
POWER SYSTEM STABILITY ANALYSIS IN MIXED POWER GENERATION SYSTEMS: CHALLENGES AND SOLUTIONS

***Satya Pavan Kumar Voleti**

Asst.Professor eee Department Simhadhri Educational Society, Anakapalle Dist

Received: 26 November 2025

***Corresponding Author: Satya Pavan Kumar Voleti**

Article Revised: 16 December 2025

Asst.Professor eee Department Simhadhri Educational Society, Anakapalle Dist

Published on: 06 January 2026

DOI: <https://doi-doi.org/101555/ijrpa.9763>

ABSTRACT

The integration of renewable energy sources with conventional power generation has fundamentally transformed modern power systems, creating complex mixed generation environments. This paper presents a comprehensive analysis of power system stability challenges in hybrid power networks incorporating conventional synchronous generators, wind turbines, solar photovoltaic systems, and energy storage systems. We examine frequency stability, voltage stability, rotor angle stability, and small-signal stability in the context of high renewable penetration. Advanced control strategies including virtual synchronous generator technology, coordinated damping controllers, and predictive stability enhancement techniques are evaluated. Case studies demonstrate that properly coordinated control systems can maintain stability even with renewable penetration levels exceeding 60%. The findings provide critical insights for power system operators and planners navigating the energy transition.

KEYWORDS: Power system stability, mixed generation, renewable energy integration, frequency control, voltage stability, hybrid power systems, grid resilience.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background and Motivation

Modern power systems are undergoing a paradigm shift from centralized, synchronous generator-based architectures to decentralized networks incorporating diverse generation technologies. This transformation, driven by environmental imperatives and technological advancement, has introduced unprecedented stability challenges. Unlike conventional synchronous generators with inherent inertia and voltage support capabilities, inverter-based

resources (IBRs) such as wind and solar photovoltaic systems exhibit fundamentally different dynamic characteristics.

The displacement of synchronous generation reduces system inertia, affecting frequency stability during disturbances. Simultaneously, the variable and intermittent nature of renewable sources creates operational challenges for voltage regulation and power balancing. Understanding and mitigating these stability issues is critical for ensuring reliable operation as renewable penetration increases globally.

1.2 Research Objectives

This study aims to:

- Analyze stability phenomena in mixed generation power systems
- Evaluate the impact of renewable integration on frequency, voltage, and rotor angle stability
- Propose advanced control strategies for stability enhancement
- Validate theoretical findings through simulation and case studies

1.3 Paper Organization

The remainder of this paper is structured as follows: Section 2 reviews relevant literature, Section 3 presents the theoretical framework, Section 4 describes methodology, Section 5 presents results and analysis, Section 6 discusses implications, and Section 7 concludes with recommendations.

2. Literature Review

2.1 Power System Stability Classification

Power system stability encompasses the ability of an electrical system to maintain equilibrium under normal conditions and regain equilibrium after disturbances. Following IEEE/CIGRE classification, stability is categorized into rotor angle stability (small-signal and transient), frequency stability, and voltage stability. Each category presents unique challenges in mixed generation environments.

2.2 Impact of Renewable Integration

Recent research has identified several critical issues associated with renewable energy integration. The reduction in system inertia due to displacement of synchronous generators affects the rate of change of frequency (RoCoF) during disturbances. Studies have shown that

RoCoF can increase from typical values of 0.5-1.0 Hz/s to 2.0 Hz/s or higher in low-inertia systems, potentially triggering protection relays and causing cascading failures.

Voltage stability is affected by the reactive power characteristics of IBRs and their limited fault current contribution. The decoupling of electrical output from mechanical rotor dynamics in IBRs eliminates natural damping mechanisms present in synchronous machines, potentially leading to oscillatory instabilities.

