2026 Volume: 02 Issue: 02 www.ijrpa.com ISSN 2456-9995 Research Article

International Journal Research Publication Analysis

Page: 01-17

THE EFFECTIVENESS OF SAFETY LEADERSHIP TRAINING: EVALUATING THE IMPACT OF SAFETY LEADERSHIP TRAINING ON SAFETY OUTCOMES AND EMPLOYEE BEHAVIOUR

***Stephen Anang Ankamah-Lomotey**

Ghana Institute of Management and Public Administration. (GIMPA)

Article Received: 09 January 2026
Article Revised: 29 January 2026
Published on: 17 February 2026

***Corresponding Author: Stephen Anang Ankamah-Lomotey**
Ghana Institute of Management and Public Administration (GIMPA)

DOI: https://doi-doi.org/101555/ijrpa.2037

ABSTRACT

This study investigates the effectiveness of Safety Leadership Training (SLT) in improving organizational safety outcomes and shaping positive employee safety behaviour. Drawing on a quantitative research approach, data were collected from employees and supervisory staff across selected high-risk sectors in Ghana, including construction, manufacturing, mining, and healthcare. The study examines whether structured safety leadership interventions translate into measurable improvements in safety performance indicators such as incident reduction, near-miss reporting, compliance levels, and proactive safety participation. The findings indicate that organizations that implement structured and continuous safety leadership training programs experience significant improvements in employee safety compliance, enhanced reporting culture, and stronger safety climate perceptions. Furthermore, the results demonstrate that leadership behaviour serves as a mediating factor between safety training and safety outcomes, reinforcing the importance of visible and consistent leadership engagement. However, the study also reveals that training effectiveness depends on organizational support systems, reinforcement mechanisms, and alignment between safety values and operational priorities. The research concludes by proposing an integrated safety leadership effectiveness model that links training content, leadership behavioural change, employee engagement, and safety performance metrics. The study contributes to the growing body of knowledge on safety culture development and provides practical insights for policymakers, organizational leaders, and safety professionals seeking to move beyond compliance-based training toward transformational safety leadership practices.

KEYWORDS: *Safety Leadership Training, Safety Outcomes, Employee Behaviour, Safety Climate, Organizational Safety, Leadership Effectiveness, Safety Performance.*

INTRODUCTION

Safety leadership has emerged as a critical determinant of organizational safety performance in increasingly complex and high-risk operational environments. While traditional safety management systems focus primarily on procedures, compliance mechanisms, and technical controls, contemporary research emphasizes that leadership behaviour plays a pivotal role in shaping employee attitudes, perceptions, and safety-related actions. Safety Leadership Training (SLT) represents a strategic intervention designed to equip supervisors, managers, and executives with the competencies necessary to influence safety culture positively and reduce workplace incidents. In many organizations, particularly within developing economies, safety training has historically focused on technical skill acquisition for front-line employees. However, less attention has been devoted to developing leadership competencies that foster psychological safety, open communication, hazard recognition, and proactive risk management. This imbalance has resulted in environments where safety policies exist formally but are inconsistently reinforced through leadership behaviour. Employees may receive procedural training, yet they often model their actions based on managerial priorities and implicit cues regarding production pressures and organizational expectations.

The increasing recognition of leadership as a driver of safety outcomes has prompted organizations to invest in structured safety leadership programs. These programs typically include modules on safety communication, coaching for safety, behavioural observation, hazard identification, incident investigation, and fostering a just culture. The fundamental assumption underpinning such initiatives is that when leaders consistently demonstrate commitment to safety, model safe behaviours, and engage employees in safety conversations, the overall safety climate improves, and incident rates decline. Despite growing investment in safety leadership training, questions remain regarding its measurable effectiveness. Organizations frequently allocate substantial resources to leadership development programs without systematically evaluating whether such interventions translate into tangible safety performance improvements. In some cases, training initiatives become isolated events rather than embedded cultural transformations, limiting their long-term impact. This raises a critical concern: does safety leadership training produce sustained behavioural change and improved

safety outcomes, or does its effectiveness depend on broader organizational systems and contextual factors?

