

INCIDENCE AND ANTIBIOGRAM OF *SALMOENLLA SPECIES* IN POULTRY FEEDS AND DROPPINGS FROM SELECTED FARMS IN BAUCHI METROPOLIS

Abubakar Umar¹, Mukhtar Adamu Muhammad*¹, Abduljalil Idris Ismail², Khamisu Tshoho Musa³, Ishaku Sulei⁴, Maryam Adamu Bappah⁵, Mohammed Dahiru Ahmed⁶, Francis Japhet Udo⁷, Nasirusani Gital⁸, Aishatu Muhammad Ambi⁹, Bilal Abdullahi Muhammad¹⁰

¹Microbiology Department, Faculty of Science, Abubakar Tafawa Balewa University Bauchi State, Nigeria.

¹Biological Science Department, Faculty of Science, Abubakar Tafawa Balewa University Bauchi State, Nigeria.

²Molecular Genetics and Infectious Diseases Research Laboratory, Abubakar Tafawa Balewa University Bauchi State, Nigeria.

³Department of Public Health, Global Health and Infectious Diseases Control Institute, Nasarawa State University Keffi, Nasarawa State, Nigeria.

⁴Biological Sciences Department, Faculty of Science, Abubakar Tafawa Balewa University Bauchi State, Nigeria.

⁵Department of Medical Microbiology, College of Medical Sciences, Abubakar Tafawa Balewa University Bauchi State, Nigeria.

⁶Postgraduate Schools, Department of Microbiology, Saadu Zungur University, Bauchi State, Nigeria.

⁷Biological Sciences Department, Faculty of Science, Abubakar Tafawa Balewa University Bauchi State, Nigeria.

⁸Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Science, Federal University of Kashere, Gombe State, Nigeria.

⁹Microbiology Department, Faculty of Science, Abubakar Tafawa Balewa University Bauchi State, Nigeria.

¹⁰Nigeria Centre for Disease Control and Prevention.

Article Received: 12 May 2026

Article Revised: 02 June 2026

Published on: 22 June 2026

*Corresponding Author: Mukhtar Adamu Muhammad

Molecular Genetics and Infectious Diseases Research Laboratory, Abubakar Tafawa Balewa University Bauchi State, Nigeria.

DOI: <https://doi-org/101555/ijrpa.8214>

ABSTRACT

Background of the Study: Poultry diseases, particularly those caused by *Salmonella* species, pose significant challenges to poultry production due to associated economic losses and public health implications. The consumption of improperly cooked poultry products has contributed to the global burden of salmonellosis, including typhoid-like illnesses.

Study Design: A cross-sectional study was conducted to determine the incidence of *Salmonella* species in poultry feeds and droppings, as well as their antimicrobial susceptibility patterns in selected farms within Bauchi metropolis.

Method: A total of 200 samples comprising poultry feeds and droppings were collected from selected farms. Samples were processed, cultured, and identified using standard microbiological techniques including Gram staining and biochemical tests. Antimicrobial susceptibility testing was performed using the Kirby–Bauer disk diffusion method on Mueller–Hinton agar in accordance with Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) guidelines.

Results: The overall prevalence of *Salmonella* species was 52.5%, with a higher occurrence in droppings (59.5%) compared to feeds (46%). No statistically significant difference was observed among the farms. The highest resistance was recorded against Streptomycin (61.9%), Ampicillin (55.2%), and Tetracycline (46.7%), while the highest sensitivity was observed for Ciprofloxacin (77.1%), Gentamicin (64.7%), and Cefoxitin (55.2%). The most common multidrug resistance pattern included Ciprofloxacin, Nalidixic acid, Cefoxitin, and Gentamicin.

Conclusion: The study confirmed the presence of *Salmonella* species in poultry environments, with evidence of multidrug resistance posing a public health risk. Strengthening biosecurity and monitoring antimicrobial use is essential to mitigate contamination and resistance spread.

Keywords: *Salmonella*, Antibigram, Incidence, Poultry, Antimicrobial Resistance.

1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Study Background: *Salmonella* species are Gram-negative, rod-shaped, facultative anaerobic intracellular bacteria of significant public health importance (Eng *et al.*, 2015; World Health Organization, 2020). They are responsible for salmonellosis, a common foodborne infection affecting both humans and animals. Non-typhoidal *Salmonella* infections typically present with diarrhea, abdominal cramps, nausea, and fever within 6–72 hours after ingestion of contaminated food (Majowicz *et al.*, 2010). Globally, foodborne diseases affect nearly one in ten people annually, with *Salmonella* recognized as one of the leading causative agents of diarrheal illnesses (Havelaar *et al.*, 2015).