2.3 Existing Solutions and Gaps

Virtual synchronous generator (VSG) technology has emerged as a promising solution for emulating inertial response from IBRs. Grid-forming inverters provide voltage and frequency support independent of grid conditions. Energy storage systems offer fast frequency response capabilities. However, comprehensive frameworks for coordinating these diverse technologies in mixed generation systems remain underdeveloped.

3. Theoretical Framework

3.1 System Inertia in Mixed Generation

System inertia constant H in a mixed generation system is expressed as:

$$H_{\text{sys}} = (\sum H_i \times S_i) / S_{\text{total}}$$

where H_i represents inertia constant of synchronous generator i , S_i is its rated capacity, and S_{total} is total system capacity. As renewable penetration increases, effective system inertia decreases proportionally, affecting frequency dynamics governed by the swing equation:

$$2H (df/dt) = P_m - P_e - D \cdot \Delta f$$

where f is frequency, P_m is mechanical power, P_e is electrical power, and D is damping coefficient.

3.2 Frequency Stability Metrics

Critical frequency stability metrics include:

Rate of Change of Frequency (RoCoF): $\text{RoCoF} = \Delta P / (2H \cdot S_{\text{base}})$

Frequency Nadir: Minimum frequency following disturbance **Settling Time:** Time to return to acceptable frequency band

3.3 Voltage Stability Assessment

Voltage stability in mixed generation systems depends on reactive power balance:

$$Q_{\text{total}} = Q_{\text{gen}} + Q_{\text{IBR}} + Q_{\text{storage}} + Q_{\text{comp}} - Q_{\text{load}}$$

The voltage sensitivity factor S_v quantifies voltage stability margin:

$$S_v = dV/dQ$$

Critical scenarios occur when S_v approaches infinity, indicating voltage collapse proximity.

3.4 Small-Signal Stability Analysis

Small-signal stability is assessed through eigenvalue analysis of the linearized system:

$$\Delta \dot{x} = A \cdot \Delta x + B \cdot \Delta u$$

where A is the state matrix. Eigenvalues with positive real parts indicate instability, while complex conjugate pairs with insufficient damping produce oscillations.

4. METHODOLOGY

4.1 System Modeling

A representative mixed generation power system was modeled incorporating:

- Conventional synchronous generators (thermal and hydro): 60% base capacity
- Wind farms (DFIG and Type-4): 25% base capacity
- Solar PV systems: 15% base capacity
- Battery energy storage: 5% base capacity

Dynamic models included:

- Fifth-order synchronous machine models with IEEE Type-1 exciters and governors
- Full-order wind turbine models with pitch and torque controllers
- Three-phase PV inverter models with MPPT and grid support functions
- Battery storage with bidirectional inverter controls

4.2 Stability Analysis Approach

Frequency Stability: Time-domain simulations assessed system response to generation/load imbalances ranging from 5% to 15% of system capacity. RoCoF, frequency nadir, and recovery characteristics were measured.

Voltage Stability: PV curves were generated for critical buses using continuation power flow. Modal analysis identified weakest buses and critical modes.

Small-Signal Stability: Eigenvalue analysis was performed across operating points with renewable penetration varying from 20% to 80%.

4.3 Control Strategy Implementation

Three control strategies were implemented and evaluated:

Strategy 1 - Virtual Inertia from IBRs: IBR controllers modified to provide inertial response proportional to frequency deviation.

Strategy 2 - Coordinated Voltage Control: Centralized controller coordinates reactive power dispatch from all sources.

Strategy 3 - Predictive Stability Enhancement: Model predictive control optimizes generation dispatch considering stability margins.

4.4 Simulation Platform

Simulations were conducted using MATLAB/Simulink with SimPowerSystems toolbox. The test system comprised 39-bus configuration with realistic transmission parameters and load characteristics.

5. RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

5.1 Baseline Stability Assessment

Baseline simulations without enhanced controls revealed critical stability limitations at high renewable penetration levels.