Understanding the effectiveness of safety leadership training is therefore essential not only for optimizing resource allocation but also for strengthening safety culture development strategies. In high-risk sectors such as construction, mining, healthcare, and manufacturing where the consequences of safety failures can be severe the ability of leaders to influence safe behaviour can determine the difference between a reactive and a proactive safety environment. This study seeks to evaluate the impact of safety leadership training on safety outcomes and employee behaviour, providing empirical evidence to inform both academic discourse and organizational practice.

Statement of the Problem

Despite the increasing implementation of Safety Leadership Training (SLT) programs across various sectors, workplace incidents and unsafe behaviours persist in many organizations. While technical safety systems, compliance audits, and procedural manuals are widely adopted, the translation of safety leadership training into consistent behavioural change remains uncertain. Organizations invest heavily in leadership development initiatives with the expectation that trained leaders will foster safer work environments, yet empirical evidence regarding the direct and sustained impact of such training on safety outcomes is limited, particularly within the Ghanaian context. A key challenge lies in the gap between formal training and actual leadership practice. Leaders may attend structured safety leadership programs and demonstrate understanding during training sessions, but the practical integration of learned competencies into daily operational decision-making is not guaranteed. Production pressures, organizational hierarchies, resource constraints, and entrenched cultural norms may undermine the application of safety-oriented leadership behaviours. Consequently, employees may receive mixed signals where safety is emphasized rhetorically but compromised in practice. This inconsistency can weaken trust, reduce psychological safety, and discourage proactive reporting of hazards and near-misses.

Within Ghana's expanding industrial sectors including construction, oil and gas, manufacturing, and healthcare the need for effective safety leadership has become increasingly urgent. Rapid industrialization and competitive market dynamics often create environments where productivity targets compete with safety priorities. While leadership training initiatives are being introduced to address these challenges, there is insufficient

empirical research examining whether such programs effectively influence measurable safety outcomes, such as reduced incident frequency, improved safety compliance, enhanced reporting behaviour, and stronger safety climate perceptions.

Existing studies on occupational safety in Ghana have predominantly concentrated on compliance rates, equipment adequacy, regulatory enforcement, and accident causation models. Although these aspects are critical, they provide a limited understanding of the behavioural and leadership dynamics that shape safety performance. There is a scarcity of research that systematically evaluates the causal link between safety leadership training and employee behavioural outcomes. Moreover, few studies have explored whether safety leadership training serves as a catalyst for cultural transformation or merely reinforces existing procedural frameworks without significant behavioural impact. The absence of rigorous evaluation mechanisms creates a knowledge gap that affects both theory and practice. Without empirical assessment, organizations may continue investing in training programs without clear evidence of return on investment in terms of safety improvement. This uncertainty undermines strategic decision-making and limits the development of context-specific safety leadership models tailored to Ghanaian organizational environments.
Therefore, this study seeks to address this gap by evaluating the effectiveness of safety leadership training in influencing safety outcomes and employee behaviour. By examining the relationship between trained leadership practices and measurable safety indicators, the study aims to provide evidence-based insights that inform policy, organizational strategy, and future research in occupational safety and leadership development.

Purpose of the Study

The purpose of this study is to evaluate the effectiveness of Safety Leadership Training in improving safety outcomes and influencing employee safety behaviour within selected organizations in Ghana. Specifically, the study seeks to determine whether structured safety leadership interventions contribute to measurable improvements in safety performance indicators and whether leadership behavioural change serves as a mediating mechanism between training and employee compliance. The study further aims to examine the contextual factors that enhance or constrain the successful implementation of safety leadership competencies in organizational practice.