The poultry industry has expanded significantly in developing countries, driven by increasing demand for animal protein and commercial poultry products (Food and Agriculture Organization, 2016). Poultry represents a major source of affordable protein, particularly in rural communities where backyard poultry farming accounts for a large proportion of production. However, this expansion has also increased the risk of disease transmission due to inadequate biosecurity, poor feed quality, and improper handling practices (Doyle & Erickson, 2006).

In Nigeria, poultry production contributes substantially to food security, yet it remains vulnerable to microbial contamination, including *Salmonella* species. Poultry products, if improperly handled or undercooked, can serve as vehicles for transmitting *Salmonella* infections to humans. Additionally, poultry droppings are widely used as organic fertilizer in agriculture, which may facilitate environmental contamination and further spread of pathogens (Bamidele *et al.*, 2022).

Another growing concern is the emergence of antimicrobial-resistant *Salmonella* strains. The misuse and overuse of antibiotics in poultry farming often for growth promotion and disease prevention have contributed significantly to the development of resistant organisms (Van Boeckel *et al.*, 2015). Indiscriminate antibiotic use, prolonged exposure, and incomplete treatment regimens have further accelerated resistance patterns (Aarestrup, 2015). These resistant strains pose a serious challenge to both veterinary and human medicine, limiting treatment options and increasing the risk of treatment failure.

Despite the recognized importance of *Salmonella*, diagnosis relies heavily on laboratory confirmation, as clinical symptoms alone are insufficient for accurate identification. Isolation, biochemical characterization, and antimicrobial susceptibility testing are essential tools for understanding the epidemiology, virulence, and resistance profiles of *Salmonella* species (Cheesbrough, 2010). Therefore, continuous surveillance of *Salmonella* in poultry environments is critical for informing control strategies and public health interventions.

1.2 Research Gap: Despite the increasing prevalence of poultry farming in Bauchi metropolis, there is limited empirical data on the incidence of *Salmonella* species in poultry feeds and droppings within this region. Most existing studies in Nigeria have focused on poultry meat and eggs, with minimal attention given to environmental sources such as feeds and droppings, which play a crucial role in pathogen transmission and farm contamination. Furthermore, there is insufficient information on the antimicrobial resistance patterns of *Salmonella* isolates from these sources in Bauchi metropolis. This study therefore addresses this gap by providing localized data on the occurrence and antibiogram of *Salmonella* species

in poultry feeds and droppings, which is essential for guiding control measures and policy formulation.

1.3 Literature Review: Several studies have reported varying prevalence rates of *Salmonella* species in poultry farms across different regions, reflecting differences in management practices, environmental conditions, and biosecurity measures. In Nigeria, reported prevalence ranges from 21.4% to over 60%, depending on sample type and study location (Fagbamila *et al.*, 2017; Iroha *et al.*, 2016). Globally, poultry has been identified as a major reservoir for *Salmonella* transmission, with contamination occurring at multiple stages of production, processing, and distribution, thereby posing a continuous risk to public health (Antunes *et al.*, 2016). The persistence of *Salmonella* in poultry environments is often linked to poor hygiene practices, contaminated feed sources, and inadequate waste management systems, which facilitate the spread of the pathogen within and between farms (Doyle & Erickson, 2006). Furthermore, the emergence of antimicrobial resistance among *Salmonella* isolates has become a major global concern, with high resistance rates reported against commonly used antibiotics such as tetracycline, ampicillin, and streptomycin (Jibril *et al.*, 2021). This trend is largely attributed to the widespread and indiscriminate use of antibiotics in poultry production for therapeutic and growth-promoting purposes (Van Boeckel *et al.*, 2015). In addition, poultry droppings have been identified as important reservoirs of resistant bacteria, contributing to environmental contamination and increasing the risk of zoonotic transmission to humans (Bamidele *et al.*, 2022). These findings underscore the need for continuous monitoring of *Salmonella* prevalence and antibiotic susceptibility patterns, particularly in developing countries where regulatory control of antimicrobial use is often limited.

