2026 Volume: 02 Issue: 01 www.ijrpa.com ISSN 2456-9995 Review Article

International Journal Research Publication Analysis

Page: 01-06

MICROFINANCE INTERVENTIONS AND RURAL WELL-BEING IN TIRUPATTUR DISTRICT

***Dr. Makala Gandhi**

Assistant Professor, PG and Research Department of Economics, Sacred Heart College (Autonomous), Tirupattur- 635601

Received: 25 November 2025
Article Revised: 15 December 2025
Published on: 05 January 2026

***Corresponding Author: Dr. Makala Gandhi**
Assistant Professor, PG and Research Department of Economics, Sacred Heart College (Autonomous), Tirupattur- 635601

DOI: https://doi-doi.org/101555/ijrpa.5747

ABSTRACT

Microfinance has emerged as an important developmental tool in rural India, enabling low-income households to access credit, build assets, and enhance livelihood opportunities. In Tamil Nadu, particularly in Tirupattur district, microfinance institutions (MFIs) and Self-Help Groups (SHGs) play a significant role in supporting vulnerable households. This study examines the influence of microfinance on rural household resilience through three dimensions—income improvement, debt management, and food security. Primary data collected from 180 SHG and MFI borrowers across five blocks of Tirupattur district forms the empirical basis of the study. The results reveal that microfinance participation has a positive association with income stabilization and food security, though issues such as multiple borrowings and rising indebtedness persist. The study concludes by highlighting the need for interest regulation, credit counselling, livelihood diversification, and enhanced government–MFI coordination to strengthen household resilience.

INTRODUCTION

Rural households in India frequently confront multiple forms of vulnerability, including low income, unemployment, crop failures, indebtedness, and food insecurity. In these contexts, microfinance is widely viewed as a mechanism for enhancing household resilience by offering credit access, promoting savings behaviour, and providing social empowerment opportunities. Tirupattur district, located in northern Tamil Nadu, represents a region with significant rural population dependency on agriculture, small-scale industries, livestock rearing, and informal labour.

The expansion of SHGs, bank linkage programmes, and private MFIs has created new financial opportunities for women and marginal households. However, concerns remain regarding rising debt burdens, multiple borrowing, and credit dependency. This study seeks to understand whether microfinance participation enhances rural household resilience by examining three critical parameters- income, debt, and food security.

Statement of the Problem

Despite the increasing penetration of microfinance in Tirupattur district, rural households continue to face financial instability. There is limited empirical evidence on whether microfinance truly enhances resilience or merely adds to debt stress. Rural households often utilize loans for consumption smoothing rather than productive purposes, which may reduce long-term benefits. Food security remains a persistent concern, especially among landless labourers, women-headed households, and migrant-dependent families. This research attempts to identify the real impact of microfinance on household well-being, focusing on the interrelationship between income, debt, and food security.

Objectives of the Study

1. To analyse the socio-economic profile of microfinance borrowers in Tirupattur district.
2. To examine the impact of microfinance on household income.
3. To study the pattern and burden of indebtedness among microfinance borrowers.
4. To evaluate the role of microfinance in improving household food security.
5. To provide suggestions for strengthening microfinance interventions for rural resilience.

Research Methodology

This study is based on a descriptive and analytical research design. Primary data was collected using structured questionnaires from 180 respondents who are members of SHGs or borrowers from MFIs operating in Tirupattur district. A multistage sampling technique was adopted, selecting one block each from Tirupattur, Natrampalli, Vaniyambadi, Jolarpet, and Alangayam. Descriptive statistics, simple averages, cross-tabulations, and index-based analysis were employed for interpretation. Secondary data was obtained from NABARD, government reports, and previous academic studies.

Socio-Economic Profile of Respondents

Table 1: Socio-economic Characteristics of the Respondents. (N = 180)

Variable	Category	Percentage
Gender	Female	92%
Age	25–35 years	38%
	36–45 years	47%
	Above 45 years	15%
Occupation	Agriculture labour	41%
	Small business	27%
	Domestic work	18%
	Others	14%
Monthly Household Income	Below ₹8,000	28%
	₹8,001–₹12,000	44%
	Above ₹12,000	28%
Type of Loan	SHG-bank linkage	62%
	MFI loan	38%

Source: Compiled by the researcher

The data in the above table indicate a high level of women participation (92%), affirming the gender-focused nature of SHGs and MFIs. Most respondents fall in the economically active age group, and nearly half are dependent on agricultural labour, a sector known for income instability. The majority belong to low-income groups, highlighting the relevance of microfinance for vulnerable households.

Impact of Microfinance on Household Income

Microfinance loans are expected to generate additional income through investment in livestock, petty shops, tailoring, and small agri-business activities. The study assessed the change in monthly income before and after accessing microfinance.

