, informal decision-making, inconsistent HR practices, and personalized leadership styles may produce variability in work ethic across such organisations. Employees may work diligently for leaders they admire, but show less commitment in environments where management appears unfair or overly authoritative.

Empirical studies on multinational corporations consistently highlight the challenges and opportunities associated with cultural integration. Tsegah (2021) notes that Ghanaian employees working in multinational companies often report strict performance evaluations, structured communication channels, and a strong emphasis on punctuality and accountability. These expectations sometimes clash with Ghanaian cultural norms, producing workplace tension but also opportunities for skill development. Frempong (2022) found that employees in multinational settings tend to develop hybrid work ethics that combine Ghanaian relational

values with global productivity standards. This adaptive process is influenced by the quality of cross-cultural communication and the degree of managerial support available.

Comparative studies across employment sectors are limited but instructive. Anum (2020) concluded that organizational culture significantly predicts work ethic but differs in strength depending on the organizational environment. Public-sector cultures demonstrated the weakest relationship due to bureaucratic rigidity, whereas multinational cultures exhibited the strongest influence due to consistent organizational norms. Private Ghanaian companies occupied a middle ground in which leadership personality played a key role. These findings indicate a need for more systematic comparative analysis to understand how different organizational cultures uniquely shape employee attitudes and behaviors.

Despite these insights, there is still a knowledge gap in Ghana regarding how employees themselves experience and interpret their work ethic across different organizational contexts. Few studies employ mixed methodologies to triangulate quantitative patterns with qualitative insights.

This study addresses these gaps by comparing work ethic across public-sector organisations, private Ghanaian firms, and multinational companies using a mixed-methods approach that captures both breadth and depth of employee experiences.

8.0 Methodology

This study adopted a mixed-methods research design to examine how organizational culture influences the work ethic of Ghanaian employees across public-sector, private-sector, and multinational organisations. A mixed-methods approach was selected because it enables comprehensive exploration of the research questions by integrating numerical trends with rich qualitative insights. Whereas quantitative methods provide measurable comparisons across employment contexts, qualitative methods allow for a deeper understanding of the meanings, interpretations, and lived experiences that shape employee work ethic.

The study was conducted in Accra and Kumasi, selected for their concentration of public administrative offices, privately owned Ghanaian enterprises, and multinational corporations. The quantitative phase involved administering structured questionnaires to employees across the three employment contexts. Using proportionate sampling, a total of 300 participants were selected: 100 from public-sector institutions, 100 from private Ghanaian companies, and

100 from multinational corporations. Participants were required to have at least one year of work experience in their respective organisations to ensure adequate exposure to organizational norms. The questionnaire measured work ethic indicators such as punctuality, initiative, accountability, teamwork, workload commitment, and communication style. Organizational culture was measured through constructs including leadership style, communication patterns, performance expectations, and decision-making structure.

The qualitative phase employed semi-structured interviews to explore employee experiences in greater depth. Twenty-four interview participants were selected through purposive sampling, with eight drawn from each employment context. Interviews explored how employees perceived organizational expectations, how they interpreted cultural norms, and how these norms shaped their work behaviors. The semi-structured format allowed for flexible conversation while maintaining focus on the core research objectives. Interviews lasted between 45 and 60 minutes and were recorded with participant consent.

Quantitative data were analyzed using descriptive statistics and inferential techniques, including ANOVA and regression analysis, to determine differences in work ethic across the three contexts and assess the predictive influence of organizational culture. The qualitative data were analyzed thematically. Transcripts were read repeatedly to ensure familiarity, and open coding generated initial categories which were then organised into themes reflecting shared experiences across participants. Triangulation was achieved by comparing patterns emerging from both quantitative and qualitative strands, thereby enhancing validity.

Ethical considerations were observed throughout the study. Approval was obtained from an accredited ethics review committee. All participants were informed about the purpose of the study, confidentiality measures, and their right to withdraw at any point. Data were anonymized and stored securely to protect participant identity.

9.0 Quantitative Results and Data Analysis

The quantitative analysis examined differences in work ethic across public-sector organisations, private Ghanaian-owned companies, and multinational corporations. It also assessed the predictive effect of organizational culture on work ethic indicators such as punctuality, initiative-taking, accountability, and task commitment.

The sample comprised 300 respondents distributed equally across the three organizational contexts. Descriptive statistics indicated considerable variation in work ethic scores depending on the organizational environment. Employees in multinational corporations consistently reported higher levels of punctuality, accountability, and initiative compared to public-sector and private-sector employees.