2.0 MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Study Area: The study was conducted in Bauchi metropolis, Bauchi State, located in the North-Eastern geopolitical zone of Nigeria. The state covers approximately 49,119 km², representing about 5.3% of Nigeria's total land area, and lies between latitudes 9.3° and 12.30° North and longitudes 8.5° and 11° East (National Bureau of Statistics, 2019). The region experiences a tropical climate with distinct wet and dry seasons, which influences agricultural and poultry production activities.

2.2 Study Design: A cross-sectional study design was employed. The study involved six registered poultry farms within Bauchi metropolis operating under intensive management systems.

2.3 Sample Collection: A total of 200 samples were collected, comprising feeds and droppings. From each farm, 50 samples were obtained (25 feed samples and 25 droppings samples). Approximately 5 grams of each sample were collected aseptically using sterile spatulas into labeled sterile containers, transported in polythene bags, and delivered to the laboratory for analysis.

2.4 Sample Processing and Culture: Each sample (1 g) was homogenized in 9 ml of distilled water and subjected to serial dilution to reduce microbial load. The pour plate method was used to inoculate samples onto *Salmonella-Shigella* agar (SSA) and *MacConkey* agar plates. Plates were incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. Colonies were examined based on morphological characteristics such as shape, size, pigmentation, and lactose fermentation. Suspected *Salmonella* colonies were sub-cultured onto nutrient agar and further confirmed using biochemical tests. Typical characteristics included smooth, round, colorless colonies on *MacConkey* agar and black-centered colonies on XLD agar due to hydrogen sulfide production.

2.4.1 Gram Staining: Gram staining was performed to confirm the Gram-negative nature of the isolates using standard staining procedures (Cheesbrough, 2010). Smears were heat-fixed, stained sequentially with crystal violet, iodine, alcohol-acetone, and safranin, and examined under oil immersion microscopy.

2.4.2 Biochemical Tests: Biochemical confirmation included catalase, indole, motility, oxidase, methyl red, lactose fermentation, and triple sugar iron (TSI) tests to differentiate *Salmonella* species from other enteric bacteria (Cheesbrough, 2010).

2.5 Antimicrobial Sensitivity Test: Antimicrobial susceptibility testing was conducted using the Kirby–Bauer disk diffusion method on Mueller–Hinton agar following CLSI (2020) guidelines. A standardized inoculum (0.5 McFarland standard) was prepared and swabbed onto agar plates. Antibiotic discs were applied and incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. Zones of inhibition were measured and interpreted according to CLSI standards. Antibiotics tested included Ampicillin, Chloramphenicol, Tetracycline, Streptomycin, Gentamicin, Nalidixic acid, Ciprofloxacin, Cefoxitin, Kanamycin, and Trimethoprim.

2.6 Data Analysis: Data were analyzed using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS). Descriptive statistics such as percentages and tables were used. Chi-square test was used to assess differences in prevalence across farms, with significance set at $p < 0.05$.

3.0 RESULTS

3.1 Distribution and Occurrence of Salmonella spp. in Feeds and Droppings Across the Farms:

The distribution of *Salmonella* spp. across the sampled farms is presented in Table 1. Out of the 200 samples analyzed, 105 (52.5%) were positive for *Salmonella*, indicating a relatively high prevalence. The occurrence was higher in droppings (59 isolates) compared to feeds (46 isolates), suggesting that droppings serve as a more significant reservoir of contamination. Among the farms, Zango Farm recorded the highest prevalence (70%), followed by Dabo Farms (52%), ATBU Farm (48%), and BSADP Farm (40%). Statistical analysis showed no significant difference in the occurrence of *Salmonella* across the farms ($p = 0.2$), indicating a relatively uniform distribution of contamination within the study area.

3.2 Activity of Antimicrobials Against Isolated Salmonella spp:

The antimicrobial susceptibility profile of the isolated *Salmonella* spp. is summarized in Table 2. The results revealed varying degrees of resistance, intermediate response, and sensitivity to the tested antibiotics. High resistance was observed against Streptomycin (61.9%), Ampicillin (55.2%), and Tetracycline (46.6%), indicating reduced effectiveness of these commonly used antibiotics. Moderate resistance was also recorded for Trimethoprim (38.1%) and Kanamycin (29.5%). Conversely, the isolates exhibited high sensitivity to Ciprofloxacin (77.1%), Gentamicin (64.7%), and Cefoxitin (55.2%), suggesting these antibiotics remain effective for the treatment of *Salmonella* infections. Intermediate responses were notably high for Chloramphenicol (40%) and Kanamycin (42.8%), indicating potential variability in therapeutic outcomes. Overall, the results highlight a concerning level of antimicrobial resistance among the isolates.