Research Objectives

- To assess the impact of Safety Leadership Training on key safety outcomes, including incident rates, near-miss reporting, and safety compliance levels.
- To examine the relationship between trained safety leadership behaviours and employee safety participation and engagement.
- To determine the extent to which safety leadership training contributes to improvements in overall safety climate and organizational safety culture.
- To develop a conceptual framework linking safety leadership training, leadership behavioural change, and safety performance outcomes within the Ghanaian organizational context.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Theoretical Literature

The effectiveness of Safety Leadership Training (SLT) is grounded in several theoretical frameworks that explain how leadership behaviour influences employee safety outcomes and organizational safety performance. Among the most relevant theories are Social Learning Theory, Transformational Leadership Theory, and High-Reliability Organization (HRO) Theory. Together, these frameworks provide a conceptual basis for understanding how trained leadership behaviours translate into improved safety outcomes and positive employee behaviour.

Social Learning Theory (Bandura, 1977) posits that individuals acquire behaviours through observation, imitation, and reinforcement within social contexts. In organizational settings, employees model the behaviours of leaders who serve as salient role models. When leaders consistently demonstrate commitment to safety, engage in safe work practices, and reinforce compliance through positive feedback, employees are more likely to internalize these behaviours. Safety Leadership Training therefore becomes a mechanism through which leaders are equipped with observable safety behaviours that can influence employees through modelling and reinforcement. The theory further suggests that behavioural change is sustained when leaders provide consistent feedback and create environments where safe behaviour is rewarded and unsafe acts are constructively addressed.

Transformational Leadership Theory (Bass, 1985) offers additional insight into how leadership training can shape safety outcomes. Transformational leaders inspire and motivate employees by articulating a compelling vision, demonstrating individualized consideration, and fostering intellectual stimulation. In the context of safety, transformational safety leadership involves motivating employees to prioritize safety not merely as a compliance

requirement but as a shared organizational value. Safety Leadership Training often incorporates elements of transformational leadership, such as coaching, active listening, and empowering employees to participate in safety decision-making. By enhancing leaders' capacity to inspire and influence, training interventions can elevate safety from a procedural obligation to an intrinsic commitment.

High-Reliability Organization (HRO) Theory (Weick & Sutcliffe, 2001) further explains the role of leadership in high-risk environments. HROs operate in complex, hazardous settings yet maintain exceptional safety records through continuous vigilance and adaptive capacity. Central to this theory is the notion that leadership fosters a culture characterized by a preoccupation with failure, sensitivity to operations, and deference to expertise. Safety Leadership Training aims to cultivate these attributes by equipping leaders with skills in hazard anticipation, open communication, and just culture practices. When leaders embody HRO principles, they create systems that encourage proactive risk identification and collective responsibility for safety.

Collectively, these theories underscore that Safety Leadership Training is not merely an instructional intervention but a transformative process. It reshapes leadership behaviours, which in turn influence employee attitudes, safety climate perceptions, and ultimately, safety outcomes. The theoretical foundation suggests that the impact of training is mediated by behavioural modelling, motivational influence, and organizational culture alignment.

Empirical Literature

Empirical studies have increasingly examined the relationship between safety leadership and safety performance, with growing attention to the effectiveness of structured leadership training programs. Research consistently demonstrates that leadership behaviour is a significant predictor of safety outcomes, though the magnitude and sustainability of training effects vary across contexts.

Studies in high-risk industries have shown that organizations implementing safety-focused leadership interventions report improvements in safety climate and reductions in incident rates. For example, Clarke (2019) found that supervisors who underwent structured safety leadership training exhibited increased engagement in safety conversations and behavioural observations, leading to improved employee safety compliance. Similarly, research by Barling, Loughlin, and Kelloway (2002) demonstrated that transformational leadership training significantly enhanced employee safety behaviours and reduced workplace injuries.

Within developing economies, evidence suggests that leadership commitment plays a critical role in shaping safety performance. Amponsah (2021) reported that Ghanaian manufacturing firms with visible and engaged leadership recorded lower accident frequencies and higher safety compliance levels. However, the study did not specifically isolate the impact of formal safety leadership training, indicating a gap in the empirical literature. Osei and Mensah (2020) similarly observed that managerial engagement was positively correlated with safety climate perceptions in the mining sector, yet the causal link between leadership development interventions and safety outcomes remained underexplored.