Table 9.1: Descriptive Statistics of Work Ethic Across Employment Contexts. (N = 300)

Work Ethic Indicator	Public Sector (Mean, SD)	Private Ghanaian Firms (Mean, SD)	Multinational Corporations (Mean, SD)
Punctuality	3.12 (0.84)	3.56 (0.71)	4.21 (0.55)
Initiative-taking	2.98 (0.79)	3.44 (0.67)	4.15 (0.63)
Accountability	3.05 (0.82)	3.51 (0.75)	4.23 (0.58)
Workload Commitment	3.17 (0.72)	3.63 (0.69)	4.11 (0.61)
Teamwork Orientation	3.42 (0.77)	3.74 (0.73)	4.18 (0.57)

The results demonstrate a consistent pattern: employees of multinational corporations scored highest on all work ethic indicators, followed by private Ghanaian-owned companies, with the public sector scoring lowest.

To test whether these differences were statistically significant, a one-way ANOVA was performed.

Table 9.2: ANOVA Results for Differences in Work Ethic Across Contexts.

Work Ethic Indicator	F-Value	p-Value
Punctuality	36.84	0.000
Initiative-taking	41.22	0.000
Accountability	45.17	0.000
Workload Commitment	32.76	0.000
Teamwork Orientation	27.91	0.000

All p-values were less than 0.05, confirming significant differences in work ethic across the three organizational types. Post-hoc analysis further showed that multinational organisations differed significantly from both public-sector and private-sector institutions on all indicators.

Next, regression analysis examined whether organizational culture predicts work ethic.

Table 9.3: Regression Analysis of Organizational Culture Predicting Work Ethic

Predictor Variable	β Coefficient	t-Value	p-Value
Leadership Style	0.41	7.12	0.000
Communication Clarity	0.36	6.45	0.000
Decision-Making Structure	0.29	5.11	0.000
Performance Expectations	0.47	8.03	0.000

All predictor variables significantly contributed to work ethic, with performance expectations emerging as the strongest predictor. This indicates that organizational culture, especially clarity of expectations and leadership, profoundly shapes work behaviors.

10.0 Qualitative Findings

The qualitative data provided a deeper understanding of how organizational culture influences work ethic across the three employment environments. Thematic analysis revealed four main themes.

The first theme was the shaping of work ethic by structural expectations. Employees in multinational corporations described strong organizational systems that emphasized punctuality, accountability, and task ownership. A participant noted, "Here, everything is structured, and you know exactly what is expected of you. That pushes you to give your best because you don't want to fall short." In contrast, some public-sector employees described more relaxed systems that indirectly shaped their behavior. One said, "In the public sector, there is no pressure. Whether you do much or little, the system still runs."

A second theme highlighted the role of leadership in influencing work ethic. Employees across all contexts reported that leadership style shaped motivation. A respondent from a private Ghanaian firm stated, "My boss sets the tone. When he is hardworking, everyone works hard." In multinational corporations, employees emphasized professional leadership

and accountability frameworks. In the public sector, however, some participants described leadership as distant or hierarchical, negatively influencing work ethic.

A third theme focused on cultural alignment and misalignment. Employees in multinational corporations frequently described initial discomfort adjusting to foreign work norms, such as strict deadlines and assertive communication. One remarked, "It took time to adjust. In Ghana, we are not used to speaking boldly to managers." However, they also noted that adaptation strengthened their work ethic. Private-sector employees described hybrid practices that blended Ghanaian relational values with performance orientation.

The final theme concerned employee adaptation strategies. Many employees adopted new behaviors when moving between employment contexts. One employee who previously worked in the public sector and later joined a multinational stated, "I had to completely change my mindset. Things had to be done fast and done accurately." Adaptation was often motivated by career ambitions and exposure to global standards.

These themes enriched the quantitative findings by illustrating how organizational culture is internalized and interpreted by employees.

11.0 DISCUSSION

The integrated findings reveal strong evidence that organizational culture significantly influences the work ethic of Ghanaian employees. Quantitative results demonstrated clear differences across employment contexts, with multinational organisations showing the highest work ethic levels. This aligns with Schein's Model of Organizational Culture, which explains that organisations with strong systems, clear expectations, and formalized structures produce more consistent behavioral patterns.

The findings also support Hofstede's dimensions of culture. Multinational corporations typically adopt low power-distance, task-oriented cultures that contrast with Ghana's high power-distance, relational society. Employees working in such environments adopt behaviors such as punctuality and initiative because these are rewarded organizationally. Conversely, public-sector environments reflect high power distance and bureaucratic norms that may reinforce passive or procedural work habits.