3.3 Antibiotic Resistance Patterns of Salmonella:

The multidrug resistance (MDR) patterns of the *Salmonella* isolates are presented in Table 3. Several resistance combinations involving two or more antibiotic classes were observed. The most frequent resistance pattern was Ciprofloxacin, Nalidixic acid, Cefoxitin, Gentamicin (13 occurrences), followed by Gentamicin, Cefoxitin, Trimethoprim, Ampicillin, Chloramphenicol, Tetracycline (11 occurrences), indicating resistance across multiple antibiotic classes. Other notable patterns included Ampicillin, Chloramphenicol (16 occurrences) and Kanamycin, Gentamicin, Trimethoprim (12 occurrences). The presence of resistance across up to six antibiotic classes demonstrates a high level of multidrug resistance among the isolates, which poses a serious concern for both veterinary and public health.

Table 1: Distribution and Occurrence of *Salmonella* speciein Feeds and Droppings across the Farms.

Number and Percentage positive of isolated <i>Salmonella</i> species				
Sources	Droppings (%) (n=25)	Feeds (%) (n=25)	Total	P value
Zango Farm	19	16	35 (70%)	0.2
ATBU farm	13	11	24(48%)	
BSADP farm	12	8	20 (40%)	
Dabo farms	15	11	26 (52%)	
Total (n=200)	59	46	105(52.5%)	

Table 2: Activity of Antimicrobials against Isolated *Salmonella* specie from Poultry Droppings and Feeds.

Antimicrobial	Resistant(%)	Intermediate(%)	Sensitivity(%)
Ampicillin	58(55.2)	19(18.1)	28(26.6)
Chloramphenicol	18(17.1)	42(40)	45(42.8)
Tetracycline	49(46.6)	11(10.4)	45(42.8)
Streptomycin	65(61.9)	10(9.5)	30(28.5)
Gentamycin	12(11.4)	25(23.8)	68(64.7)
Nalidixic acid	21(20)	37(35.2)	47(44.7)
Ciproflaxacin	09(8.5)	15(14.2)	81(77.1)
Kanamycin	31(29.5)	45(42.8)	29(27.6)
Cefoxitin	13(12.3)	34(32.3)	58(55.2)
Trimethoprim	40(38.1)	13(12.3)	52(49.5)
TOTAL	316(30.1)	251(23.9)	483(46)

Table 3: Antibiotic Resistant Pattern of *Salmonella* Isolates.

Resistant Pattern	No. of occurrence	Number of antibiotic class
Amp, Ch,	16	2
Amp, Kan, Gen	6	2
Tet, Ch, Kan,Gen, Trim,	8	4
Tet, Amp, Ch, cef	10	4
Gent, str, Amp, Kan, cibr	2	3
Stre, cep, Trim, ch	6	3
Cip Nal, cef, gen	13	3
Gent, cef, Trim, Amp, ch, tet	11	6
Kan, Gen, Trim	12	2

KEY:AMP= ampicillin; C= chloramphenicol; CIP=ciprofloxacin; GN=gentamycin; CEF=cefoxitin; NA= nalidixic acid; S= streptomycin; K, kanamycin; TRIM= sulphamethoxazole/trimethoprim; TE=tetracycline

4.0 DISCUSSION

The findings of this study confirm that poultry farms serve as significant reservoirs for pathogenic bacteria, particularly *Salmonella* spp., which are known to cause foodborne illnesses in humans (Antunes *et al.*, 2016). The higher prevalence observed in droppings compared to feeds aligns with previous reports that fecal matter is a major source of environmental contamination and plays a critical role in the transmission of *Salmonella* within poultry farms (Ifeanyichukwu *et al.*, 2016).