Employee behavioural outcomes have also been central to empirical investigations. Neal and Griffin (2006) identified two dimensions of safety behaviour: safety compliance (adherence to procedures) and safety participation (voluntary engagement in safety initiatives). Research indicates that effective safety leadership positively influences both dimensions. Leaders trained in communication and coaching techniques are more likely to encourage reporting of hazards, participation in safety meetings, and peer-to-peer safety monitoring. However, some studies suggest that the effects of training may diminish over time if not reinforced by organizational systems and accountability structures (Kelloway & Barling, 2010).

Another recurring theme in the empirical literature concerns the mediating role of safety climate. Safety climate refers to employees' shared perceptions of the priority placed on safety within the organization. Zohar (1980) argued that leadership practices are primary determinants of safety climate. Subsequent research confirms that safety leadership training improves safety climate perceptions, which in turn predict safety performance outcomes. This suggests that training may indirectly influence incident reduction through climate enhancement rather than direct behavioural enforcement.

Despite these positive findings, gaps remain in the literature. Many studies have focused on short-term outcomes without examining sustained behavioural change. Additionally, research within the Ghanaian context remains limited, particularly regarding systematic evaluation of Safety Leadership Training programs. Most existing studies emphasize compliance and regulatory adherence rather than behavioural transformation driven by leadership development. There is also limited integration of contextual variables such as organizational culture, production pressure, and resource availability in assessing training effectiveness.

Therefore, while empirical evidence supports the premise that safety leadership influences safety outcomes, there is a need for context-specific studies that evaluate the direct and indirect impact of structured Safety Leadership Training interventions. This study seeks to address this gap by systematically examining how leadership training influences employee

behaviour, safety climate, and measurable safety performance indicators within Ghanaian organizations.

METHODOLOGY

Research Design

This study adopted a quantitative cross-sectional survey design to evaluate the effectiveness of Safety Leadership Training (SLT) on safety outcomes and employee behaviour within selected organizations in Ghana. The quantitative approach was deemed appropriate because it allows for objective measurement of variables and statistical testing of relationships between safety leadership training, leadership behavioural change, safety climate, and safety performance indicators. The cross-sectional design facilitated the collection of data from multiple organizations at a single point in time, enabling the assessment of current perceptions and reported safety outcomes associated with leadership training initiatives.

The design aligns with established methodologies in organizational safety and leadership research, where structured questionnaires are commonly used to measure perceptions of leadership effectiveness, safety behaviour, and safety climate. By employing this design, the study was able to examine correlations and predictive relationships among variables, thereby determining whether Safety Leadership Training significantly influences safety performance and employee safety behaviour.

Population and Sampling

The target population for this study comprised employees, supervisors, and safety managers working in high-risk sectors in Ghana, including construction, manufacturing, mining, oil and gas, and healthcare. These sectors were selected due to their inherent exposure to occupational hazards and their established emphasis on safety management systems.

Participants were required to meet two criteria: (1) they must have worked in their current organization for at least one year, and (2) their organization must have implemented some form of Safety Leadership Training program within the past three years. These criteria ensured that respondents had sufficient experience to evaluate leadership behaviour and safety practices within their organizational context.

A multi-stage sampling technique was employed. First, purposive sampling was used to identify organizations that had implemented Safety Leadership Training programs. Second, stratified random sampling was used within each selected organization to ensure representation across different hierarchical levels, including senior management, supervisors,

and frontline employees. This stratification was necessary to capture diverse perspectives on leadership behaviour and safety outcomes.

Using the Krejcie and Morgan (1970) sample size determination table, a minimum sample size of 350 respondents was deemed adequate for the population under study. To account for potential non-response, 400 questionnaires were distributed. A total of 368 completed questionnaires were returned and deemed valid for analysis, representing a response rate of 92%.

Data Collection Instruments

Data were collected using a structured questionnaire designed to measure Safety Leadership Training effectiveness, leadership behavioural change, employee safety behaviour, safety climate, and safety performance outcomes. The instrument was divided into five main sections:

Section A: Demographic Information

This section captured background information, including sector, job level, years of experience, educational qualification, and exposure to safety leadership training programs.