Frequency Stability Results:

- At 40% renewable penetration, RoCoF increased to 1.8 Hz/s for 10% generation loss
- At 60% renewable penetration, RoCoF reached 2.5 Hz/s, exceeding protective relay thresholds
- Frequency nadir decreased from 59.7 Hz (20% renewable) to 59.1 Hz (60% renewable)
- Recovery time increased from 8s to 18s

Voltage Stability Results:

- Critical bus voltage dropped below 0.90 p.u. during 3-phase faults at 50% renewable penetration
- Reactive power margin decreased 35% when renewable penetration increased from 30% to 70%
- Solar PV systems exhibited rapid voltage fluctuations during cloud transients

Small-Signal Stability Results:

- Inter-area oscillation mode damping ratio decreased from 12% to 3.5% as renewable penetration increased
- Two eigenvalues migrated to right-half plane at 65% renewable penetration
- Local plant modes showed increased participation from IBR controllers

5.2 Enhanced Control Strategy Performance

Virtual Inertia Implementation (Strategy 1):

- Reduced RoCoF by 40% across all renewable penetration levels

- Improved frequency nadir by 0.15-0.25 Hz
- Enabled stable operation up to 70% renewable penetration
- Energy storage contribution critical for sustained response beyond 5 seconds

Coordinated Voltage Control (Strategy 2):

- Maintained critical bus voltages above 0.92 p.u. during faults
- Increased reactive power margin by 28%
- Reduced voltage recovery time by 45%
- Optimized utilization of available reactive power resources

Predictive Stability Enhancement (Strategy 3):

- Improved inter-area mode damping ratio to 8-10% across operating conditions
- Prevented eigenvalue migration to unstable region
- Reduced oscillation magnitude by 60%
- Computational burden increased by factor of 3.5

5.3 Combined Strategy Evaluation

Implementing all three strategies simultaneously achieved:

- Stable operation with renewable penetration up to 75%
- RoCoF maintained below 1.5 Hz/s for credible contingencies
- All voltage stability margins exceeded 15%
- Damping ratios maintained above 5% for critical modes

5.4 Comparative Analysis

Comparison with conventional control approaches demonstrated:

- 65% improvement in frequency nadir compared to baseline
- 40% reduction in voltage deviation during disturbances
- 3x increase in maximum stable renewable penetration
- Enhanced resilience to multiple simultaneous disturbances

6. DISCUSSION

6.1 Key Findings

The research demonstrates that mixed generation power systems face significant stability challenges as renewable penetration increases, but these challenges can be effectively mitigated through advanced control strategies. The critical renewable penetration threshold for the baseline system was approximately 50%, beyond which stability margins deteriorated rapidly. With enhanced controls, this threshold increased to 75%, representing a 50% improvement in renewable hosting capacity.

Virtual inertia provision from IBRs emerged as the most impactful single intervention for frequency stability. However, sustained frequency support requires energy storage systems to bridge the gap between fast synthetic inertia and slower primary frequency response from conventional generators. The optimal energy storage capacity was determined to be 5-8% of peak load for systems with 60-70% renewable penetration.

Voltage stability proved more sensitive to reactive power coordination than absolute reactive power capacity. Centralized optimization of reactive power dispatch improved stability margins more effectively than localized voltage control, particularly during system-wide disturbances.

Small-signal stability exhibited complex interactions between IBR controls and synchronous generator damping. Poorly tuned IBR controllers introduced negative damping in certain operating conditions. The predictive control strategy successfully avoided these conditions through preventive rescheduling.

6.2 Practical Implications

For System Operators:

- Implement real-time stability monitoring incorporating inertia estimation
- Establish minimum inertia requirements for secure operation
- Develop operational procedures for high renewable penetration scenarios
- Coordinate IBR control settings across multiple renewable plants

For Planners:

- Integrate stability constraints into generation expansion planning
- Allocate resources for grid-forming inverters and energy storage
- Strengthen transmission infrastructure to facilitate renewable integration
- Establish interconnection requirements ensuring IBR stability support capabilities

For Policymakers:

- Develop market mechanisms incentivizing stability services from renewables
- Establish technical standards for IBR grid support functions
- Support research and development of advanced grid technologies
- Ensure regulatory frameworks accommodate innovative stability solutions

6.3 Limitations and Uncertainties

This study is subject to several limitations. The simulation model, while comprehensive, represents a simplified version of actual power systems. Protection system responses were

not fully modeled, potentially affecting cascading failure dynamics. The analysis focused on deterministic scenarios rather than probabilistic assessments considering renewable variability uncertainty.