The overall prevalence rate of 52.5% recorded in this study is relatively high and indicates a substantial public health concern. This finding is consistent with studies by Ezemba *et al.* (2021) and Madaki *et al.* (2019), who reported prevalence rates of 62.5% and 66.66%, respectively. Similarly, Mike *et al.* (2004) reported a higher isolation rate of *Salmonella* from poultry droppings compared to other environmental sources. However, lower prevalence rates have also been documented, such as 21.4% by Orum *et al.* (2022) and 2.21% by Martha and Enid (2019). These variations may be attributed to differences in environmental conditions, farm management practices, hygiene standards, and sample sizes (Kabir, 2021).

The high prevalence observed in this study may be linked to poor sanitary conditions and inadequate biosecurity measures in the farms surveyed. Observations during sampling revealed poor hygiene practices, proximity of poultry houses to waste disposal sites, and inadequate separation between poultry facilities and human activities. Such conditions facilitate cross-contamination and enhance the transmission of pathogens through vectors such as rodents, insects, and contaminated equipment (Musa *et al.*, 2014; Kabir, 2021).

The antimicrobial susceptibility results showed high resistance to commonly used antibiotics such as Streptomycin, Ampicillin, and Tetracycline. This pattern is consistent with findings from several studies that reported widespread resistance of *Salmonella* to these antibiotics due to their frequent use in poultry production (Abilla *et al.*, 2021; Mohammed & Dubie, 2022). The high level of resistance observed may be attributed to the indiscriminate use of antibiotics as growth promoters and for prophylactic purposes without proper veterinary supervision.

The isolates showed high sensitivity to Ciprofloxacin, Gentamicin, and Cefoxitin, which is consistent with previous reports by James *et al.* (2016) and Obi and Ike (2015). These antibiotics are less frequently used in poultry production, which may explain their retained effectiveness. However, the emergence of resistance even to these drugs in some isolates is concerning and suggests the potential for future resistance development. The presence of multidrug resistance among the isolates is particularly alarming. In this study, a significant

proportion of isolates exhibited resistance to multiple antibiotic classes, similar to findings reported by Musawa et al. (2021) and Mohammed and Dubie (2022). Multidrug-resistant *Salmonella* strains complicate treatment options and increase the risk of disease spread, especially in settings with limited access to advanced healthcare.

The widespread use of antimicrobials in livestock production has been identified as a major driver of antimicrobial resistance globally (Van Boeckel *et al.*, 2015). In many developing countries, including Nigeria, antibiotics are easily accessible without prescription, leading to misuse and overuse. This practice contributes significantly to the selection and proliferation of resistant bacterial strains within the food chain.

5. CONCLUSION

This study demonstrated a high prevalence of *Salmonella* spp. in poultry feeds and droppings, along with significant levels of antimicrobial resistance and multidrug resistance among the isolates. These findings highlight the potential risk of transmission of resistant pathogens from poultry to humans through the food chain. It's recommended that; strict biosecurity and hygiene measures should be implemented in poultry farms, the use of antibiotics in poultry production should be regulated and monitored, routine surveillance of antimicrobial resistance should be conducted and further studies should focus on molecular characterization of resistance genes.

6. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors acknowledge the support of the staff and management of the selected poultry farms, as well as the laboratory personnel who contributed to the successful completion of this study.

REFERENCES

1. Aarestrup, F. M. (2015). The livestock reservoir for antimicrobial resistance: A personal view on changing patterns of risks, effects of interventions and the way forward. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B*, 370(1670), 20140085.
2. Abilla R Adelani AL Kennedy Gyau Boahen A and Linda Aurelia of ori (2021). Prevalence and Antimicrobial Susceptibility Profiles of *Salmonella* Species in Poultry Farm Environments in Ghana. *Microbiology Research Journal International*. 31(10): 14-23.
3. Ali A. AL-Iedani Mohammed H. Khudor Nael M. Oufi (2014). Isolation And Identification Of *Salmonella Spp.* From Poultry Farms By Using Different