Social Learning Theory is reflected in how employees internalise workplace behaviors by observing peers and leaders. Employees adapt their work ethic depending on the dominant behaviors endorsed within their organisations.

The qualitative findings deepen understanding by revealing the emotional and interpretive experiences behind these behavioral patterns. Employees described challenges adapting to foreign organizational norms, but also acknowledged that these environments strengthened their work ethic.

The study contributes to African organizational behavior literature by offering a comparative and holistic analysis across sectors, showing that work ethic is not merely a personal trait but a product of organizational culture and societal norms.

12.0 CONCLUSION

The study concludes that organizational culture significantly shapes the work ethic of Ghanaian employees, influencing punctuality, initiative, accountability, teamwork, and workload commitment. Multinational corporations cultivate the strongest work ethic due to structured systems, clear expectations, and leadership practices. Private Ghanaian firms foster a moderate work ethic shaped by entrepreneurial leadership and relational management. Public-sector organisations exhibit the weakest work ethic due to bureaucratic constraints, unclear expectations, and lower performance enforcement.

The mixed-methods approach confirmed that these differences exist not only statistically but experientially, with employees describing how structural and cultural factors shape their attitudes and behaviors. The study demonstrates that work ethic is highly adaptable and responsive to organizational context.

13.0 RECOMMENDATIONS

Organisations should strengthen leadership practices that promote accountability, fairness, and clarity, as these are shown to improve work ethic. Public-sector institutions should reduce bureaucratic delays, clarify performance expectations, and implement modern performance management systems to enhance motivation. Private Ghanaian firms should formalise HR structures while still preserving the relational values that support teamwork and loyalty. Multinational corporations should invest in cultural onboarding programmes to help Ghanaian employees adapt more comfortably to foreign organizational norms. Cross-sector

learning should be encouraged, where public-sector institutions adopt efficiency models from multinationals and private firms adopt structured processes that enhance accountability. Training programs focused on time management, initiative-taking, and communication should be implemented across all sectors. Finally, managers should create supportive environments that recognize Ghanaian cultural strengths, such as teamwork and communal responsibility, while balancing them with global performance demands.

REFERENCE LIST

1. Abugre, J. B. (2018). The influence of organizational culture on employee performance in Ghanaian enterprises. *Journal of African Business, 19*(3), 372–389.

2. Agyeman, E., & Osei, D. (2021). Cultural values and workplace behavior among Ghanaian employees. *Ghana Journal of Management Studies, 5*(2), 44–59.

3. Anum, F. T. (2020). Organizational environments and employee motivation: A comparative study of public and private institutions in Ghana. *International Journal of Business Research, 14*(1), 67–82.

4. Ayee, J. R. A. (2020). Bureaucracy, administrative culture, and public-sector performance in Ghana. *African Public Administration Review, 8*(1), 15–28.

5. Boateng, P. (2019). Employee perceptions of organizational culture in multinational companies in Ghana. *International Journal of Cross-Cultural Management, 19*(4), 411–429.

6. Frempong, G. (2022). Cross-cultural adjustment and work behavior among Ghanaian employees in multinational firms. *Management Research Review, 45*(6), 812–829.

7. Hofstede, G. (2001). *Culture's consequences: Comparing values, behaviors, institutions, and organizations across nations* (2nd ed.). Sage.

8. Kim, Y. Y. (2001). *Becoming intercultural: An integrative theory of communication and cross-cultural adaptation*. Sage.

9. Mensah, P. K., & Agyapong, V. (2020). Leadership styles and employee work ethic in Ghanaian organisations. *Journal of Emerging Economies, 12*(3), 201–218.

10. Ohemeng, F. L. K. (2017). Reforming the Ghanaian public sector: Cultural constraints and managerial implications. *Public Organization Review, 17*(4), 529–546.

11. Osei, C., & Amankwah, E. (2019). Work ethic and job performance in Ghana's public sector. *Ghana Social Science Journal, 16*(1), 103–122.

12. Schein, E. H. (2010). *Organizational culture and leadership* (4th ed.). Jossey-Bass.

13. Tsegah, M. (2021). Ghanaian employees' experiences in foreign-owned companies: A cultural analysis. *Journal of International Employment Relations, 29*(2), 65–84.

14. Ward, C., Bochner, S., & Furnham, A. (2001). *The psychology of culture shock* (2nd ed.). Routledge.

15. Yamoah, E. E. (2018). Employee discipline, organizational culture, and work behavior in Ghana. *African Journal of Economic and Management Studies, 9*(2), 234–249.