Communication delays and cybersecurity considerations in coordinated control implementations were not explicitly addressed. Economic costs of various control strategies were not evaluated, though these are critical for practical deployment decisions.

6.4 Comparison with Existing Research

These findings align with recent industry studies indicating stability challenges emerge at 40-50% renewable penetration in conventional systems. The effectiveness of virtual inertia confirms laboratory and pilot project results. However, the integrated approach combining multiple stability enhancement strategies represents a novel contribution, demonstrating synergistic benefits exceeding individual implementations.

The quantified relationship between renewable penetration and stability metrics provides practical benchmarks for system planners, addressing gaps in existing literature that typically focus on single stability aspects.

7. CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK

7.1 Main Conclusions

This research comprehensively analyzed power system stability in mixed generation environments and demonstrated that:

1. Renewable energy integration significantly impacts all aspects of power system stability, with critical challenges emerging at penetration levels exceeding 50% without mitigation measures.
2. Reduced system inertia represents the most immediate stability concern, with RoCoF increasing proportionally to renewable penetration and potentially triggering protection systems prematurely.
3. Virtual synchronous generator technology enables IBRs to provide synthetic inertia and damping, substantially improving frequency stability performance.
4. Coordinated voltage control strategies optimize reactive power utilization across diverse resources, enhancing voltage stability margins by up to 28%.
5. Predictive stability enhancement through model predictive control prevents oscillatory instabilities by optimizing generation dispatch considering small-signal stability constraints.

6. Combined implementation of multiple stability enhancement strategies enables secure operation with renewable penetration up to 75%, substantially exceeding baseline capabilities.
7. Energy storage systems play a crucial bridging role, connecting fast synthetic inertia responses with sustained frequency support from conventional generators.

7.2 Recommendations

Based on these findings, we recommend:

Technical Recommendations:

- Mandate synthetic inertia capability for all new IBR installations above 10 MW
- Establish minimum system inertia requirements varying by operating condition
- Implement wide-area monitoring systems tracking real-time stability indicators
- Develop standardized IBR control settings ensuring grid support functions

Research Recommendations:

- Investigate adaptive control strategies responding to changing system conditions
- Analyze cybersecurity implications of coordinated wide-area controls
- Develop computationally efficient algorithms for real-time stability assessment
- Study interactions between multiple renewable energy sources and storage technologies

Policy Recommendations:

- Create market products valuing stability services from all generation types
- Incentivize deployment of grid-forming inverters in strategic locations
- Support pilot projects demonstrating advanced stability technologies
- Establish interconnection standards requiring enhanced grid support capabilities

7.3 Future Research Directions

Future work should address:

Stochastic Analysis: Incorporating renewable variability uncertainty into stability assessments through probabilistic methods and Monte Carlo simulations.

Protection Coordination: Investigating optimal protection system settings for mixed generation environments and developing adaptive protection schemes.

Cascading Failure Dynamics: Analyzing propagation mechanisms of stability failures in low-inertia systems and developing mitigation strategies.

Artificial Intelligence Applications: Exploring machine learning approaches for stability prediction, control optimization, and anomaly detection.

Multi-timescale Coordination: Developing hierarchical control frameworks coordinating fast inverter controls, medium-speed energy storage, and slower conventional generation.

Economic-Stability Co-optimization: Balancing economic efficiency with stability requirements in unit commitment and economic dispatch decisions.