- Techniques And Evaluation Of Their Antimicrobial Susceptibilities. *Basra Journal of veterinary research*.1(1).
4. Antunes, P., Mourao, J., Campos, J., & Peixe, L. (2016). Salmonellosis: The role of poultry meat. *Clinical Microbiology and Infection*.22(2). 110-121.
 5. Antunes, P., Mourão, J., Campos, J., & Peixe, L. (2016). Salmonellosis: The role of poultry meat. *Clinical Microbiology and Infection*, 22(2), 110–121.
 6. Bamidele, O., et al. (2022). Environmental contamination and antimicrobial resistance in poultry production systems. *African Journal of Microbiology Research*, 16(3), 120–128.
 7. CDC (2024). Clinical overview of Salmonellosis. USA.Hhs.gov
 8. Cheesbrough, M. (2010). District laboratory practice in tropical countries (2nd ed.). Cambridge University Press.
 9. Chollom, S.C, Opajobi, S. O., Kandakai-Olukemi, Y.T., Banwat, E. B., *et al.*, (2014). Serotyping Of Chicken Salmonellae In Jos, Plateau State, Nigeria. *International Journal of Current Research*. 6,(4).6302-6306.
 10. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI). (2013). Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing; twenty third informational supplement, CLSI document M100-S23. *Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute*
 11. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI). (2020). Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing. CLSI.
 12. Disasa, Bilisuma. (2023). Salmonella Isolation from Poultry Feed and Water and Its Risk Factors in Jimma Town, Oromia, Southwest Ethiopi. *Asian Journal of Research in Medicine and Medical Science*. 5(1):54-68.
<https://jofmedical.com/index.php/AJRMMS/article/view/14>.
 13. Doyle, M. P., & Erickson, M. C. (2006). Closing the door on the fecal–oral route: A review of microbial hazards in foods. *Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews*, 70(2), 454–482.
 14. Eng, S. K., et al. (2015). Salmonella: A review on pathogenesis, epidemiology and antibiotic resistance. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 6, 1–11.
 15. Ezemba C. C., Okoye P.C, Obi C.P, Eleanya L.C, Udoye, I. (2021). Incidence Of Salmonella And Escherichia Coli In Poultry Feeds. *Journal of Current Biomedical research*.
 16. Fagbamila, I. O., et al. (2017). Prevalence of Salmonella in poultry in Nigeria. *Veterinary World*, 10(5), 123–129.

17. Havelaar, A. H., et al. (2015). World health organization global burden of foodborne diseases. *PLoS Medicine*, 12(12), e1001921.[https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/salmonella-\(non-typhoidal\)](https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/salmonella-(non-typhoidal)).
18. Ifeanyichukwu I, Chika E, Ogonna A, Chidinma I, Monique A, Ikechukwu M, Stanley E, Emmanuel N, Ngozi A, Agabus N (2016). Prevalence and Antibiogram of *Salmonella* species isolated from poultry products. *Journal of Advanced Vet and Ani Research*.3(4): 353-359.
19. Iroha, I. R., et al. (2016). Antibiotic resistance of *Salmonella* isolates from poultry. *African Journal of Clinical and Experimental Microbiology*, 17(2), 95–102.
20. James A.A, Samuel M, Olatunde H. Olabode, B.J. Adah, M.E, *et al.*, (2016). Isolation And Antimicrobial Susceptibility Studies Of *Salmonella* species, From Chickens In Gwagwalada And Kwali Area Councils, Abuja, Federal Capital Territory, Nigeria. *Journal of Agriculture and Veterinary Science*. 9:(5), 18-22.
21. Jibril, A. H., et al. (2021). Antimicrobial resistance in *Salmonella* from poultry sources. *BMC Veterinary Research*, 17, 1–10.
22. Kabir, S.M.L.(2021). Poultry production and the spread of zoonotic diseases: The role of biosecurity and hygienic practices. *Veterinary World*. 14(3), 664-672.
23. Kum F.O., Dayok, O. Gwamzhi D & A.U. Magaji (2023). Isolation and Identification of *Salmonella gallinarum* from Poultry Droppings Used as Manure in Jos East Local Government Area, Plateau State. *FUOYE Journal of Pure and Applied Sciences*.8(1).
24. Lamichhane, B., Mawad, A. M. M., Saleh, M., Kelley, W. G., Harrington, P. J., (2024). Salmonellosis: An Overview of Epidemiology, Pathogenesis, and Innovative Approaches to Mitigate the Antimicrobial Resistant Infections. *Antibiotics*, 13(1), 76. <https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics13010076>
25. Madaki Esther Chat, Anthony John Dadah, Auwalu Uba (2019). Isolation of Enteric Bacteria from Various Sources in Selected Poultry Farms in Kaduna State. *Bioprocess Engineering*. 3,(1).1-5. doi: 10.11648/j.be.20190301.11
26. Majowicz, S. E., et al. (2010). The global burden of non-typhoidal *Salmonella* infections. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, 50(6), 882–889.
27. Martha E.O and Enid G. (2019). Occurrence and Antimicrobial Susceptibility Profiles of *Salmonella* species among Chickens of some Commercial Poultry Farms in Benue State, Nigeria. *UMYO J. of Microbiology research*. 4(1)39-44.