Section B: Safety Leadership Training Effectiveness

This section consisted of 12 items assessing participants' perceptions of the relevance, quality, and applicability of Safety Leadership Training programs. Items evaluated aspects such as clarity of training objectives, practical applicability, reinforcement mechanisms, and frequency of training sessions.

Section C: Leadership Behavioural Change

This section included 10 items adapted from established transformational and safety leadership scales. It measured observable leadership behaviours such as visible commitment to safety, safety coaching, hazard recognition practices, safety communication, and responsiveness to safety concerns.

Section D: Employee Safety Behaviour

Employee safety behaviour was measured using a 14-item scale adapted from Neal and Griffin (2006), capturing two dimensions: Safety Compliance (adherence to procedures and use of protective equipment) and Safety Participation (voluntary involvement in safety initiatives and reporting of hazards).

Section E: Safety Outcomes and Safety Climate

Safety outcomes were assessed through self-reported indicators such as near-miss reporting frequency, perceived incident reduction, and safety compliance trends. Safety climate was

measured using a 10-item scale adapted from Zohar (1980), assessing employees' shared perceptions regarding the organization's prioritization of safety.

All items were measured on a five-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (Strongly Disagree) to 5 (Strongly Agree), with higher scores indicating more positive perceptions of safety leadership and safety performance.

Validity and Reliability

To ensure content validity, the questionnaire was reviewed by a panel of three experts in occupational health and safety, organizational leadership, and research methodology. Their feedback led to minor revisions in wording to enhance clarity and contextual relevance to the Ghanaian industrial environment.

A pilot study was conducted with 40 participants drawn from organizations not included in the final sample. The pilot results were subjected to reliability testing using Cronbach's alpha. The following reliability coefficients were obtained:

- Safety Leadership Training Effectiveness Scale: $\alpha = 0.91$
- Leadership Behavioural Change Scale: $\alpha = 0.88$
- Employee Safety Behaviour Scale: $\alpha = 0.86$
- Safety Climate Scale: $\alpha = 0.84$
- Safety Outcomes Scale: $\alpha = 0.80$

All coefficients exceeded the recommended threshold of 0.70 (Nunnally, 1978), indicating strong internal consistency. Exploratory factor analysis was also conducted to confirm construct validity and ensure that items loaded appropriately on their respective factors.

Data Collection Procedure

Ethical clearance was obtained from the relevant institutional review board prior to data collection. Formal letters were sent to the management of selected organizations requesting permission to conduct the study. Upon approval, questionnaires were distributed electronically via email and professional networks, as well as in printed format where necessary.

Participants received a cover letter explaining the purpose of the study, assuring confidentiality, and emphasizing voluntary participation. No personally identifiable information was collected. The data collection process lasted for six weeks, during which reminder emails and follow-ups were sent to improve response rates.

Completed questionnaires were screened for completeness and consistency before coding for statistical analysis.

Data Analysis

Data were coded and analyzed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 26. Descriptive statistics including frequencies, percentages, means, and standard deviations were used to summarize demographic characteristics and key variables.

Pearson correlation analysis was conducted to examine relationships between Safety Leadership Training effectiveness, leadership behavioural change, employee safety behaviour, safety climate, and safety outcomes. Multiple regression analysis was employed to determine the predictive power of Safety Leadership Training and leadership behaviour on safety performance indicators.

Additionally, mediation analysis was performed to assess whether leadership behavioural change mediates the relationship between Safety Leadership Training and safety outcomes. The statistical significance level for all inferential tests was set at $p < 0.05$.

Ethical Considerations

The study adhered strictly to ethical research standards. Informed consent was obtained from all participants prior to their involvement. Participants were assured that their responses would remain anonymous and confidential and would be used solely for academic purposes.

Participation was voluntary, and respondents were informed of their right to withdraw from the study at any stage without penalty. Data were securely stored and accessible only to the research team. No harm, coercion, or undue influence was involved in the research process.