Table 2: Change in Household Income Due to Microfinance.

Income Level	Before Loan (%)	After Loan (%)
Below ₹8,000	52%	28%
₹8,001–₹12,000	36%	44%
Above ₹12,000	12%	28%

Source: Compiled by the researcher

There is a clear upward shift in income categories after microfinance participation. The proportion of households earning above ₹12,000 increased from 12% to 28%, indicating improved earnings. Many respondents attributed income growth to livestock activities, home-based businesses, and seasonal trade. However, the increase is moderate, suggesting microfinance alone cannot lead to substantial economic mobility without complementary livelihood support.

Debt Pattern and Financial Stress

Though microfinance increases credit access, multiple borrowing from various MFIs and informal lenders increases financial risk.

Table 3: Sources of Debt among Respondents.

Source of Loan	Percentage of Borrowers
SHG-bank linkage	100%
MFIs (private)	38%
Moneylenders	22%
Friends/Relatives	19%

Source: Compiled by the researcher

All respondents borrowed from SHGs, while a considerable proportion simultaneously relied on MFIs and informal lenders. This indicates that microfinance often does not meet the total credit needs of households, pushing them into multiple borrowing. Some respondents reported paying instalments with new loans, showing signs of debt dependency. Financial literacy remains low, increasing vulnerability.

Microfinance and Food Security

Food security was measured using indicators such as number of meals per day, dietary diversity, and ability to purchase essential food items.

Table 4: Food Security Status Before and After Microfinance.

Indicator	Before Loan	After Loan
Households with 3 meals/day	58%	81%
Ability to include vegetables regularly	42%	67%
Protein-rich food (eggs, pulses) weekly	34%	59%
Households experiencing food shortage	46%	18%

Source: Compiled by the researcher

Microfinance participation significantly improved food availability and dietary diversity for a majority of households. Increased income from small businesses and livestock helped families consume more balanced meals. However, 18% still experience food shortages, suggesting that chronic poverty and debt limit the benefits for some groups.

DISCUSSION

The results indicate that microfinance generally enhances income, purchasing power, and food consumption patterns in Tirupattur district. However, improvements are not uniform across households. Women borrowers reported greater financial autonomy and mobility, contributing indirectly to resilience. Yet, the risk of debt traps persists due to high interest rates charged by MFIs and inadequate financial discipline among borrowers. While microfinance supports resilience by enabling income smoothing and improving nutritional intake, it is not a standalone solution. Sustainable livelihoods, employment opportunities, and social safety nets remain essential to support long-term resilience.

Findings of the Study

- Microfinance participation improved household income for a majority of respondents.
- Women borrowers benefited through increased mobility and decision-making power.
- Multiple borrowing was widespread, indicating unmet credit needs.
- Security improved significantly, though some vulnerable households still face shortages.
- Debt stress was found to be higher among MFI borrowers than among SHG members.

Suggestions

1. Strengthen livelihood training in areas such as dairy farming, tailoring, handicrafts, and digital services to ensure productive use of loans.
2. Regulate MFI interest rates and establish district-level grievance cells to reduce debt exploitation.
3. Promote financial literacy on budgeting, interest calculation, and responsible borrowing.
4. Expand SHG-bank credit limits to reduce dependency on high-cost MFI loans.
5. Link microfinance with government welfare schemes such as PMEGP, NRLM, and food security programmes.
6. Encourage savings behaviour among rural households to reduce credit dependency.

CONCLUSION

Microfinance plays a crucial role in supporting rural household resilience in Tirupattur district by improving income stability and enhancing food security. However, microfinance alone is insufficient to achieve long-term resilience unless accompanied by livelihood diversification, financial literacy, and debt regulation. Policymakers, MFIs, and SHGs must work collaboratively to ensure that microfinance becomes a tool of empowerment rather than a source of indebtedness. Strengthened institutional frameworks and integrated development strategies can help rural households achieve sustainable financial and nutritional security.

REFERENCES

1. Alkire, S., & Foster, J. (2011). Counting and multidimensional poverty measurement. Journal of Public Economics, 95(7), 476–487.
2. NABARD. (2023). Status of Microfinance in India. National Bank for Agriculture and Rural Development.
3. Rao, S., & Babu, P. (2019). Impact of SHG participation on women empowerment in rural India. Journal of Rural Development, 38(4), 512–528.
4. Swain, R. B., & Floro, M. (2012). Assessing the impact of microfinance on food security. World Development, 40(5), 904–918.
5. Yunus, M. (2007). Creating a world without poverty: Social business and the future of capitalism. Public Affairs.