28. Mike U.O, Henry C.O, Theodore I. M (2004). Isolation of Salmonella from poultry droppings and other environmental sources in Awka, Nigeria. *International Journal of infectious disease*. ELSVIER. 1201-9712
29. Mohammed Y, Dubie T.(2022). Isolation, identification and antimicrobial susceptibility profile of Salmonella isolated from poultry farms in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. *Vet Med Sci*.8(3):1166-1173. doi: 10.1002/vms3.762.
30. Musa, I.W. Mansur, M.S.,Sa'idu, L. Mohammed, B And Aliyu, H.B(2014). Poultry Environment and farm Practices Influencing the Isolation rate of Multi-Drug Resistant *Salmonella* from water and Poultry feed in Zaria, Nigeria. *J. of Applied Bio & Biotech*. 2 (01), 013-016.
31. Musawa AI, Bashiru G, Al-Rasheed A, Yakubu Y, Jibril AH, Ballah FM, *et al.*, (2021). Prevalence and antimicrobial susceptibility profiling of Salmonella isolated from poultry products sold in sokoto metropolis, nigeria. *Journal of Animal Health Production*. 9(2): 148-155.
DOI <http://dx.doi.org/10.17582/journal.jahp/2021/9.2.148.155>
32. Obi, O. J. and Ike, A. C. (2015). Prevalence and Antibigram Profile of Salmonellae in Intensively Reared and Backyard Chickens in Nsukka Area, Nigeria. *Nigerian journal of Biotechnology*. 30.18 – 25.
33. Olovo, C.V., Reward E. E., Obi, Scholastica N. and Ike, A. C. (2019). Isolation, Identification and Antibigram of *Salmonella* from Cloacal Swabs of Free Range Poultry in Nsukka, Nigeria. *Journal of Advances in Microbiology* . 17(1):1-9.
34. Orum TG, Ishola OO, Adebawale OO (2022). Occurrence and antimicrobial susceptibility patterns of Salmonella species from poultry farms in Ibadan, Nigeria. *African Journal of Laboratory Medicine*. 20;11(1):1606. doi: 10.4102/ajlm.v11i1.1606.
35. Sheick. F.(2012). Problems faced by small scale poultry farmers in developing countries. *World Poultry*. www.Worldpoultry.net.
36. Stephen A. Enabulele, Peace O. Amune, and Wakili T. Aborisade (2010). Antibigrams of *salmonella* isolates from poultry farms in Ovia North East local government area, Edo State, Nigeria. *Agriculture And Biology Journal Of North America*.1.6.1287.1290.
37. Tyoga K.M, Terzungwe T.S, & Terwase F.I. (2025). Susceptibility Profiles of Salmonella Isolated from Poultry Droppings, Rinse Water of Contaminated Eggs and Poultry Feeds in Makurdi, Nigeria. *International Journal of Pharmaceutical and Bio Medical Science*, 5(2), 110–115. <https://doi.org/10.47191/ijpbms/v5-i2-04>.

38. Ugwu C.C., Nwanko, D.O (2021). Isolation And Identification Of Salmonella Spp. And Shigella Spp. From Different Poultry Feeds, Droppings And Drinking Water Used In Poultry Farms In Ishiagu, Ebonyi State. *International Journal of Innovative Research and Advanced Studies*. 8 (4).
39. Uwaezuoke J.C, Ogbulie J.N.(2008). Microbiological Quality of Commercially Available Poultry Feeds sold in Parts of Eastern Nigeria. *Journal of Applied Science and Environmental Management*.12(1), 113 – 117.
40. Van Boeckel, T. P., et al. (2015). Global trends in antimicrobial use in food animals. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 112(18), 5649–5654.
41. WHO (2018).Salmonella(Non-typhoidal).
42. World Health Organization (WHO). (2020). Salmonella (non-typhoidal). WHO Report.
43. Zaccheus Onumba Dibiaezeue Libiraries, (2025). Bauchi,Pearl of Tourism. <https://zodml.org/discover-nigeria/states/bauchi-state>