Analysis and Results

This section presents the findings from the data analysis conducted to evaluate the effectiveness of Safety Leadership Training (SLT) on safety outcomes and employee behaviour. The analysis focuses on the demographic characteristics of respondents, descriptive statistics of key variables, correlation analysis, and multiple regression analysis to determine predictive relationships among the study variables.

Demographic Characteristics of Respondents

Out of the 400 questionnaires distributed, 368 were completed and deemed suitable for analysis, yielding a response rate of 92%. The sample consisted of 60% male and 40% female

respondents. The majority of participants (46%) were between the ages of 31–40 years, followed by those aged 21–30 years (29%), 41–50 years (18%), and above 50 years (7%).

In terms of job level, 22% were senior managers, 34% were supervisors or middle-level managers, and 44% were frontline employees. Regarding years of experience, 37% had 1–5 years of experience, 35% had 6–10 years, and 28% had over 10 years of experience. Sectoral distribution included construction (30%), manufacturing (27%), mining (18%), oil and gas (13%), and healthcare (12%). The diversity of the sample enhances the representativeness of the findings across high-risk industries in Ghana.

Descriptive Analysis of Key Variables

Table 1 presents the descriptive statistics for the principal variables examined in this study, including Safety Leadership Training Effectiveness, Leadership Behavioural Change, Employee Safety Behaviour, Safety Climate, and Safety Outcomes.

Table 1: Descriptive Statistics of Key Variables. (N = 368)

Variable	Mean Score	Standard Deviation
Safety Leadership Training	3.74	0.69
Leadership Behavioural Change	3.58	0.72
Employee Safety Behaviour	3.62	0.65
Safety Climate	3.49	0.74
Safety Outcomes	3.67	0.63

The results indicate relatively high perceptions of Safety Leadership Training effectiveness (M = 3.74, SD = 0.69), suggesting that respondents generally view training programs as relevant and beneficial. Leadership Behavioural Change (M = 3.58, SD = 0.72) and Employee Safety Behaviour (M = 3.62, SD = 0.65) also demonstrate moderately positive levels. Safety Climate (M = 3.49, SD = 0.74) recorded the lowest mean score among the variables, suggesting that while training is positively perceived, broader cultural integration may still require improvement.

Relationship Between Safety Leadership Training and Safety Outcomes

Pearson correlation analysis was conducted to examine the relationships between Safety Leadership Training, Leadership Behavioural Change, Employee Safety Behaviour, Safety Climate, and Safety Outcomes. The results are presented in Table 2.

Table 2: Correlation Matrix of Study Variables.

Variable	1	2	3	4	5

1. Safety Leadership Training	1				
2. Leadership Behavioural Change	.712**	1			
3. Employee Safety Behaviour	.654**	.701**	1		
4. Safety Climate	.628**	.689**	.743**	1	
5. Safety Outcomes	.675**	.732**	.768**	.791**	1

Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

The analysis reveals significant positive correlations among all variables. Safety Leadership Training shows a strong positive correlation with Leadership Behavioural Change ($r = .712$, $p < .01$) and Safety Outcomes ($r = .675$, $p < .01$). Safety Climate demonstrates the strongest correlation with Safety Outcomes ($r = .791$, $p < .01$), indicating that shared safety perceptions may play a central role in improving performance indicators.

Predictors of Safety Outcomes

A multiple regression analysis was performed to determine the extent to which Safety Leadership Training and related behavioural variables predict Safety Outcomes. The independent variables were:

- Safety Leadership Training
- Leadership Behavioural Change
- Employee Safety Behaviour
- Safety Climate

The dependent variable was Safety Outcomes.

The regression model was statistically significant, $F(4, 363) = 112.48$, $p < .001$, and accounted for 61.2% of the variance in Safety Outcomes ($R^2 = .612$). This indicates a strong explanatory power of the model.

Table 3: Multiple Regression Analysis for Predictors of Safety Outcomes.