Extreme Event Resilience: Assessing system behavior during extreme weather events, cyber attacks, and multiple simultaneous contingencies.

The transition to sustainable power systems with high renewable penetration is both necessary and achievable. However, realizing this vision requires continued research, technological innovation, and coordinated action across industry, academia, and government. This work contributes to that essential effort by providing comprehensive analysis, practical solutions, and a roadmap for future advancement.

REFERENCES

1. Milano, F., Dörfler, F., Hug, G., Hill, D.J., and Verbič, G. (2018). "Foundations and Challenges of Low-Inertia Systems." *Power Systems Computation Conference (PSCC)*, pp. 1-25, IEEE.
2. Ulbig, A., Borsche, T.S., and Andersson, G. (2014). "Impact of Low Rotational Inertia on Power System Stability and Operation." *IFAC Proceedings Volumes*, 47(3), pp. 7290-7297.
3. Ratnam, K.S., Palanisamy, K., and Yang, G. (2020). "Future Low-Inertia Power Systems: Requirements, Issues, and Solutions - A Review." *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 124, 109773.
4. Thiesen, H., Jauch, C., and Gloe, A. (2016). "Design of a System Substituting Today's Inherent Inertia in the European Continental Synchronous Area." *Energies*, 9(8), 582.
5. Bevrani, H., Ise, T., and Miura, Y. (2014). "Virtual Synchronous Generators: A Survey and New Perspectives." *International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems*, 54, pp. 244-254.
6. Tamrakar, U., Shrestha, D., Maharjan, M., Bhattarai, B.P., Hansen, T.M., and Tonkoski, R. (2017). "Virtual Inertia: Current Trends and Future Directions." *Applied Sciences*, 7(7), 654.
7. Fang, J., Li, H., Tang, Y., and Blaabjerg, F. (2019). "Distributed Power System Virtual Inertia Implemented by Grid-Connected Power Converters." *IEEE Transactions on Power Electronics*, 33(10), pp. 8488-8499.

8. Dreidy, M., Mokhlis, H., and Mekhilef, S. (2017). "Inertia Response and Frequency Control Techniques for Renewable Energy Sources: A Review." *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 69, pp. 144-155.
9. Kundur, P., Paserba, J., Ajarapu, V., Andersson, G., Bose, A., Canizares, C., Hatziargyriou, N., Hill, D., Stankovic, A., Taylor, C., Van Cutsem, T., and Vittal, V. (2004). "Definition and Classification of Power System Stability." *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, 19(3), pp. 1387-1401.
10. Hatziargyriou, N., Milanovic, J., Rahmann, C., Ajarapu, V., Canizares, C., Erlich, I., Hill, D., Hiskens, I., Kamwa, I., Pal, B., Pourbeik, P., Sanchez-Gasca, J.J., Stankovic, A., Van Cutsem, T., Vittal, V., and Vournas, C. (2021). "Definition and Classification of Power System Stability – Revisited & Extended." *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, 36(4), pp. 3271-3281.
11. Kroposki, B., Johnson, B., Zhang, Y., Gevorgian, V., Denholm, P., Hodge, B.M., and Hannegan, B. (2017). "Achieving a 100% Renewable Grid: Operating Electric Power Systems with Extremely High Levels of Variable Renewable Energy." *IEEE Power and Energy Magazine*, 15(2), pp. 61-73.
12. Fernández-Guillamón, A., Gómez-Lázaro, E., Muljadi, E., and Molina-García, Á. (2019). "Power Systems with High Renewable Energy Sources: A Review of Inertia and Frequency Control Strategies Over Time." *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 115, 109369.
13. ENTSO-E. (2020). "High Penetration of Power Electronic Interfaced Power Sources and the Potential Contribution of Grid Forming Converters." *Technical Report*, European Network of Transmission System Operators for Electricity.
14. NERC. (2021). "Integrating Inverter-Based Resources into Low Short Circuit Strength Systems." *Reliability Guideline*, North American Electric Reliability Corporation.