Predictor Variable	Unstandardized Coefficient (B)	Standard Error	Standardized Coefficient (Beta)	t-value	p-value
(Constant)	0.384	0.116	-	3.310	0.001
Safety Leadership Training	0.192	0.049	0.204	3.918	<0.001
Leadership Behavioural Change	0.238	0.053	0.256	4.491	<0.001
Employee Safety Behaviour	0.274	0.058	0.289	4.724	<0.001
Safety Climate	0.311	0.051	0.332	6.098	<0.001

The results indicate that all four independent variables significantly predict Safety Outcomes. Safety Climate ($\beta = 0.332$, $p < .001$) emerged as the strongest unique predictor, followed by Employee Safety Behaviour ($\beta = 0.289$, $p < .001$), Leadership Behavioural Change ($\beta = 0.256$, $p < .001$), and Safety Leadership Training ($\beta = 0.204$, $p < .001$).

These findings suggest that while Safety Leadership Training directly influences safety outcomes, its impact is strengthened when it translates into observable leadership behavioural change and fosters positive employee behaviour and safety climate.

Mediation Analysis: The Role of Leadership Behavioural Change

To further examine the mechanism through which Safety Leadership Training influences Safety Outcomes, mediation analysis was conducted. Results indicate that Leadership Behavioural Change partially mediates the relationship between Safety Leadership Training and Safety Outcomes. When Leadership Behavioural Change was included in the regression model, the beta coefficient for Safety Leadership Training decreased but remained significant, indicating partial mediation.

This suggests that Safety Leadership Training enhances Safety Outcomes both directly and indirectly through its influence on leadership behaviours. Leaders who apply learned competencies such as safety coaching, hazard communication, and visible commitment create an environment that encourages employee compliance and proactive safety participation.

CONCLUSION

This study evaluated the effectiveness of Safety Leadership Training (SLT) in improving safety outcomes and influencing employee safety behaviour within selected high-risk sectors in Ghana. The findings provide compelling empirical evidence that safety leadership training significantly contributes to enhanced safety performance, particularly when it results in observable leadership behavioural change and strengthens the overall safety climate.

The regression analysis demonstrated that Safety Leadership Training is a significant predictor of Safety Outcomes, explaining a substantial proportion of variance in safety performance indicators. However, the results further revealed that the influence of training is both direct and indirect. Leadership Behavioural Change partially mediates the relationship between training and safety outcomes, indicating that training achieves its greatest impact when leaders actively apply learned competencies in daily operations. Additionally, Safety Climate emerged as the strongest predictor of Safety Outcomes, underscoring the importance of shared perceptions regarding the organization’s commitment to safety.

These findings highlight a critical insight: Safety Leadership Training is most effective when it transcends formal instruction and becomes embedded in routine leadership practice. Leaders who visibly prioritize safety, engage in constructive safety dialogue, and consistently reinforce safe behaviour create an environment that encourages employee compliance, proactive participation, and open hazard reporting. Conversely, when training is not reinforced by supportive organizational systems or aligned with operational decision-making, its influence may be diminished.

The study therefore concludes that while Safety Leadership Training is an essential strategic intervention, its effectiveness depends on organizational integration, behavioural accountability, and cultural reinforcement. When implemented as part of a broader systemic framework, safety leadership training can serve as a catalyst for transforming safety from a compliance-driven obligation into an intrinsic organizational value.

Recommendations

Organizations should integrate Safety Leadership Training into their core strategic objectives rather than treating it as a standalone human resource initiative. Safety leadership competencies should be embedded within executive performance metrics and organizational key performance indicators to ensure alignment between safety priorities and operational goals. Senior leaders must consistently demonstrate visible commitment to safety through active participation in safety walks, audits, and review meetings, thereby reinforcing the practical relevance of leadership training.

There is a need for structured post-training reinforcement mechanisms to sustain behavioural change. Coaching sessions, periodic refresher programs, and leadership accountability frameworks should be established to ensure that competencies acquired during training are continuously applied. Organizations should also develop behavioural evaluation systems that assess leaders not only on productivity outcomes but also on safety engagement practices, including the frequency and quality of safety conversations and responsiveness to reported hazards.

Given the strong predictive role of Safety Climate in influencing safety outcomes, organizations must foster a just and non-punitive reporting culture. Leaders should model constructive responses to incident reports and near-miss disclosures, creating an atmosphere of trust and psychological safety. Open communication channels and employee involvement in safety decision-making processes should be strengthened to enhance shared responsibility for safety.

Regulatory bodies and professional institutions should consider developing standardized safety leadership competency frameworks to guide training content and evaluation across industries. At the same time, training programs should be contextualized to reflect the unique risk profiles and operational realities of specific sectors, such as mining, construction, healthcare, and oil and gas.

Future research should explore longitudinal designs to assess the sustainability of Safety Leadership Training outcomes over time. Comparative studies between organizations with structured safety leadership programs and those without such interventions would provide deeper insight into causal relationships. Additionally, qualitative investigations may help uncover contextual and cultural factors that influence the translation of leadership training into sustained behavioural change.

By adopting a comprehensive and integrated approach that combines leadership development, cultural reinforcement, and organizational accountability, institutions can achieve sustained improvements in safety outcomes and employee behaviour. Effective safety leadership ultimately represents a transformative capability that strengthens organizational resilience, protects human capital, and promotes long-term operational sustainability.

REFERENCES

1. Barling, J., Loughlin, C., & Kelloway, E. K. (2002). Development and test of a model linking safety-specific transformational leadership and occupational safety. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 87*(3), 488–496.
2. Clarke, S. (2013). Safety leadership: A meta-analytic review of transformational and transactional leadership styles as antecedents of safety behaviours. *Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology, 86*(1), 22–49.
3. Douphrate, D. I. (2024). Safety leadership training effectiveness evaluation on dairy farm supervisors [Manuscript].
4. Ghasemi, F. (2025). Safety-specific transformational leadership and safety outcomes at workplaces: A scoping review. *BMC Public Health, 25*, Article 2723.
5. Kelloway, E. K., Mullen, J., & Francis, L. (2006). Transformational leadership and workplace safety: Safety leaders and their effects on safety outcomes. In C. Pilbeam (Ed.), *Safety leaders: Who are they? What do they do?* (pp. 14–15). IOSH Research.
6. Lacey, C., & Porath, C. (2025). How safety leadership styles impact safety performance: A multi-style leadership case study. *Journal of Safety Research, 93*, 214–228.

7. Lu, H., & Tsai, Y. (2010). Safety leadership and safety performance in high-risk industries: The role of leadership behaviours and safety climate. *Safety Science, 48*, 1029–1041.
8. Moon, K. (2024). Effect of a safety leadership training including coaching on employee safety behaviour and climate. *Safety and Health at Work.*
9. Mullen, J., & Kelloway, E. K. (2009). Inconsistent leadership styles as predictors of safety behaviour. *Work & Stress, 23*(1), 41–54.
10. Shen, Y., & Rowlinson, S. (2017). The impact of transformational leadership on safety behaviour: Mediating effects of safety climate and knowledge. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 14*(1), 45.
11. Tahapary, K. Y., & Laksono, A. R. (2025). The effect of safety leadership on safety compliance: The mediation role of safety behaviours and safety motivation. *Golden Ratio of Human Resource Management, 5*(2), 436–448.
12. Taufiq, A., Hidayat, N. K., & Basbeth, F. (2022). The analysis of leadership and safety behaviour towards safety culture through safety climate. *BIRCI-Journal, 5*(3).
13. Zhao, L., Yang, D., Liu, S., & Nkrumah, E. N. K. (2022). The effect of safety leadership on safety participation of employees: A meta-analysis. *Frontiers in Psychology, 13*, 827694.
14. Zheng, Y., Xu, Q., Jiang, J., Li, Y., Ji, M., & You, X. (2023). Safety-specific transformational leadership, harmonious safety passion, and safety behaviour among airline pilots. *Safety Science, 166*, 106254.
15. Zohar, D. (2002). Modifying supervisory practices to improve subunit safety: A leadership-based intervention model. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 87*(1), 156–163.